## Breakout groups - FEP Team / EHRC workshop, 5/3/21

### How divide into groups?

- Idea: 5 groups based on the Council's Ecosystem Goal objective groupings
- Designate an FEP team lead(s) for each group

#### Tasks:

- What indicators do we have already? (<u>Table 2-1 from the FEP, 2019/20 ESRs</u>)
- Do those get at what we want/need? What would be the ideal indicators?
- What will it take to put together a first cut at this?
  - o Timeline
  - Additional partners needed?

#### Goal:

report back to FEP Team at May 24-25 meeting with ongoing progress and a firm plan to complete; any clarifications, adjustments, obstacles

## 5 groups if organized by FEP ecosystem goal:

- 1. Fish stocks, food web structure and function
- 2. Ecological processes, trophic levels, diversity
- 3. Habitat, seabirds/mammals
- 4. Fisheries (subsistence, commercial, recreational) and non-consumptive uses
- 5/6. Avoid long-term adverse effects/legacy of healthy ecosystems (ecosystem tipping points, non-fishery activity impacts, climate change)

## **Beginning today:**

- For each of the 17 <u>ecosystem objectives</u>, identify what would be the metric that we should use to convey the strategic/long-term status of that objective
- Ideally should be no more than 1-3 metrics per objective
- But a "metric" could be either a single indicator or an amalgam of indicators, depending on the need
  - Need to think about conveying how quality of information differs among objectives
  - Each metric would be measurable/thresholded in some way (at least red-orange-green, to indicate status)

## Things to keep in mind from this morning

• - what is the right timeframe needed for these metrics/indicators given the report is intended to be long-term, strategic?

- - this report should not duplicate the ESR. How can we ensure the focus here is different, strategic?
- - End goal: are we trying to document status for the Council/others, or are we also trying to work towards collaborating with other resource managers where we have joint goals?

## Audiences / users of report

need to think about both

#### From FEP meeting poll

**Council members** - strategic planning, mgmt planning; strategic changes to structure of decision making **Ecosystem Committee** - help meet their responsibilities

**Plan Team/SSC members/assessment authors -** harvest specs, interactions with the assessment risk tables **Fishery managers -** harvest limit decisions

Fishery user groups - status of their fishery in larger ecosystem context; communication tool

Managers of other resource entities, co-management partners, NBS climate resilience area entities (tribal and federal)

Interactions with other stakeholders/user groups - common basis for starting conversations

**NP science community at large -** one stop shop for understanding BS, esp research arms of various tribal/regional organizations

Funding agencies/research applicants - justification for Council-relevant research

NMFS HQ, intl groups doing EBFM/ecosystem status research

Congress/political community - allocates funding, including ocean planning

Coast Guard, health and safety organizations – moving towards EBM rather than EBFM

### NOTES FROM BREAKOUT SESSIONS

#### Breakout 1: Fish stocks: Jim lanelli, lan Stewart, Ebett Siddon

Indicators should be flagged by relative reliability.

- 1. Maintain target biomass levels for target species, consistent with optimum yield, using available tools.
  - Time series of the sum of yield, OFL, ABC, TACs (HCLs) for both groundfish and crab (Jim)
  - Given fixed boundaries, species distribution shifts relative to environmental conditions could affect reference points for fisheries management (Jim)
- 2. Maintain healthy populations and function of non-target and forage species.
  - Fishery footprint (trawling areas) as potential impact on epifauna/infauna etc, but may belong elsewhere (e.g., objective 6 or 7)
  - Forage species composite trends (to be developed); include relative observation errors and availability.
  - Non-target species composite trends (to be developed); include relative observation errors and availability.
  - BTS "Miscellaneous species" available:
  - Time series trends of non-target species (Jellies etc)

3. Adjust fishing-related mortality from the system to be commensurate with total productivity and continue to limit optimum yield to 2 million

metric tons for the BSAI groundfish fisheries.

