North Pacific Fishery Management Council

Richard B. Lauber, Chairman Clarence G. Pautzke, Executive Director

605 West 4th Avenue Anchorage, Alaska 99501



Mailing Address: P.O. Box 103136 Anchorage, Alaska 99510

> Telephone: (907) 271-2809 FAX (907) 271-2817

Certified by:

Date:

ADVISORY PANEL MINUTES SEPTEMBER 20-23, 1993 ANCHORAGE, ALASKA

The Advisory Panel for the North Pacific Fishery Management Council met on September 20-23, 1993, at the Anchorage Hilton Hotel. Members in attendance were:

Dave Benson

Dave Little

John Sevier

John Bruce, Chair

Stephanie Madsen

Harold Sparck

Al Burch

Pete Maloney

Michael Stevens

Steve Drage

Penny Pagels

Beth Stewart, Vice Chair

Dan Falvey Dave Fraser Dean Paddock Perfenia Pletnikoff Robert Wurm

Kevin Kaldestad

John Roos

Minutes for the June 1993 meeting were approved.

B-6 STELLER SEA LION SURVEY RESULTS

The AP commends the marine mammal lab for continuing its ongoing research on Steller sea lions and appreciates continued updates on marine mammals.

Furthermore, we support improved biomass estimates and distribution patterns of forage fish (capelin, etc.), in addition to other small fish that may be critical to sea lion survival. This information is critical particularly in the winter.

We also recommend that the fisheries science section of the AFSC undergo independent peer review of its field science modeling program as does the marine mammal lab.

We urge further investigations into developing a multi-species approach into fisheries management so that interactions between species are understood and accounted for in stock assessments.

(This motion passed unanimously).

C-2 **OBSERVER PROGRAM**

The AP strongly urges the Council to work for the earliest possible implementation of the research plan. The problems evidenced by Arctic Observers' letter are endemic and will only be fully resolved by the Research Plan.

(This motion passed unanimously).

6.2" 1221.15

The AP also recommends the Council and NMFS take whatever action is necessary to require 100% observer coverage on all trawlers and longliners over 60 feet fishing for directed fisheries for Pacific cod, and groundfish in BSAI areas 511, 517, and 509 until directed fishing for Pacific cod closes. This includes 30% coverage for vessels greater than or equal 55 feet, effective January 1, 1994.

(This motion passed 9-8).

Minority Report

The following AP members recognize that the Pacific Cod fishery around Unimak Pass has bycatch and fish waste problems. Requiring 100% observer coverage may alter some fishing behavior as compared to unobserved vessels. The proposal passed by the AP imposes additional costs on the midwater pollock fishery operating at the same time as the Pacific cod fishery. The inclusion of vessels operating in a midwater pollock fishery will not produce better information than is already available for that fishery. The midwater pollock fishery should not be included in this additional coverage.

Further, we believe that NMFS should determine if there is a need for more observer coverage in various fisheries. Observer coverage distribution is better addressed through the North Pacific Research Plan and we urge implementation of that plan as soon as possible.

Signed:

Al Burch Steve Drage Stephanie Madsen Pete Maloney John Roos John Sevier Beth Stewart

C-4 SABLEFISH & HALIBUT IFOS

The AP discussed a motion to recommend the Council adopt the partial/full block alternative with a 2 block limit and vessel size limits. This motion failed 4/11. The AP than voted 11/4 to take no further action.

C-5 HALIBUT MANAGEMENT

The AP recommends that the Council announce a control date at the January Council meeting for a moratorium and establish a working group comprised of staff, industry, and charter operators to develop traditional management tools and identify alternatives for limited access. This group would report back

AP Minutes 2 September 27, 1993

C-2

to the Council at the January meeting. The analysis should include a look at the actions of the Pacific Council, and other Councils.

(This motion passed 10-1).

C-6 SCALLOP MANAGEMENT

After a staff report and public testimony, the AP engaged in a lengthy discussion before moving to recommend that the Council adopt Alternative 3, Option 2, with the following changes in the 8 elements and options, Category 1 (fixed in FMP), and Category 2 (Discretion of State):

Elements and Options:

1) Qualifying Criteria

Beginning Dates

b. January 1, 1980 (coincides with groundfish moratorium)

Ending Dates

b. January 20, 1993 (Control Date for scallop fishery)

2) Length of Moratorium

a. Until the Council rescinds or replaces; not to exceed 3 years from date of implementation, but Council may extend for two years if a permanent limited access program is imminent.

