

# Public Meeting Packet

## Port Access Route Study (PARS)

### Chukchi Sea, Bering Strait & Bering Sea

Public Comment Ends  
03 June 2015

For more information visit  
[www.Regulations.gov](http://www.Regulations.gov)  
Search for: "USCG-2014-0941"



#### **The USCG Welcomes Public Comment on the PARS.**

- Verbal comments from public meetings will be summarized and submitted to the docket for use in the decision making process.
- Written comments will be submitted without change to the docket and used in the decision making process.

#### **Additional Information**

Visit: [www.Regulations.gov](http://www.Regulations.gov)  
then search "USCG-2014-0941"

- Up to date information on PARS.
- Download schematic of the study area and proposed route.
- Review comments submitted to date.
- Submit comments electronically.

#### **USCG Contact Information: Seventeenth Coast Guard District**

- Mr. Dave Seris, D17 Waterways  
David.m.seris@uscg.mil  
(907) 463-2267
- LT Kody Stitz, D17 Waterways  
Kody.j.stitz@uscg.mil  
(907) 463-2270

#### **Meeting Schedule:**

- 09 March 2015; 2-7 PM – Juneau, AK  
Elizabeth Peratrovich Event Center
- 30 March 2015; 12-6 PM, Anchorage, AK  
Hotel Captain Cook
- 02 April 2015; 3-6 PM, Nome, AK  
City of Nome Council Chambers







Produced by NOAA  
Office of Coast Survey  
Not Intended for Navigation

0 50 100  
nautical miles

### Overview of USCG Proposed Routing in Vicinity of Bering Strait




USCG Area of Interest



Proposed Lane




Proposed Precautionary Area



Point	Latitude DDM	Longitude DDM
1	66° 26.53'N	168° 30.00'W
2	65° 02.45'N	168° 29.74'W
3	64° 55.18'N	168° 27.81'W
4	62° 25.24'N	167° 11.95'W
5	60° 10.76'N	168° 27.93'W
6	54° 45.60'N	165° 18.29'W
7	66° 26.53'N	168° 20.00'W
8	65° 02.45'N	168° 20.26'W
9	64° 56.05'N	168° 18.62'W
10	62° 25.15'N	167° 03.05'W
11	60° 10.83'N	168° 19.57'W
12	54° 46.86'N	165° 11.76'W
13	66° 30.00'N	168° 25.00'W
14	64° 59.00'N	168° 25.00'W
15	54° 42.95'N	165° 13.12'W
16	64° 59.12'N	168° 34.45'W
17	64° 21.40'N	171° 17.97'W
18	64° 55.60'N	168° 29.98'W
19	64° 17.88'N	171° 13.59'W
20	64° 18.00'N	171° 22.80'W



