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## Survey Boundary History



| Survey Year | Vessels | Start date | End date | \# stations | \# tows | \# BB retows | Tow duration (min) | Haul types | Gear/Accessories |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1975 | 14 | 1-Jun | 7-Aug | 140 | 140 |  | 60 | 3 | 20/3 |
| 1976 | 14, 17, 19 | 19-May | 9-Aug | 150 | 214 |  | 25-60 | 0, 3 | 20/3, 30/4,30/359 |
| 1977 | 14 | 26-May | 5-Aug | 159 | 161 |  | 18-30 | 0, 3 | 20/34 |
| 1978 | 14, 28 | 20-May | 15-Aug | 239 | 239 |  | 18-30 | 3 | 20/34 |
| 1979 | 12, 14, 28 | 22-May | 24-Aug | 321 | 464 |  | 12-30 | 3 | 20/34 |
| 1980 | 14, 31 | 12-May | 30-Jul | 324 | 364 |  | 30-36 | 3, 4 | 20/34 |
| 1981 | 1, 37 | 22-May | 28-Jul | 312 | 355 |  | 24-30 | 3, 4 | 25/34, 26/34, 35/2 |
| 1982 | 1, 19 | 29-May | 1-Aug | 347 | 347 |  | 18-30 | 3, 4 | 33/15, 34/15 |
| 1983 | 1, 37 | 7-Jun | 1-Aug | 354 | 354 |  | 12-30 | 3, 15 | 37/15, 38/15 |
| 1984 | 1, 37 | 9-Jun | 19-Aug | 356 | 434 |  | 18-36 | 0, 3, 4 | 26/34, 35/2, 37/15, 38/15 |
| 1985 | 37, 60 | 8-Jun | 9-Sep | 355 | 355 |  | 12-42 | 3 | 38/15, 39/15 |
| 1986 | 37, 57 | 3-Jun | 1-Aug | 354 | 354 |  | 18-30 | 3 | 38/15, 40/15 |
| 1987 | 19, 37 | 27-May | 30-Jul | 361 | 362 |  | 12-36 | 3 | 38/15, 42/15, 43/15 |
| 1988 | 37, 78 | 4-Jun | 30-Jul | 370 | 373 |  | 18-36 | 3 | 44/15 |
| 1989 | 37, 78 | 4-Jun | 14-Aug | 386 | 437 |  | 12-30 | 3, 4, 5, 18, 21 | 44/15 |
| 1990 | 37, 78 | 4-Jun | 6-Aug | 371 | 384 |  | 12-36 | 0, 3 | 44/15 |
| 1991 | 37, 78 | 7-Jun | 13-Aug | 372 | 378 |  | 12-36 | 0, 3 | 44/15 |
| 1992 | 37, 87 | 5-Jun | 3-Aug | 356 | 356 |  | 12-30 | 3 | 44/15 |
| 1993 | 88, 89 | 4-Jun | 26-Jul | 375 | 378 |  | 12-36 | 0, 3 | 44/15 |
| 1994 | 88, 89 | 3-Jun | 26-Jul | 374 | 376 |  | 6-36 | 3, 19 | 44/15 |
| 1995 | 88, 89 | 4-Jun | 22-Jul | 375 | 380 |  | 12-36 | 3, 19 | 44/15 |
| 1996 | 88, 89 | 8-Jun | 28-Jul | 374 | 375 |  | 12-42 | 3 | 44/15 |
| 1997 | 88, 89 | 7-Jun | 26-Jul | 375 | 376 |  | 12-36 | 3 | 44/15 |
| 1998 | 88, 89 | 9-Jun | 29-Jul | 374 | 375 |  | 18-36 | 3 | 44/15 |
| 1999 | 88, 89 | 23-May | 20-Jul | 372 | 404 | 31 | 12-42 | 3, 17 | 44/15 |
| 2000 | 88, 89 | 23-May | 23-Jul | 372 | 395 | 23 | 12-36 | 3, 17 | 44/15 |
| 2001 | 88, 89 | 29-May | 19-Jul | 374 | 375 |  | 18-36 | 3 | 44/15 |
| 2002 | 88, 89 | 2-Jun | 24-Jul | 374 | 375 |  | 12-36 | 3 | 44/15 |
| 2003 | 88, 89 | 2-Jun | 22-Jul | 375 | 380 |  | 6-36 | 3, 19 | 44/15 |
| 2004 | 88, 89 | 5-Jun | 25-Jul | 374 | 383 |  | 6-30 | 3, 19 | 44/15 |
| 2005 | 88, 89 | 3-Jun | 22-Jul | 372 | 373 |  | 12-36 | 3 | 44/15 |
| 2006 | 88, 134 | 2-Jun | 25-Jul | 375 | 410 | 30 | 12-30 | 3, 17, 19, 20 | 44/15 |
| 2007 | 88, 89 | 11-Jun | 30-Jul | 375 | 412 | 32 | 12-30 | 3, 17, 19 | 44/15 |
| 2008 | 88, 89 | 4-Jun | 25-Jul | 374 | 410 | 32 | 12-36 | 3, 17, 19 | 44/15 |
| 2009 | 88, 89 | 2-Jun | 30-Jul | 375 | 408 | 32 | 18-36 | 3, 17 | 44/15 |
| 2010 | 89, 162 | 7-Jun | 4-Aug | 375 | 403 | 23 | 12-42 | 3, 17, 19 | 44/15 |
| 2011 | 89, 162 | 5-Jun | 31-Jul | 375 | 396 | 20 | 12-36 | 3, 17 | 44/15 |
| 2012 | 89, 162 | 4-Jun | 2-Aug | 375 | 396 | 20 | 18-36 | 3, 17 | 44/15 |
| 2013 | 89, 162 | 9-Jun | 1-Aug | 375 | 376 |  | 18-36 | 3 | 44/15 |