3) Crossovers During Moratorium

b. Crossovers to other fisheries (groundfish, crab, or halibut) during the moratorium will not be allowed.

4) Reconstruction of Vessels During the Moratorium

- a. Vessels may be reconstructed during the moratorium subject to limitations and conditions listed below.
 - 3. If physical reconstruction started on or after January 20, 1993, new size restricted to a 20% increase in vessel length; no more upgrades allowed.

5) Replacement of Vessels During the Moratorium

b. Qualifying vessels can be replaced with non-qualifying vessels as often as desired so long as the replaced vessel leaves the fishery or bumps another qualifying vessel out in the case of multiple transactions. Vessel size can be increased as many times as desired, but restricted to a 20% maximum increase in original qualifying vessel length.

6) A. Replacement of Vessels Lost or Destroyed During the Moratorium

- b. Qualifying vessels can be replaced with non-qualifying vessels subject to a 20% maximum increase in vessel length. Replaced vessels cannot be salvaged and come back into the fishery.
- B. Replacement of Vessels Lost or Destroyed Before the Moratorium

b. Qualifying vessels can be replaced with non-qualifying vessels subject to a 20% maximum increase in vessel length. Replaced vessels cannot be salvaged and come back into the fishery.

7) Small Vessel Exemption

a. Exempt small vessels from the moratorium. In the Gulf of Alaska, vessels 26 feet or less are exempted from the moratorium. In the Bering Sea/Aleutian Islands, vessels 32 feet or less are exempted from the moratorium.

8) Appeals Process

a. The appeals procedure will consist of an adjudication board of government persons and non-voting industry representatives.

Additional Elements:

- 1) No pipeline exceptions.
- 2) Must have been a participant in 1991 or 1992 or any four (4) years of qualifying years.

Proposed Management Measures:

Category 1

Category 2

(Fixed in FMP)

(Discretion of State)

Permit Requirements

Legal Gear

Federal Observer

Minimum Size Limits

Requirements

Reporting Requirements

Limited Access

(Moratorium,

Guideline Harvest Levels

Individual Quotas)

In-season Adjustments

Closed Waters

Districts, Subdistricts and Sections

Bycatch Limits

Fishing Seasons

State Observer Requirements

Registration Areas

Closed Waters

Efficiency Limits

Other

Rationale:

Legal Gear - AP recommends placing this item in Category 2. The State is currently regulating this and has the expertise to continue.

Permit Requirements - AP recommends this remain in Category 1 since the State may have no authority over vessels fishing exclusively in the EEZ.

Federal Observer Requirements - AP recommends this stay in Category 1 so this fishery can be rolled into the Research Plan.

Closed Waters - AP recommends that closed waters be in Category 1 and 2. The State is in the best position to close State waters, however, the Council needs this authority to regulate this fishery in conjunction with other federal fisheries, particularly in response to bycatch concerns.

Bycatch Limits -AP recommends this item be moved to Category 1 because the scallop fishery bycatch of crab needs to be regulated in concert within the PSC limit system already in place.

Generally speaking, the AP supported developing an FMP because a large proportion, if not the majority, of this fishery takes place in the EEZ and because the crab bycatch must be addressed in concert with all other fisheries in the EEZ. The AP wants to see the appropriate elements of the EA/RIR drafted into an FMP for final review and action in December.

D-1 CRAB MANAGEMENT

The AP recommends that the Council and the State of Alaska form a working group to address the issues raised in this agenda item. It appears that the loss of institutional memory and awareness of the BSAI FMP has resulted in much of the dissatisfaction expressed. The AP makes no recommendation on any of the specific proposals except the recommendation that Norton Sound Super Exclusive Registration proposal be sent out for public review as a plan amendment with the earliest possible implementation date. The AP does strongly recommend an industry/management team be charged with drafting an operating agreement that spells out how regulations in each category will be developed.

(This motion passed 15-0 with 2 abstentions).