# USCG Proposed Arctic Routing Northern Section



USCG Area of Interest



Proposed Lane




Proposed Precautionary Area



173° 172° 171°



Produced by NOAA  
Office of Coast Survey  
Not Intended for Navigation



**Point 13**  
Lat: 66° 30' 00.000"N  
Lon: 168° 25' 00.000"W  
IMO Lat: 66° 30.00'N  
IMO Lon: 168° 25.00'W

**Point 7**  
Lat: 66° 26' 31.560"N  
Lon: 168° 19' 59.940"W  
IMO Lat: 66° 26.53'N  
IMO Lon: 168° 20.00'W

**Point 1**  
Lat: 66° 26' 31.860"N  
Lon: 168° 30' 00.060"W  
IMO Lat: 66° 26.53'N  
IMO Lon: 168° 30.00'W

**Point 2**  
Lat: 65° 02' 27.000"N  
Lon: 168° 29' 44.220"W  
IMO Lat: 65° 02.45'N  
IMO Lon: 168° 29.74'W

**Point 16**  
Lat: 64° 59' 06.900"N  
Lon: 168° 34' 27.000"W  
IMO Lat: 64° 59.12'N  
IMO Lon: 168° 34.45'W

**Point 18**  
Lat: 64° 55' 35.760"N  
Lon: 168° 29' 58.800"W  
IMO Lat: 64° 55.60'N  
IMO Lon: 168° 29.98'W

**Point 17**  
Lat: 64° 21' 23.820"N  
Lon: 171° 17' 58.020"W  
IMO Lat: 64° 21.40'N  
IMO Lon: 171° 17.97'W

**Point 20**  
Lat: 64° 18' 00.000"N  
Lon: 171° 22' 48.000"W  
IMO Lat: 64° 18.00'N  
IMO Lon: 171° 22.80'W

**Point 19**  
Lat: 64° 17' 52.680"N  
Lon: 171° 13' 35.580"W  
IMO Lat: 64° 17.88'N  
IMO Lon: 171° 13.59'W

**Point 3**  
Lat: 64° 55' 10.560"N  
Lon: 168° 27' 48.780"W  
IMO Lat: 64° 55.18'N  
IMO Lon: 168° 27.81'W

**Point 14**  
Lat: 64° 59' 00.000"N  
Lon: 168° 25' 00.000"W  
IMO Lat: 64° 59.00'N  
IMO Lon: 168° 25.00'W


**Point 8**  
Lat: 65° 02' 27.000"N  
Lon: 168° 20' 15.780"W  
IMO Lat: 65° 02.45'N  
IMO Lon: 168° 20.26'W

**Point 9**  
Lat: 64° 56' 02.940"N  
Lon: 168° 18' 37.020"W  
IMO Lat: 64° 56.05'N  
IMO Lon: 168° 18.62'W

SEWARD PENINSULA













Produced by NOAA  
Office of Coast Survey

Not Intended for Navigation

**USCG Proposed Arctic Routing  
Middle Section**

-  USCG Area of Interest
-  Proposed Lane
-  Proposed Precautionary Area



**Point: 4**  
 Lat: 62° 25' 14.640"N  
 Lon: 167° 11' 56.760"W  
 IMO Lat: 62° 25.24'N  
 IMO Lon: 167° 11.95'W

**Point: 10**  
 Lat: 62° 25' 09.060"N  
 Lon: 167° 03' 03.240"W  
 IMO Lat: 62° 25.15'N  
 IMO Lon: 167° 03.05'W


**Point: 5**  
 Lat: 60° 10' 45.720"N  
 Lon: 168° 27' 56.100"W  
 IMO Lat: 60° 10.76'N  
 IMO Lon: 168° 27.93'W

**Point: 11**  
 Lat: 60° 10' 50.040"N  
 Lon: 168° 19' 33.900"W  
 IMO Lat: 60° 10.83'N  
 IMO Lon: 168° 19.57'W






Produced by NOAA  
Office of Coast Survey  
Not Intended for Navigation



**USCG Proposed Arctic Routing Southern Section**

- USCGR Area of Interest
- Proposed Lane
- Proposed Precautionary Area



**Point: 12**  
 Lat: 54° 46' 51.874"N  
 Lon: 165° 11' 45.888"W  
 IMO Lat: 54° 46.86"N  
 IMO Lon: 165° 11.76"W

**Point: 6<sub>9</sub>**  
 Lat: 54° 45' 36.007"N  
 Lon: 165° 18' 17.672"W  
 IMO Lat: 54° 45.60"N  
 IMO Lon: 165° 18.29"W

**Point: 15<sub>9</sub>**  
 Lat: 54° 42' 56.801"N  
 Lon: 165° 13' 07.164"W  
 IMO Lat: 54° 42.95"N  
 IMO Lon: 165° 13.12"W



### 11. Indian Tribal Governments

This proposed rule does not have tribal implications under Executive Order 13175, Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments, because it would not have a substantial direct effect on one or more Indian tribes, on the relationship between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities between the Federal Government and Indian tribes.

### 12. Energy Effects

This proposed rule is not a “significant energy action” under Executive Order 13211, Actions Concerning Regulations That Significantly Affect Energy Supply, Distribution, or Use.