|  | Survey <br> Year | Standard Stations | Hot Spot | Bristol Bay <br> Retow | Extra Tows (non-standard) | OCSEAPI Synoptic | Triennial or North.Ext. | Winter Survey |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tows 1 hr | 1975 | $\mathrm{x}^{9}$ |  |  | X | X |  |  |
| Tows 1 hr | 1976 | $\mathrm{x}^{9}$ |  |  | X | $\chi^{8}$ |  |  |
|  | 1977 | X |  |  | X |  |  |  |
|  | 1978 | x |  |  | X |  |  |  |
|  | 1979 | X |  |  | $X$ (all std haul type) |  | X |  |
| Pribilof Corners Added | 1980 | X |  |  | X |  |  |  |
| Net change 400-mesh to 83-112 | 1981 | $\mathrm{X}^{1}$ |  |  | X |  |  |  |
| Net change 400-mesh to 83-112 | 1982 | $\mathrm{x}^{2}$ |  |  | X |  | X |  |
| St Matthew Corners Added | 1983 | $x^{3}$ |  |  | X |  |  | X |
|  | 1984 | X |  |  | X |  |  |  |
|  | 1985 | X |  |  | X |  | X | X |
|  | 1986 | X |  |  | X |  |  |  |
| 20 stations added in north | 1987 | x |  |  | X |  |  |  |
|  | 1988 | $\mathrm{x}^{4}$ |  |  | X |  | X |  |
|  | 1989 | X |  |  | X |  |  |  |
| Station F06 data deleted | 1990 | X | X |  | X |  |  |  |
|  | 1991 | $x^{5}$ |  |  | X |  | X |  |
| 18 stations dropped | 1992 | $x^{6}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1993 | X | X |  | X |  |  |  |
|  | 1994 | X | X |  | X |  | X |  |
|  | 1995 | X | X |  | X |  |  |  |
|  | 1996 | X |  |  | X |  |  |  |
|  | 1997 | X |  |  | X |  |  |  |
|  | 1998 | X |  |  | X |  |  |  |
|  | 1999 | X |  | $\mathrm{x}^{7}$ | x |  |  |  |
|  | 2000 | x |  | $\mathrm{X}^{7}$ | X |  |  |  |
|  | 2001 | X |  |  | X |  | X |  |
|  | 2002 | X |  |  | X |  |  |  |
|  | 2003 | x | x |  | x |  |  |  |
|  | 2004 | X | X |  | x |  | $x$ |  |
|  | 2005 | x |  |  | x |  | X |  |
|  | 2006 | X | X | $\mathrm{X}^{7}$ | X |  | X |  |
|  | 2007 | X | X | $\mathrm{X}^{7}$ | X |  |  |  |
|  | 2008 | X | X | $x^{7}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2009 | x |  | $\mathrm{x}^{7}$ | X |  |  |  |
|  | 2010 | X | $\mathrm{X}^{10}$ | $\mathrm{x}^{7}$ | X |  | X |  |
|  | 2011 | X |  | $\mathrm{X}^{7}$ | X |  |  |  |
| NOAA FISHERIES | 2012 | x |  | $x^{7}$ | X |  |  |  |
|  | 2013 | $x$ |  |  | $x$ |  |  |  |

