# GOA DUSKY ROCKFISH GROUNDFISH PLAN TEAM, NOV 2020

KARI FENSKE, PETE HULSON, BEN WILLIAMS, CECILIA O'LEARY SPECIAL THANKS TO ELLEN YASUMIISHI

## DUSKY OVERVIEW

#### TIER 3A, SINGLE-SEX, AGE STRUCTURED ASSESSMENT

Geospatial model estimator (VAST) for trawl survey biomass index No model structural changes, but data & VAST model changes Recommending model 15.5a (2020) For 2021:

SSB 38,362 t and ABC 7,101 t (93% increase from 2020)



# SSC/PLAN TEAM COMMENTS

"The SSC requests that all authors fill out the risk table in 2019..." (SSC December 2018), + other similar comments in June, October 2019

Included in this assessment. (Will discuss later)

"VAST applications: (in summary...) should have standardized documentation, caution against standardized model filling, consider covariates, consider knots..."

- GOA dusky rockfish has used geospatial model for biomass index since 2015. Geospatial model and parameterization has changed each year
- 2020 model used VAST GAP 'standard' parameterization, but examined several alternative (only one bridging alternative presented in SAFE report)





## DATA

# New data to model:

- 2019, 2020\* catch (\*projected)
- 2019 trawl survey biomass
- 2019 survey age comps
- 2018 fishery age comps
- 2019 fishery length comps





## DATA: TRAWL SURVEY

2019 survey

- 2 vessels, 541 hauls
- 2019 trawl survey only sampled to 700 m but this still covers dusky depth range well





# DATA: TRAWL INDEX









# MODELS

| Model case   | Description   |
|--------------|---|
| 15.5 (2018)  | 2018 accepted model (Model case M5 in 2015)   |
| 15.5 (2020)  | 'Bridge' model. Same model as 2018, but with updated data<br>through 2020 using VAST parametrization described for<br>Model 15.5 (2020) above                 |
| 15.5a (2020) | Same model as 2018, but with updated data through 2020<br>using new VAST standard parametrization described for<br>Model 15.5a (2020) above (preferred model) |





# VAST MODEL PARAMETERIZATION

| <u>PCR</u> | <u>Obs model</u> | <u>Knots</u> | Survey years | Computed by | <u>Model</u> |
|------------|------------------|--------------|--------------|-------------|--------------|
| lognormal  | delta            | 1000         | 1984-2017    | MESA        | 15.5 (2018)  |
| lognormal  | delta            | 500          | 1984-2019    | GAP         | 15.5 (2020)  |
| gamma      | delta-gamma      | 500          | 1984-2019    | GAP         | 15.5a (2020) |





## TRAWL SURVEY BIOMASS













composition

Survey length









12

















15.5a (2020)

RESULTS

15

Model 15.5a (2020)
Model 15.5 (2018)











RESULT<u>S</u>





- Not overfished
- Not overfishing

Tier 3a



# **RISK TABLE**

| Assessment-related considerations         | Population dynamics considerations | Environmental/<br>ecosystem<br>considerations | Fishery Performance<br>considerations |  |
|---|------------------------------------|---|---------------------------------------|--|
| Level 2: Substantially increased concerns | Level 1: No apparent concern       | Level 1: No apparent concern                  | Level 1: No apparent concern          |  |

- The GOA dusky rockfish assessment appears to fit available data well, the 2019 GOA trawl survey was undertaken as planned and data are included in this year's assessment, and the fishery and environmental considerations appear to be within normal bounds.
- We have some concerns about the estimated increase in biomass and resulting increase in ABC and the model retrospective pattern.
  - The geospatial model-based abundance index has low uncertainty which may be driving the estimated increase in biomass and ABC.
  - Because GOA dusky rockfish ABC is not historically fully utilized and because there is an increase in 2019 survey biomass coupled with some evidence of recruitment from age compositions, we are not recommending a reduction in ABC at this time.



We anticipate that we will monitor the survey abundance estimates, catch rates, and retrospective ( trends closely for the next assessment.

# **RESULTS - APPORTIONMENT**

| Stock             |          | 2020  |       |       |                    | 2021  |       | 2022  |       |
|-------------------|----------|-------|-------|-------|--------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
|                   | Area     | OFL   | ABC   | TAC   | Catch <sup>2</sup> | OFL   | ABC   | OFL   | ABC   |
| Dusky<br>Rockfish | W        |       | 776   | 776   | 231                |       | 355   |       | 346   |
|                   | С        |       | 2,746 | 2,746 | 1,857              |       | 5,993 |       | 5,834 |
|                   | WYAK     |       | 115   | 115   | 83                 |       | 617   |       | 601   |
|                   | EYAK/SEO |       | 39    | 39    | 1                  |       | 136   |       | 132   |
|                   | Total    | 4,492 | 3,676 | 3,676 | 2,172              | 8,655 | 7,101 | 8,423 | 6,913 |

|                   | Western | Central | Eastern | Total |
|-------------------|---------|---------|---------|-------|
| Apportionment     | 5.0%    | 84.4%   | 10.6%   | 100%  |
| 2021 Area ABC (t) | 355     | 5,993   | 753     | 7,101 |







\*Estimated catch of 2,172 t for 2020, and estimates of 4,786 t and 4,529 t used in place of maximum permissible ABC for 2021 and 2022

23

# FUTURE PLANS

- Keep using VAST GAP produced index
- Continue VAST and index weighting explorations
- Monitor catches and index value for next survey

Questions TO the plan team:

- Do you have advice on use of VAST GAP produced indices?
- Do you have advice on weighting for index in the model?





# **QUESTIONS?**



KARI.FENSKE@NOAA.GOV