### 13. Technical Standards

This proposed rule does not use technical standards. Therefore, we did not consider the use of voluntary consensus standards.

### 14. Environment

We have analyzed this proposed rule under Department of Homeland Security Management Directive 023–01 and Commandant Instruction M16475.ID, which guide the Coast Guard in complying with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA) (42 U.S.C. 4321–4370f), and have made a preliminary determination that this action is one of a category of actions that do not individually or cumulatively have a significant effect on the human environment. This proposed rule involves the establishment of a temporary safety zone. This rulemaking is categorically excluded from further review under paragraph 34(g) of Figure 2–1 of the Commandant Instruction. A preliminary environmental analysis checklist supporting this determination and a Categorical Exclusion Determination are available in the docket where indicated under **ADDRESSES**. We seek any comments or information that may lead to the discovery of a significant environmental impact from this proposed rule.

#### List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 165

Harbors, Marine safety, Navigation (water), Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Security measures, Waterways.

For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the Coast Guard proposes to amend 33 CFR part 165 as follows:

## PART 165—REGULATED NAVIGATION AREAS AND LIMITED ACCESS AREAS

■ 1. The authority citation for part 165 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 33 U.S.C. 1231; 46 U.S.C. Chapter 701, 3306, 3703; 50 U.S.C. 191, 195; 33 CFR 1.05–1, 6.04–1, 6.04–6, 160.5; Pub. L. 107–295, 116 Stat. 2064; Department of Homeland Security Delegation No. 0170.1.

■ 2. Add temporary § 165.T05–0718 to read as follows:

#### § 165.T05–0718 Safety Zone, Gallant Channel; Beaufort, NC.

(a) Regulated Area. The following area is a safety zone: This zone includes the waters directly under and 100 yards either side of the new U.S. 70 Fixed Bridge crossing the Gallant Channel, mile 203.8, at Beaufort, North Carolina (34°43′16″ N, 076°41′37″ W).

(b) Regulations. The general safety zone regulations found in 33 CFR 165.23 apply to the safety zone created by this temporary section, § 165.T05–0718. In addition, the following regulations apply:

(1) All vessels requiring greater than 40 feet horizontal clearance to safely transit through the new U.S. 70 Fixed Bridge crossing the Atlantic Intracoastal Waterway, mile 203.8, at Beaufort, North Carolina must contact the work supervisor tender on VHF–FM marine band radio channels 10 and 13 or at (732) 520–5000 two hours in advance of intended transit.

(2) All Coast Guard assets enforcing this safety zone can be contacted on VHF–FM marine band radio channels 13 and 16.

(3) The operator of any vessel within or in the immediate vicinity of this safety zone shall: (i) Stop the vessel immediately upon being directed to do so by any commissioned, warrant or petty officer on board a vessel displaying a Coast Guard Ensign, and

(ii) Proceed as directed by any commissioned, warrant or petty officer on board a vessel displaying a Coast Guard Ensign.

(c) Definitions.

(1) Captain of the Port North Carolina means the Commander, Coast Guard Sector North Carolina.

(2) Designated representative means any Coast Guard commissioned, warrant, or petty officer who has been authorized by the Captain of the Port North Carolina to assist in enforcing the safety zone described in paragraph (a) of this section.

(3) Work Supervisor means the contractors’ on site representative.

(d) Enforcement. The U.S. Coast Guard may be assisted by Federal, State

and local agencies in the patrol and enforcement of the zone.

(e) Enforcement period. This section will be enforced daily 7 a.m. to 5:30 p.m., from January 15, 2015 through September 30, 2015 unless cancelled earlier by the Captain of the Port.

Dated: November 17, 2014.