## Time series revisions 2008-current

- 2008: Reproduce abundance estimate calculations for entire time series.
- Early time series data to estimate abundance only available on paper.
- 2009: New time series with error fixes (<1\% $\Delta$ )
- 2009: New time series with unmeasured crab ( $1-25 \% \Delta$ )
- 2010: New time series with appropriate net width ( $<10 \% \Delta$ )
- 2010: Recalculate time series with NEW length-wt regressions.
- 2014: New time series (cleaned data)
- Current: Reconsider female mature biomass.
- Current: Reconsider length-wt regression.


## Jan 2014 Modeling Workshop

Unmeasured ("crushed") crabs have been encountered in the survey in some years. Available biological sample information should be used to characterize these catches, preferably from the same tow. If no crabs were measured in a tow, adjacent similar tows can be used. The file of data supplied to assessment authors should clearly indicate which crab were actually measured and which ones were 'inferred'.

## Unmeasured crab (999s)



## Jan 2014 Modeling Workshop

1. select one "standard haul" (HAUL_TYPE=3) per station for each station at which standard hauls were conducted,
2. assign stations to the same standard strata for all years (Prib's MTCA, St. Matt's MTCA, rest of west 166W, east 166W),
3. calculate average CPUE (numbers and weight) and standard errors by year over all sampled stations for each stratum,
4. report the area in each valid stratum by year (i.e., the sum of grids associated with sampled stations),
5. provide results (average CPUE in numbers and weight) by year, stratum, and sex, as well as by year, stratum, sex, shell condition, maturity state (for females) and size (1mm bins).






## New Time Series

Considerations

- Spatial coverage
- Avoid bias associated with multiple tows at station
- Tow duration (avoid 1-hour tows)
- Increase coverage where possible using available data, while maintaining consistency with survey timeframe, gear, tow duration, standard density (one tow per station)
"The CPT agreed that the rationale behind the new time series was an improvement over the current time series, as long as standard Pribilof and St. Matthew corner stations are included. The CPT recommends that assessment authors should investigate the effects of the new time series on size frequencies. "
"The CPT discussed the use of hotspot tows in the time series and concluded that the variance associated with a high density tow which would invoke a post-hoc sampling method should be addressed within stock assessments, rather than adding tows to the survey."
"The CPT agreed that only abundance estimates for female red king crab should be based on leg 3 retows, and the leg 1 data should be used for male red king crab abundance estimates."
"The CPT concluded that the corner stations are useful because they increase the area surveyed, provide lower confidence intervals for most stocks, and are a long-term consistent protocol."
"The CPT recommends that the new time series use standard corner stations, no hotspots or other extra tows, increased coverage following standard protocol where possible, and include an estimate for unmeasured crab."

