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## Summary of changes to the assessment input

1) Estimate of catch for 2015 and 2016. The estimated 2016 catch of $4,530 \mathrm{t}$ was used as the catch value for the 2017 and 2018 ABC and OFL projections.
2) 2016 slope survey biomass and standard error estimates.
3) 2015 and 2016 shelf survey length composition
4) 2016 and 2016 shelf survey biomass and standard error estimates.
5) 2015 Aleutian Islands survey biomass and standard error.
6) 2016 Aleutian Islands survey length composition.
7) 2016 slope survey length composition.

No changes were made to the assessment methodology.

|  | Tier 3 assessment model |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Quantity | As estimated last year for |  | As estimated this year for |  |
|  | 2016 | 2017 | 2017 | 2018 |
| M (natural mortality rate) | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.11 |
| Tier | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Projected total (age 2+) biomass ( t ) | 136,600 | 138,700 | 170,300 | 181,000 |
| Projected female spawning biomass (t) |  |  |  |  |
| Projected | 50,400 | 50,100 | 60,300 | 62,200 |
| $\mathrm{B}_{100 \%}$ | 115,200 | 115,200 | 127,000 | 127,000 |
| $\mathrm{B}_{40}$ \% | 46,100 | 46,100 | 50,800 | 50,800 |
| $\mathrm{B}_{35 \%}$ | 40,300 | 40,300 | 44,400 | 44,400 |
| $\mathrm{F}_{\text {OFL }}$ | 0.073 | 0.073 | 0.078 | 0.078 |
| $\operatorname{maxF}_{\text {ABC }}$ | 0.063 | 0.063 | 0.066 | 0.066 |
| $\mathrm{F}_{\text {ABC }}$ | 0.063 | 0.063 | 0.066 | 0.066 |
| OFL ( t ) | 8,270 | 8,500 | 10,360 | 10,700 |
| $\operatorname{maxABC}(t)$ | 7,100 | 7,300 | 8,880 | 9,200 |
| ABC (t) | 7,100 | 7,300 | 8,880 | 9,200 |
| Status | As determined last year for: |  | As determined this year for: |  |
|  | 2014 | 2015 | 2015 | 2016 |
| Overfishing | no | n/a | no | n/a |
| Overfished | n/a | no | n/a | no |
| Approaching overfished | n/a | no | n/a | no |

Comparison of species identified during the EBS survey


## Fishery catch

Fishery catch from 2007-2016 were included in the model from direct identification of Kamchatka flounder. Catches from 1991-2006, years when Kamchatka and arrowtooth flounder were not identified to species, were calculated by assuming that Kamchatka flounder comprised $10 \%$ of the catch during that time period.


## surveys



slope survey female size compositions



Aleutian Islands survey female size composition






22 year average $=37 \%$ shelf, $20 \%$ slope and $42 \%$ in the Aleutian Islands


## The suite of parameters estimated by the base model are classified by the following likelihood components:

| Data Component | Distribution assumption |
| :--- | :---: |
| Trawl fishery size composition | Multinomial |
| Shelf survey population size composition | Multinomial |
| Slope survey population size composition | Multinomial |
| Slope survey age composition (2002 and 2012) | Multinomial |
| Aleutian Islands survey size composition | Multinomial |
| Aleutian Islands age composition (2010) | Multinomial |
| Trawl survey biomass estimates and S.E. | Log normal |
| Slope survey biomass estimates and S.E. | Log normal |
| Aleutian Islands biomass estimates and S.E. | Log normal |

## Parameters estimated:

| Fishing mortality | Selectivity | Aleutian Island <br> and shelf survey- <br> q | Year class <br> strength | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 27 | 16 | 2 | 50 | 95 |

Started with q's (catchability) apportioned by their relative survey biomass estimates for the three survey areas.

Examination of the results from the initial model run indicated that fishery selectivity is poorly determined (presumably due to the low sample sizes) and that there are males present in the length records that are larger than those observed in any survey data. It is suspected that this is the result of some mis-sexing of Kamchatka flounder in the commercial fishery sampling. This was resolved by fixing the slope of the logistic curve (age at $50 \%$ selection is still estimated for each sex) which produced more sensible results and estimated reference $F$ values similar to other Bering Sea flatfish species.



Based on selectivity patterns, the shelf survey showed big differences in the ages of fish available to these different surveys. The slope survey selectivity estimates seemed most stable hence: Alternative values of q were fixed for the slope survey and freely estimated the $q$ values for the shelf and Aleutian Islands surveys.


## surveys



## Model Results




## Model results

age 2 recruitment


## Model results

Projection at five year average $F$