**S. R. Murtagh,**

*Captain, U.S. Coast Guard, Captain of the Port North Carolina.*

[FR Doc. 2014–28604 Filed 12–4–14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE P

## DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

### Coast Guard

#### 33 CFR Part 167

[USCG–2014–0941]

#### Port Access Route Study: In the Chukchi Sea, Bering Strait and Bering Sea

**AGENCY:** Coast Guard, DHS.

**ACTION:** Notice of study; request for comments.

**SUMMARY:** This study is a continuation of and an expansion of scope to the Port Access Route Study (PARS) the Coast Guard announced in 2010. Based on comments received from the 2010 notice the Coast Guard has developed a potential vessel routing system for the area. The Coast Guard requests comments on how consolidating vessel traffic into a defined vessel routing system may impact or benefit the region. The goal of the study is to help reduce the risk of marine casualties and increase the efficiency of vessel traffic in the region. The recommendations of the study may lead to future rulemaking action or appropriate international agreements.

**DATES:** Comments must be received on or before June 3, 2015.

#### ADDRESSES:

*Schematic of proposed vessel routing system:* A chart showing the Coast Guard’s proposed two-way route can be downloaded from <http://www.regulations.gov>, type “USCG–2014–0941” into the search bar and click search, next to the displayed search results click “Open Docket Folder”, which will display all comments and documents associated with this docket.

*Comment submission:* You may submit comments identified by docket number USCG–2014–0941 using any one of the following methods:

(1) *Federal eRulemaking Portal*:  
<http://www.regulations.gov>.

(2) *Fax*: 202-493-2251.

(3) *Mail*: Docket Management Facility (M-30), U.S. Department of Transportation, West Building Ground Floor, Room W12-140, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE., Washington, DC 20590-0001.

(4) *Hand delivery*: Same as mail address above, between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. The telephone number is 202-366-9329.

To avoid duplication, please use only one of these four methods. See the "Public Participation and Request for Comments" portion of the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section below for instructions on submitting comments.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** If you have questions on this notice of study, call or email LT Kody Stitz, Seventeenth Coast Guard District (dpw); telephone (907) 463-2270; email [Kody.J.Stitz@uscg.mil](mailto:Kody.J.Stitz@uscg.mil) or Mr. David Seris, Seventeenth Coast Guard District (dpw); telephone (907) 463-2267; email [David.M.Seris@uscg.mil](mailto:David.M.Seris@uscg.mil). If you have questions on viewing or submitting material to the docket, call Cheryl F. Collins, Program Manager, Docket Operations, telephone 202-366-9826.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**Public Participation and Request for Comments**

We encourage you to participate in this study by submitting comments and related materials. All comments received will be posted without change to <http://www.regulations.gov> and will include any personal information you have provided.

**Submitting Comments**

If you submit a comment, please include the docket number for this notice of availability (USCG-2014-0941), indicate the specific section of this document to which each comment applies, and provide a reason for each suggestion or recommendation. You may submit your comments and material online (via <http://www.regulations.gov>) or by fax, mail, or hand delivery, but please use only one of these means. If you submit a comment online via [www.regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov), it will be considered received by the Coast Guard when you successfully transmit the comment. If you fax, hand deliver, or mail your comment, it will be considered as having been received by the Coast Guard when it is received at the Docket Management Facility. We

recommend that you include your name and a mailing address, an email address, or a telephone number in the body of your document so that we can contact you if we have questions regarding your submission.

To submit your comment online, go to <http://www.regulations.gov>, type "USCG-2014-0941" into the search bar and click search, next to the displayed search results click "Comment Now", which will open the comment page for this study. If you submit your comments by mail or hand delivery, submit them in an unbound format, no larger than 8.5 by 11 inches, suitable for copying and electronic filing. If you submit comments by mail and would like to know that they reached the Facility, please enclose a stamped, self-addressed postcard or envelope. We will consider all comments and material received during the comment period.

**Viewing Comments and Documents**

To view comments, as well as documents mentioned in this preamble as being available in the docket, go to <http://www.regulations.gov>, type "USCG-2014-0941" into the search bar and click search, next to the displayed search results click "Open Docket Folder", which will display all comments and documents associated with this docket. You may also visit the Docket Management Facility in Room W12-140 on the ground floor of the Department of Transportation West Building, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE., Washington, DC 20590, between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. We have an agreement with the Department of Transportation to use the Docket Management Facility.