| Stock | Sex | Difference between old and new timeseries biomass |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Average | Minimum | Maximum |
|  |  | \% | \% | \% |
| Bristol Bay red king crab | Immature male | -1.1\% (-573 t) | -40.0\% (-7,758 t) | 32.9\% (8,051 t) |
|  | Mature male | -5.2\% (-1,552 t) | -56.2\% (-22,281 t) | 35.3\% (46,449 t) |
|  | Immature female | 2.6\% (185 t) | -15.6\% (-666 t) | 33.6\% (2,574 t) |
|  | Mature female | -2.3\% (-527 t) | -26.4\% (-4,198 t) | 38.6\% (28,904 t) |
| Pribilof Islands red king crab | Immature male | -8.0\% (-29 t) | -260.0\% (-13 t) | 27.0\% (152 t) |
|  | Mature male | 0.2\% (183 t) | -41.8\% (-1,298 t) | 36.6\% (314 t) |
|  | Immature female | 0.3\% (0 t) | -23.8\% (-5 t) | 35.7\% (10 t) |
|  | Mature female | 1.5\% (85 t) | -79.5\% (-372 t) | 74.2\% (72 t) |
| Pribilof Islands blue king crab | Immature male | 2.4\% (48 t) | -19.2\% (-19 t) | 95.7\% (1,246 t) |
|  | Mature male | -1.9\% (-380 t) | -50.3\% (-9,680 t) | 23.2\% (344 t) |
|  | Immature female | 1.1\% (57 t) | -66.7\% (-2 t) | 91.7\% (1,196 t) |
|  | Mature female | $-3.2 \%(-3,598 \mathrm{t})$ | $-235.4 \%(-148,498 \mathrm{t})$ | 61.3\% (1,712 t) |
| St. Matthew Is. blue king crab | Immature male | 0.5\% (9 t) | -2.4\% (-61 t) | 9.4\% (256 t) |
|  | Mature male | 0.9\% (54 t) | 0.0\% (0t) | 11.4\% (670 t) |
|  | Immature female | 0.4\% (1 t) | -1.0\% (-2 t) | 10.5\% (31 t) |
|  | Mature female | -0.3\% (-1 t) | -17.9\% (-25 t) | 2.7\% (8t) |
| Tanner crab <br> east of $166^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ | Immature male | 1.5\% (352 t) | -24.8\% (-496 t) | 41.7\% (1,625 t) |
|  | Mature male | 2.4\% (1,300 t) | -17.4\% (-4,063 t) | 41.8\% (11,614 t) |
|  | Immature female | 0.6\% (278 t) | -39.3\% (-509 t) | 55.7\% (1,824 t) |
|  | Mature female | 1.5\% (860 t) | -13.0\% (-638 t) | 42.3\% ( $23,481 \mathrm{t}$ ) |
| Tanner crab west of $166^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ | Immature male | 0.4\% (127 t) | -17.6\% (-2,423 t) | 14.9\% ( $5,163 \mathrm{t}$ ) |
|  | Mature male | 0.8\% (234 t) | -28.2\% (-5,792 t) | 19.6\% (3,877 t) |
|  | Immature female | -0.2\% (-10 t) | -22.7\% (-3,629 t) | 7.5\% (947 t) |
|  | Mature female | 0.8\% (95 t) | -12.9\% (-3,039 t) | 13.9\% (1,309 t) |
| snow crab | Immature male | 0.1\% (477 t) | $-2.8 \%$ (-3,171 t) | 9.2\% (17,820 t) |
|  | Mature male | 0.5\% (723 t) | $-7.3 \%$ (-8,149 t) | 10.9\% (12,205 t) |
|  | Immature female | -0.2\% (-157 t) | $-14.9 \%$ (-10,233 t) | 6.5\% (5,443 t) |
|  | Mature female | -0.1\% (-554 t) | -11.8\% (-30,935 t) | 7.2\% (11,138 t) |

## Bristol Bay red king crab



## Pribilof Islands red king crab





## Pribilof Islands blue king crab






## St. Matthew Island blue king crab
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## Tanner crab east of $166^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$





## Tanner crab west of $166^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$
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## Snow crab
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## Mature biomass definition

- Currently use ADFG cut line for both females and males
- Females: data available
- Size at maturity
- Mature abundance
- Males: data not available
- Chela height data for Chionoecetes
- Not feasible to measure all.
- The following compares mature female biomass in the new time-series as calculated by carapace size and clutch size (scored by egg presence and/or shape of abdominal flap).