To clarify relative to the 2 million t OY CAP, we interpret this to mean that it's treated as a maximum, not a goal. Potential indicators include (from the ESR):

- Should the OY cap change w/ environmental conditions? Context of the 2 million t OY is needed
- FSSI as a general single-species management scorecard (35 stocks) this provides a level of information about managed stocks
- From groundfish survey data: bulk survey CPUE/biomass by guild
- Species richness, and spatial distribution.
- Mean life span of community

Other indicators discussed

• Stability of groundfish biomass 1/CV(biomass) where CV is from (a minimum of 10 year) time series (from ESR)

### Breakout 2: Ecological processes: Kerim Aydin, Andy Whitehouse

Format notes: Stoplight (or stoplight table like salmon) has advantages - doesn't overpromise certainty like a continuous ticker. Quickest look over multiple indicators.

People for scientific (pre-Council) review?

Ecosystem Goal 2:Protect, restore, and maintain the ecological processes, trophic levels, diversity, and overall productive capacity of the system

- 4. Maintain key predator/prey relationships.
  - Key prey: Forage fish, crab, infauna/epifauna, pollock, krill, sm zooplankton, phytoplankton
  - Ecosystem network statistics
  - EBS Adult Pacific cod food habits (currently in ESR) nondirectional?
  - Will seabirds/mammals be picked up by other team?
- 5. Conserve structure and function of ecosystem components.
  - Mean lifespan of groundfish (currently in ESR)
  - Mean length of groundfish (currently in ESR)
  - Groundfish stability (currently in ESR)
  - Guild biomass index (currently in ESR)
  - Average local species richness and diversity of the Eastern Bering Sea Groundfish community (currently in ESR)
  - Trophic level of the catch

# Breakout 3: Habitat, seabirds/mammals: Heather Renner, Anne Marie Eich, Jo-Ann Mellish

Council's Ecosystem Goals #3. Conserve habitats for fish and other wildlife (ie, habitat, seabirds/mammals) Objectives:

- **6. Minimize adverse impacts to essential fish habitat, to the extent practicable.** [The Magnuson-Stevens Act defines EFH as "those waters and substrate necessary to fish for spawning, breeding, feeding or growth to maturity"]
  - Q: what is already being provided to council about EFH and how would this be different?
  - <u>Alaska EFH Mapper</u> (talk to NMFS Habitat Conservation Division to see what can be measured)
  - EFH 5-Year Review (longer timeframe than desired but potentially good source?)
  - Q how does sea ice overlap with EFH, habitat (eg for seals) and foraging habitat
- **7. Minimize and/or avoid impacts to ecologically-sensitive habitat, including habitat areas of particular concern.** [Habitat Areas of Particular Concern (HAPCs) are smaller habitat areas within EFH that meet at least two of the four considerations: 1)The importance of the ecological function provided by the habitat; 2) The extent to which the habitat is sensitive to human-induced environmental degradation; 3) Whether, and to what extent, development activities are, or will be, stressing the habitat type; 4) The rarity of the habitat type. ]
  - eider habitat perhaps measured by eider "health" diet or reproductive status?
  - <u>Habitat Conservation Area Maps</u>; <u>mapper</u> (talk to NMFS Habitat Conservation Division to see what can be measured)
  - O Amount of area where bottom trawling is allowed (?)
- 8. Minimize and/or avoid impacts to seabirds, marine mammals, and protected species.
  - metric: # seabird species that meet a particular status (TBD) listed on IUCN
  - metric: # marine mammal species that meet a particular status (TBD) listed on IUCN; Marine Mammal Stock Assessments; ESA-listings
  - metric: beached birds (eg COASST), mammal strandings (marine mammal stranding network and subsistence harvest counts)

What habitat surveys exist?

- ice cover
- coral surveys
- NMFS surveys, or other surveys? ocean temperature, salinity, acidity, etc?

Will this list get reviewed by subject matter experts?

# Breakout 4: Fisheries: Ben Daly, Davin Holen, Kate Haapala, Sara Cleaver, Mike Dalton, Sarah Wise

Key themes from indicators convo:

\*Approach was to identify indicators by objective, switching gears to identify major themes across objectives and priority (?) indicators

#### Ecosystem Goal 4:

Provide for subsistence, commercial, recreational, and non-consumptive uses of the marine environment 9. Support benefits in the Bering Sea fishery and fishery-related industries.