**Privacy Act**

Anyone can search the electronic form of comments received into any of our dockets by the name of the individual submitting the comment (or signing the comment, if submitted on behalf of an association, business, labor union, etc.). You may review a Privacy Act notice regarding our public dockets in the January 17, 2008, issue of the **Federal Register** (73 FR 3316).

**Public Meeting**

The Coast Guard will hold public meeting(s) if there is sufficient demand to warrant holding a meeting. You must submit a request for one on or before Month Day, Year (30 days from publish date) using one of the four methods specified under **ADDRESSES**. Please explain why you believe a public meeting would be beneficial. If we determine that a public meeting would

aid in the study, we will hold a meeting at a time and place announced by a later notice in the **Federal Register**.

**Definitions**

The following definitions (except "Regulated Navigation Area") are from the International Maritime Organization's (IMO's) publication "Ships' Routing" Tenth Edition 2010 and should help you review this notice:

*Area to be avoided (ATBA)* means a routing measure comprising an area within defined limits in which either navigation is particularly hazardous or it is exceptionally important to avoid casualties and which should be avoided by all ships, or certain classes of ships.

*Deep-water route* means a route within defined limits, which has been accurately surveyed for clearance of sea bottom and submerged obstacles as indicated on the chart.

*Inshore traffic zone* means a routing measure comprising a designated area between the landward boundary of a traffic separation scheme and the adjacent coast, to be used in accordance with the provisions of Rule 10(d), as amended, of the International Regulations for Preventing Collisions at Sea, 1972 (COLREGS).

*Precautionary area* means a routing measure comprising an area within defined limits where ships must navigate with particular caution and within which the direction of traffic flow may be recommended.

*Recommended route* means a route of undefined width, for the convenience of ships in transit, which is often marked by centerline buoys.

*Recommended track* is a route which has been specially examined to ensure so far as possible that it is free of dangers and along which vessels are advised to navigate.

*Regulated Navigation Area (RNA)* means a water area within a defined boundary for which regulations for vessels navigating within the area have been established under 33 CFR part 165.

*Roundabout* means a separation measure comprising a separation point or circular separation zone and a circular traffic lane within defined limits. Traffic within the roundabout is separated by moving in a counterclockwise direction around the separation point or zone.

*Separation zone or separation line* means a zone or line separating the traffic lanes in which ships are proceeding in opposite or nearly opposite directions; or separating a traffic lane from the adjacent sea area; or separating traffic lanes designated for particular classes of ship proceeding in the same direction.



*Traffic lane* means an area within defined limits in which one-way traffic is established. Natural obstacles, including those forming separation zones, may constitute a boundary.

*Traffic Separation Scheme (TSS)* means a routing measure aimed at the separation of opposing streams of traffic by appropriate means and by the establishment of traffic lanes.

*Two-way route* means a route within defined limits inside which two-way traffic is established, aimed at providing safe passage of ships through waters where navigation is difficult or dangerous.

*Vessel routing system* means any system of one or more routes or routing measures aimed at reducing the risk of casualties; it includes traffic separation schemes, two-way routes, recommended tracks, areas to be avoided, no anchoring areas, inshore traffic zones, roundabouts, precautionary areas, and deep-water routes.

## Background and Purpose

### Requirement for Port Access Route Studies

Under the Ports and Waterways Safety Act (PWSA) (33 U.S.C. 1223(c)), the Commandant of the Coast Guard may designate necessary fairways and traffic separation schemes (TSSs) to provide safe access routes for vessels proceeding to and from U.S. ports.