## Mature females: Size cut-off vs. egg presence

|  | Percent Difference |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Average | Min | Max |
| Bristol Bay red king crab | $-0.3 \%$ | $-27.9 \%$ | $17.4 \%$ |
| Pribilof Islands red king crab | $9.0 \%$ | $-0.6 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |
| Pribilof Islands blue king crab | $-5.8 \%$ | $-115.8 \%$ | $68.5 \%$ |
| St. Matthew Island blue king crab | $35.3 \%$ | $-25.9 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |
| Tanner crab east of $166^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ | $-33.3 \%$ | $-119.7 \%$ | $51.7 \%$ |
| Tanner crab west of $166^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ | $-50.9 \%$ | $-153.6 \%$ | $-3.8 \%$ |
| snow crab | $-5.3 \%$ | $-43.1 \%$ | $36.1 \%$ |

## Bristol Bay red king crab



Pribilof Islands red king crab


Mature Female



## Pribilof Islands blue king crab

## 



## St. Matthew Island blue king crab



## Tanner crab east of $166^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$




## Tanner crab west of $166^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$




## Snow crab



## New time-series: 1 Regression factor vs. 2 regression factors

- The following compares mature male and female biomass calculations in the new time-series using the standard regression factors (2 sets: old 19752009 and new 2010-2014) and the new regression factors only (1975-2014).
- New regression factors include separate calculations for ovigerous and non-ovigerous females.


## 1 Regression factor vs. 2 regression factors

|  |  | Percent Difference |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Stock | Sex | Average | Min | Max |
| Bristol Bay | Mature male | $-2.04 \%$ | $-2.23 \%$ | $-1.94 \%$ |
| red king crab | Mature female | $-30.00 \%$ | $-36.64 \%$ | $-22.58 \%$ |
| Pribilof Islands | Mature male | $-1.86 \%$ | $-2.53 \%$ | $-1.10 \%$ |
| red king crab | Mature female | $-37.26 \%$ | $-51.99 \%$ | $-24.20 \%$ |
| Pribilof Islands | Mature male | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| blue king crab | Mature female | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| St. Matthew Island | Mature male | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| blue king crab | Mature female | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Tanner crab | Mature male | $2.56 \%$ | $2.22 \%$ | $3.10 \%$ |
| east of $166^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ | Mature female | $42.39 \%$ | $41.54 \%$ | $44.06 \%$ |
| Tanner crab | Mature male | $1.83 \%$ | $1.22 \%$ | $2.98 \%$ |
| west of $166^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ | Mature female | $43.26 \%$ | $42.19 \%$ | $44.13 \%$ |
| snow crab | Mature male | $0.17 \%$ | $0.03 \%$ | $0.28 \%$ |
|  | Mature female | $-27.75 \%$ | $-32.52 \%$ | $-19.56 \%$ |

Bristol Bay red king crab


Carapace length (mm)


Pribilof District red king crab


Carapace length (mm)


## Pribilof District blue king crab

- Not enough samples have been collected between 2007-2014 to update regression factors.


## St. Matthews Island blue king crab

- Not enough samples have been collected between 2007-2014 to update regression factors.


## Tanner crab east of $166^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$



Carapace width (mm)


## Tanner crab west of $166^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$



Carapace width (mm)


Snow crab


## Jan 2014 Modeling Workshop

Biological information should be included in the file provided to assessment authors with sufficient information that the sum of the measured crabs, after accounting for the sampling fraction, would match the observed haul weight for the species. The information provided in the past did not always satisfy this specification: for example, when the haul was not completely sampled, there were "crushed" crabs in the tow, or the length-weight regression differed from that assumed.

