SSC Research Priorities Workshop Agenda

Wednesday 1/29/2020 1 pm -5 pm

Desired Outcomes
   -- Develop SSC process for April review of all research items
   -- Provide recommendations to improve the research prioritization process
   -- Identify research items that need to be added to the prioritization list

Time	Agenda Item	Description/Goals	Point Person
1:00 pm – 1:10 pm	**Kickoff**	• Introduction • Overview of Agenda	Hollowed/Gasper
1:10 pm – 1:40 pm	**Discussion of process for future SSC review**	What's our vision for how the SSC review process should work? - Short overview of current process (Jim Armstrong) - Discuss creating subgroups for April review	Armstrong/Gasper
1:40 pm – 3:00 pm	**Research Priorities Presentations (Plan Teams): Critical and Ongoing/Strategic Priorities**		Gasper/Dressel
1:40 pm – 2:10 pm	GOA/BSAI Groundish Plan Team	• Plan Team Recommendations • Changes in Priority Status • New items • Change in Research Status • Presenter choice on subject	Jim Ianelli/ Steve Barbeaux/Grant Thompson Stan Kotwicki and Patrick Ressler available for questions
2:10 pm – 2:30 pm	Crab Plan Team		Martin Dorn
	**15 Minute Break**		
2:45 pm – 3:15 pm	SSPT/Economics		Steve Kasperski
3:15 pm -4:00 pm	**Specific Topics**		
3:15 pm – 3:35 pm	Survey Discussion		Stan Kotwicki and Patrick Ressler
3:35 pm – 3:45 pm	Ecosystem		Janet Duffy Anderson
3:50 pm – 4:00 pm	Fishery Dependent		Craig Faunce
	**Break as time allows**		
4:15 pm - 5:00 pm	**Discussion**	o Assessment and adjustment to current "Critical and Ongoing" and Strategic research priorities. o Moving forward: Discussion Questions below o Summary of plan for April	Gasper/Dressel

Time	Agenda Item	Description/Goals	Point Person
	Discussion Questions (time allowing): o Should we incorporate scenario planning into this process (e.g., what if a survey is cut)? What types of information are needed to help prioritizing? o Are we capturing new research priorities adequately? o Are there specific improvements that would make the database more useful? o Is there a way to improve the process by which we take priorities off the list or "complete" priorities? This might be naturally improved by our increased linkages with NPRB, but should we consider ways to formalize this beyond noting which priorities are underway? o How would the SSC like to receive FEP research priorities? The core team is meeting in March and will review the Climate and LK/TK team meeting results. We can either have three research priority documents (1 from each team and 1 from the core) or combine them into 1 report.		