## Supplemental Table for Agenda Item C-4

Depending on how the Council interprets Alternative 2, Option 5, this supplemental table shows higher maximum effective Chinook salmon PSC limits for the two GOA trawl sectors that are eligible to earn an "uncertainty buffer." This table is appended to Table 24 in the Regulatory Impact Review for Agenda Item C-4 (p.64).

Under Amendment 97, the non-pollock/non-Rockfish Program CV sector and the CP sector would fish under a higher Chinook salmon PSC limit if they individually perform to a certain threshold of PSC avoidance in the preceding year. If the Council interprets Option 5 to mean that the reapportionment percentage cap (suboptions: 10% to 50%) is applied in proportion to the effective PSC limit with which a sector began a particular year, then a sector that is carrying additional Chinook salmon PSC as a result of meeting the uncertainty buffer threshold in the previous year would have a higher effective PSC limit. That sector could then receive up to a higher maximum amount of additional PSC through reapportionments.

If Option 5 was interpreted differently – that the reapportionment percentage cap was always applied in proportion to the initial PSC limit (2,700 or 3,600 fish) – the maximum reapportionments for the non-pollock/non-Rockfish Program CV sector and the CP sector would be capped at 4,050 and 5,400, respectively (see Table 24 in the RIR).

Table S-1 Maximum effective Chinook salmon PSC limits for sectors carrying an Uncertainty Buffer (Amendment 97) under Alternative 2, Option 5

Sector	Initial	Uncertainty	ertainty Effective PSC Limit Under Maximum Annual Reapportionment					ionment
	<b>PSC Limit</b>	Buffer	<b>PSC Limit</b>	10%	20%	30%	40%	50%
Non-Pollock/Non-RP CV	2,700	360	3,060	3,366	3,672	3,978	4,284	4,590
Non-Pollock CP	3,600	480	4,080	4,488	4,896	5,304	5,712	6,120