- Indicators to track: trends in unemployment, human population, school enrollment (pg 25)
- Trends in total # of fisheries as a way of defining opportunities in the Bering Sea
- Trends in gear use
- trends in species harvested/processed

- overarching trend shipping, vessel traffic also safety
- 10. Provide opportunities for new entrants in Federal fisheries.
- determine trends in proportion Federal fisheries that are open access as index for potential new entrantswe can look at #vessels that participated,
- 11 . Promote economic and community stability to all commercial harvesting and processing sectors. landings, value and unit value (pg 25)
  - -crew members, vessels, linked to communities, shoreside processors and processing jobs
  - Port and other infrastructure in fishing communities to support 'fishing way of life.'
- 12. Support sustainable opportunities and community resilience for subsistence users and Alaska Native communities.
- Halibut and salmon subsistence trends (pg 25) \*should be harvest & participation. General harvest patterns but also number of participants.
  - SHARC; satisfaction with harvest
- food security (potentially ADFG Div of Sub case study); seeing conversations in literature a shift away from Chinook and trends towards other species. Also a move towards commercial markets. Also shifts in preferred gear for harvesting.
  - community migration, gendered migration, age dynamics are ESR authors looking at this?
- 13. Provide for directed fisheries including subsistence fisheries by minimizing bycatch mortality. Juvenile Chinook index, groundfish + crab discards, trends in discard rates (pg 25)
- 14. Preserve the ability for stakeholders to derive non-consumptive and cultural value from the Bering Sea ecosystem.
  - recreational fishing participation (pg 25)
  - also include subsistence fishing participation
- can also be inclusive of marine mammal harvest; connections to social networks and facilitating cultural transmission (links to other FEP goal/objective of future generations)
- Social networks, kin networks, connecting to and building a sense of place via fishery and other resource access, longevity of permit so how long have permits stayed with a person and potentially if they are transferred to a family member.
- commercial verses share subsistence
- Issue of access.

note that tourism and shipping included in objective 16 Amalgamated index?

#### Timing:

- LKTKS Taskforce and SSPT potentially look at this goal and objectives at fall meeting.
- who is doing the work? That would drive some conversation on the timing.
- There seems to be connection between these indicators and research priorities.
- FEP report broader than the ESR (most recent year). Community wellbeing, sense of place, etc might have more of a place in a document like this.

# Breakout 5: Avoid long-term adverse/legacy of healthy ecosystems: Stephani Zador, Diana Evans, Kirstin Holsman, Diana Stram, Megan Williams

- 15. Establish appropriate thresholds to minimize risk of crossing ecosystem tipping points caused by fishery or other human activity.
- a. Balanced Ecosystem Trait and Health index (new composite index being developed by Lenfest group). Might be more appropriate for Objective 5.
- b. Cumulative number of climate tipping points, indicator of food security resources, e.g, 2.1 deg BT (pollock and pcod), 14 deg C fw river temperatures for chinook, 5 deg nbs HABs (current status = CPK, collaborations and coupling to MSEs and Risk assessments to ID which metrics)
- 16. Encourage responsible parties to minimize adverse impacts to fish and other wildlife associated with changes in shipping activity, tourism, energy, and other types of development.
- a. Number (or % of those reviewed) of collaborative/cooperative agreements (MOUs) that include EBM and/or climate change objectives. Would need to start as a comprehensive review (by Council staff member? Check out J R-Y paper). The indication would then track the number of initiations or expirations over time.
- 17. Ensure that fishery management is sufficiently adaptive to account for the effects of climate change or other ecosystem changes, including loss of sea ice and ocean acidification
  - a. Frequency of MSE evaluations for trophic guilds/ fishery types
  - b. Number of amendments to FMP to address novel challenges, program changes
  - C. Number of closures of fisheries due to environmental / climate driven changes
- d. Number of stock assessment models that include ecological and/or climate covariates for ABC estimation. (Meaghan has maybe done this? Also Kristin Marshall during her Lenfest FEP project)
- e. Number of risk tables that used climate/ecosystem changes to adjust ABCs (already done annually in the EBS ESR In Brief)
- g. Number of fishery stock rebuilding not meeting targets because of climate change (e..g, BKC pribs) (e.g., management rebuilding plans use climate/info)
- h. Change in areal overlap/mismatch between fishery stock and fishing areas and or bycatch (e.g., management system uses CE-SDMs)