MEMORANDUM

TO:

Council, SSC, and AP, members

FROM:

Jim H. Branson

Executive Direct

DATE:

July 14, 1982

SUBJECT: Fishery Management Plan Team Composition and Tasking

ACTION REQUIRED

Establish a policy on plan team composition, tasking, and operations.

BACKGROUND

On March 11, 1982, the Council's Policy and Planning Workgroup met in Juneau to discuss among other topics, the composition and tasking of Plan Development/Maintenance Teams. The policy statement presented as agenda item C-2(a) summarizes the discussion of the Workgroup. These new plan teams would be a blend of the large PDT's and the more recently organized PMT's thus enabling them to handle both the technical and administrative aspects of plan management.

NORTH PACIFIC FISHERY MANAGEMENT COUNCIL

Policy on Plan Team Composition, Tasking, and Operations

The North Pacific Fishery Management Council shall appoint a Plan Team for each major fishery for which a fishery management plan either is being developed or has been implemented.

Composition. Each team will be comprised primarily of representatives of those agencies having major roles in the research and management of the fishery. The team should be small enough to work efficiently and effectively but sufficiently large to provide the diverse experience and knowledge needed to cover all aspects of a particular fishery. For tasks outside the team's ability or manpower, the team members should serve as primary contacts with their agencies to draw on agency resources as required and deemed appropriate. Selection of individuals to serve on the teams is the discretion of the participating agency. Suggested agency representation on the current six major plan teams is as follows:

			Groundfish		Crab	
	Salmon	Herring	BSAI	<u>GOA</u>	King	Tanner
NPFMC	x	×	x	x	x	X
NMFS/RO	x	×	x	x	x	x
NMFS/FC		x	x	x	x	x
ADFG	x	x	x	x	x	x
IPHC			x	x		
WDF	x					
ODFW	x					
CRITFC	x					

<u>Tasking</u>. The Plan Team for each major fishery under Council purview shall have primary oversight responsibility for the processes of fishery management plan development and amendment. More specifically, the team shall:

- (a) prepare plans and amendments and supporting documents (EISs, RIRs, etc.) for Council, SSC, AP and public review;
- (b) aggregate and evaluate public/industry proposals and comments;
- (c) summarize and evaluate the best biological and socioeconomic scientific data available related to the plan or amendment;
- (d) monitor the fishery and evaluate the status of the stocks;
- (e) evaluate the effectiveness of management measures in achieving the plan's objectives; and
- (f) recommend when and how management measures need to be changed.

Proposed plan amendments will usually be presented to the Council in the form of alternative approaches. The team may be requested to indicate the preferred alternative. Such preferences should be made on technical grounds or pragmatic management considerations. Policy decisions are the responsibility of the Council.

<u>Operations</u>. Given the team composition and tasking described above, each team will be allowed to organize internally as appropriate to carry out the team's responsibility in an effective, efficient manner. This may for instance require appointment of a small subgroup to actively monitor the fishery or concentrate on specific writing assignments. Team members should choose a team leader, possibly on an annually rotating basis, to oversee the functions of the team.

Each team should set up an annual schedule for amending their plan from calling for proposals to final consideration by the Council prior to initiating Secretarial review. These annual schedules should accommodate as well as possible the needs of the fishery, task loads of the involved agencies, and operations and meetings of the Council.

revised

July 26, 1982

NORTH PACIFIC FISHERY MANAGEMENT COUNCIL Policy on Plan Team Composition, Tasking, and Operations

The North Pacific Fishery Management Council shall appoint a Plan Team for each major fishery for which a fishery management plan either is being developed or has been implemented.

Composition. Each team will be comprised primarily of representatives of those agencies having major roles in the research and management of the fishery. The team should be small enough to work efficiently and effectively but sufficiently large to provide the diverse experience and knowledge needed to cover all aspects of a particular fishery. For tasks outside the team's ability or manpower, the team members should serve as primary contacts with their agencies to draw on agency resources as required and deemed appropriate. Selection of individuals to serve on the teams is the discretion of the participating agency. Suggested agency representation on the current six major plan teams is as follows:

			Groundfish		Crab	
	Salmon	Herring	BSAI	<u>GOA</u>	King	Tanner
NPFMC	x	x	x	x	x	x ·
NMFS/RO	x	X a	x	, x	X	x
NMFS/FC		x ·	x	x	x	x
ADFG	x	x	x	x	x	x
IPHC			x	x		
WDF	x					
ODFW	x					
CRITFC	x					

<u>Tasking</u>. The Plan Team for each major fishery under Council purview shall have primary oversight responsibility for the processes of fishery management plan development and amendment. More specifically, the team shall:

(a) prepare plans and amendments and coordinate preparation of supporting documents (EISs, RIRs, etc.) for Council, SSC, AP and public review;

- (b) aggregate and evaluate public/industry proposals and comments;
- (c) summarize and evaluate the best data available related to the biological and socioeconomic implications of the plan or amendment;
- (d) monitor the fishery and evaluate the status of the stocks;
- (e) evaluate the effectiveness of management measures in achieving the plan's objectives; and
- (f) recommend when and how management measures need to be changed.

Proposed plan amendments will usually be presented to the Council in the form of alternative approaches. The team will either: (a) recommend a preferred alternative, or (b) state that is has no preferred alternative, or (c) state that it was unable to reach a consensus on a preferred alternative. Such preferences should be made on technical grounds or pragmatic management considerations. Policy decisions are the responsibility of the Council.

<u>Operations</u>. Given the team composition and tasking described above, each team will be allowed to organize internally as appropriate to carry out the team's responsibility in an effective, efficient manner. This may for instance require appointment of a small subgroup to actively monitor the fishery or concentrate on specific writing assignments. Team members should choose a team leader, possibly on an annually rotating basis, to oversee the functions of the team.

1

Each team will recommend an annual schedule for amending their plan from calling for proposals to final consideration by the Council prior to initiating Secretarial review. These annual schedules should accommodate as well as possible the needs of the fishery, task loads of the involved agencies, and operations and meetings of the Council.