C4 - COOK INLET SALMON FMP AMENDMENT FISHING COMMUNITIES (RIR SECTION 4.5.5)

MIKE DOWNS, WISLOW RESEARCH, 6/3/2020






BACKGROUND


- April 2019: SSC review of discussion paper
 - SSC requested early review of subsequent economic and community analysis for consistency with NS-8.
- Sept 2019: CISC review of revised economic and community analysis
 - CISC recommended splitting rather than lumping communities; differentiating between point of delivery and processing locations; differentiating between vessel owners and permit holders.
- Feb 2019: CISC review of initial draft of RIR fishing communities section.
 - CISC recommended extending baseline data back into the 1970s.



COMMUNITIES ENGAGED IN THE FISHERY 2009-2018 (FIGURES 4-32 AND 4-33)










COMMUNITIES ENGAGED IN THE UCI SALMON DRIFT GILLNET FISHERY CLOSEST TO THE UCI AREA OF THE EEZ (FIGURE 4-34)









OVERVIEW OF COMMUNITY FISHING ENGAGEMENT 1975-2018 (SECTION 4.5.5.1, PAGE 185)

- Ex-vessel gross revenue by permit holder community; volume and value of landings
- Percentage of permits fished by community









QUANTITATIVE INDICATORS OF COMMUNITY FISHERY ENGAGEMENT AND DEPENDENCY, 2009-2018 (SECTION 4.5.5.2)

- UCI salmon drift gillnet harvesting vessels (historic ownership address)
 - Count
 - Ex-vessel gross revenues
 - Ex-vessel gross revenue diversification (UCI salmon drift gillnet harvesting vessels only)
 - Ex-vessel gross revenue diversification (all commercial fisheries harvesting vessels)

- Shorebased processors accepting deliveries of UCI drift gillnet-caught salmon (operating location)
 - Count
 - Ex-vessel gross payments
 - Ex-vessel gross payment diversification (UCI drift gillnet salmon processors only)
 - Ex-vessel gross payment diversification (all shorebased processors)





QUANTITATIVE INDICATORS OF COMMUNITY FISHERY ENGAGEMENT AND DEPENDENCY, 2009-2018 (CONTINUED)

- S03H Permit Holders (historic permit ownership address)
 - Count
 - Ex-vessel gross revenue
 - From S03H permit only
 - From all permits in all fisheries held by S03SH permit holders
 - S03H revenue as a percentage of total permits held



COMMUNITY CONTEXT OF THE UCI SALMON DRIFT GILLNET FISHERY (SECTION 4.5.5.3)

- Focus on potentially communities substantially engaged in and/or substantially dependent on the UCI salmon drift gillnet fishery
 - Subset of Kenai Peninsula Borough communities
 - Demographic indicators: sociocultural and economic variability (Table 4-23, page 200)
 - Institutional indicators: structural variability (Table 4-24, pg 201)
 - AFSC community fisheries engagement indices used to help inform community selections
 - Separate consideration of harvesting and processing engagement
 - Principal component factor analysis approach (see Section 7.3)



COMMUNITY CHARACTERIZATIONS (SECTION 4.5.5.3.3)

Anchor Point

Nikolaevsk

Homer

Ninilchik

Kasilof

Seward

Kenai

Soldotna

Nikiski

Sterling

	Harvesting Engagement				Processing Engagement			
Community	Low	Medium	Medium- High	High	Low	Medium	Medium- High	High
Anchor Point	3	15	10	0	0	0	0	0
Anchorage	0	0	7	21	24	3	0	1
California	7	21	0	0	0	0	0	0
Delta Junction	27	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fritz Creek	27	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Homer	0	0	0	28	7	1	10	10
Kasilof	0	0	4	24	5	9	9	5
Kenai	0	0	0	28	0	0	0	28
Kodiak	23	5	0	0	27	1	0	0
Nikiski	1	27	0	0	24	1	1	2
Nikolaevsk	20	8	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ninilchik	10	18	0	0	21	5	1	1
Oregon	0	0	0	28	0	0	0	0
Other US	0	0	9	19	0	0	0	0
Other Washington	0	0	0	28	0	0	0	0
Seward	27	1	0	0	25	1	2	0
Soldotna	0	0	0	28	27	0	1	0
Sterling	12	16	0	0	0	0	0	0
Wasilla	13	15	0	0	0	0	0	0





FISHERY TAX RELATED REVENUE (SECTION 4.5.5.4)


- Revenue directly generated by the UCI salmon drift gillnet fishery
- Fishery tax revenue received by communities engaged in the UCI salmon drift gillnet fishery
 - Shared Fishery Business Tax and Fishery Resource Landing Tax
 - Homer, Kenai, Seldovia, Seward, Soldotna, and the Kenai Peninsula Borough
 - Not differentiated by fishery, but can contrast with other sources of general fund revenue.



COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT IN SUBSISTENCE AND/OR PERSONAL USE SALMON FISHERIES IN OR NEAR THE UCI

- UCI EEZ adjacent to Anchorage-Matsu-Kenai Peninsula Nonsubsistence Use Area (Figure 4-41, page 214)
 - Some communities engaged in the UCI salmon drift gillnet fishery are outside the NSUA.
 - Federal subsistence fishery permits available to residents of some communities in the NSUA.
 - Personal use fisheries occur in the NSUA.







NEXT STEPS

- Refine/expand impact of alternatives analysis as alternatives are better developed.
 - Identify differential distribution of potential impact factors beyond gross distribution of vessels, processors, permits, support service sector businesses, and tax revenue distributions.
 - Impacts of measures changing target species harvest
 - Impacts of changing monitoring, recordkeeping, and reporting requirements
 - Groundtruth revised assumptions/analysis with stakeholder follow-up.



NEXT STEPS (CONTINUED)

- Expand on addressing proposals from the public (EA section 1.4) if and as relevant based on revised/better developed alternatives.
 - Recommended actions for developing the FMP Amendment
 - Socioeconomic impacts analysis: "Address social impacts, community impacts, community sustainability."
 - Stakeholder perspectives
 - Socioeconomic issues:
 - "The closing of two canneries in Ninilchik resulted in many jobs lost."
 - "Provide a historical background of Nikolaevsk and other Russian Old Believer communities."



QUESTIONS?

MIKE DOWNS

MIKE@WISLOWRESEARCH.COM

619-302-3210

WISLOW RESEARCH ASSOCIATES LLC