### Port Access Route Study to Date

The Coast Guard announced a port access route study in the **Federal Register** on November 8, 2010 (75 FR 68568). The purpose of the PARS was to solicit public comments on whether a vessel routing system such as a fairway or TSS was needed and if it could increase vessel safety in the area. The 2010 PARS was limited geographically in scope to a section of water extending approximately 100 nautical miles north of the Bering Strait into the Chukchi Sea to approximately 30 nautical miles south of St. Lawrence Island in the Bering Sea. At that time the Coast Guard did not propose a specific vessel routing system, but instead sought more general comments about whether a vessel routing system was needed or advisable in the study area. The Coast Guard received twenty five comments, and after reviewing them, determined that a vessel route needed to be proposed so more specific comments and concerns could be gathered and evaluated before determining if a routing system would be beneficial. The Coast Guard further determined that the study area should include a larger geographic area than was initially studied before finalizing the study and publishing the results.

### Vessel Routing Comments to Date

The Coast Guard received twenty five public comments during the open comment period associated with the 2010 announcement. Nearly all of the comments that addressed vessel routing were supportive of the Coast Guard creating and implementing some form of vessel routing measure in the area. Since no specific routing measure was proposed in 2010, the comments received did note that precise concerns and impacts could only be identified after a specific route or measure was proposed.

### Reopening of the Comment Period

This **Federal Register** notice announces the Coast Guard's intent to continue the PARS started in 2010, expand the study area and release the Coast Guard's proposed vessel routing system for comment. The Coast Guard's goal of the study remains the same in that the study is focused on gathering factual and relevant information to aid the Coast Guard in reducing the risk of marine casualties and increasing the efficiency of vessel traffic in the region.

The study will assess whether the creation of a vessel routing system is advisable to increase the predictability of vessel movements, which may decrease the potential for collisions, oil spills, and other events that could threaten the marine environment.

Based on comments received to date there is a general sense that a designated traffic route could improve traffic predictability thereby reducing marine casualties and oil spills; however, a few comments received did note that a designated traffic route (depending on location) could adversely impact subsistence hunting, marine mammals and other wildlife more so than widely dispersed vessel traffic. Therefore, the Coast Guard puts forth a potential two-way route as a starting point for analyzing where to put a vessel traffic route should one be deemed needed and beneficial to the region.

The Coast Guard will analyze vessel traffic density, agency and stakeholder experience in vessel traffic management, navigation, ship handling, the effects of weather, impacts to subsistence hunting, impacts to marine mammals and other wildlife concerns into the decision making process of the study. We encourage you to participate in the study process by submitting comments in response to this notice.

The expanded study area is described as an area bounded by a line connecting the following geographic positions:

- 67°30' N, 168°58'37" W;
- 67°30' N, 167°30' W;

- 54°50' N, 164°40' W;
- 54°03' N, 166°25' W;
- 63°20' N, 173°43' W; thence following the Russian Federation/ United States maritime boundary line to the first geographical position.

The proposed ship routing measures are described as follows:

(1) A four nautical mile wide, two-way route extending from Unimak Pass in the Aleutian Islands that proceeds Northward through the Bering Sea and Bering Strait before terminating in the Chukchi Sea.

(2) A four nautical mile wide, two-way route extending from a location North of the Western side of St. Lawrence Island and near the U.S./ Russian Federation maritime border, then proceeding Northeast to a junction with the first two way route located to the West of King Island.

(3) A total of four precautionary areas, each circular and 8 nautical miles wide in diameter. Three of these precautionary areas will be located at the starting/ending points of the two-way routes, and the fourth will be located at the junction of the recommended two-way routes.

See the **ADDRESSES** section for where to obtain a copy of the chart showing the exact location of the proposed route.

Timeline, Study Area, and Process of this PARS: The Seventeenth Coast Guard District will conduct this PARS. The study will continue upon publication of this notice and may take 24 months to complete.

We will publish the results of the PARS in the **Federal Register**. It is possible that the study may validate the status quo (no routing measures) and conclude that no changes are necessary. It is also possible that the study may recommend one or more changes to enhance navigational safety and the efficiency of vessel traffic management. The recommendations may lead to future rulemakings or appropriate international agreements.

Dated: November 14, 2014.

**D. B. Abel,**

Rear Admiral, U.S. Coast Guard, Commander, Seventeenth Coast Guard District.

[FR Doc. 2014-28672 Filed 12-4-14; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 9110-04-P**