D-2(A) GOA ROCKFISH REBUILDING

The AP listened to staff reports on this item. It is probably fair to say that while the AP members all understood that Alternative 1 was no longer a viable option, the remaining alternatives were less well understood. On a 9 to 8 vote, the AP supported Alternative 3. Those supporting Alternative 3 noted that POP stocks are still at less than 50% of MSY in spite of several commitments to rebuild them over the last 10 years. Recent information provided by NMFS indicates that bycatch considerations and the current low levels of POP may cause other groundfish harvests to be foregone to prevent localized depletion until POP are rebuilt. By choosing Alternative 3 the AP is recommending an aggressive rebuilding policy with the highest probability of success in the shortest time period.

(This motion passed 9-8).

The minority believes Alternative 2 is superior to Alternative 3 and that the rebuilding schedule built into the ABC determination under Alternative 2 is conservative policy. (Note that steady rebuilding has occurred under past policy which resulted in annual harvests more than double the ABC resulting from Alternative 2 policy - Table A2, page 73). The minority believes it is appropriate to maintain a buffer between ABC and TAC especially when ABC equals overfishing, however, the size of the buffer should be determined annually as with all other species in the setting of TAC. Alternative 2 does this and still allows providing for a bycatch only policy based on an annual assessment of needs of other fisheries. Alternative 3 dictates how the TAC buffer will be set for the duration of the rebuilding plan and will result in unnecessary levels of mandated discards.

Signed:

Dave Benson
Al Burch
Steve Drage
Stephanie Madsen
Pete Maloney
Michael Stevens
Beth Stewart

D-2(B) EXCLUSIVE REGISTRATION

At the present, it seems that there is no consensus. There are two major issues being discussed. One is bycatch of halibut controlling TACs and the other is the exclusive registration's allocative effects with respect to pollock and cod in the Western Gulf.

This issue needs to be better developed within industry before the Council makes a decision. The AP has no recommendation on this issue.

D-2(C) PRIBILOF ISLANDS TRAWL CLOSURE

The AP recommends the Council send this document out for public review after economic analysis is included.

- With the addition of Alternative 9.
- The closure be triggered when bycatch reaches 1% of the blue king crab population.

- An option for maintaining a closure of a smaller area approximating the 30 meter contour prior to triggering a cap (1% blue king crab pop; note to incorporate 1993 data).
- Alternative 11 include an alternative with a fixed cap of 20,000 blue king crab. (1993 data should not hold up review of this document).

(This motion passed unanimously).

D-3(A-C) GOA GROUNDFISH

The AP recommends that the following TACs be sent out for public review:

Pollock 83,550 (W. Gulf/16,930; 620/18,250; 630/42,820; E. Gulf 5,500)
Pacific cod 52,700 (Plan Team ABC splits)
Flatfish, Deep 9,000 (W. Gulf/500; Central/8,000; E. Gulf/500)
Rex sole 8,000 (W. Gulf/500; Central/7,000; E. Gulf/500)

All other TACs would be equal to 1993 TACs.

The AP was evenly split (7/7) on a motion to set the Pacific ocean perch TAC at 2,107. As the Council will recall, the AP was nearly evenly split on whether Alternative 2 or 3 was the appropriate method for setting ABCs for Pacific ocean perch.

The AP recommends using the 1993 specifications for initial PSC limits for halibut with 10 tons set aside for hook & line DSR (taken from third timesheet).

(This motion passed unanimously).

The AP has two further recommendations:

- The AP believes this document should contain a notice to the public that Rex sole and rockfish TACs in the GOA may be modified in December to prevent overfishing and localized depletions of Pacific ocean perch.

 (This motion passed unanimously).
- 2) The AP strongly recommends that the results of the 1993 Gulf trawl survey be incorporated in the document in time for review in December.

 (This motion passed 13-1).

D-3(C-E) BSAI GROUNDFISH SPECS FOR 1993

The AP recommends that when Amendment 24 is approved the trimester apportionments be as follows:

		<u>Target</u>	Halibut PSC
1st Trimester	Jan 1 - Apr 30	90%	95%
2nd Trimester	May 1 - Aug 31	10%	5%
3rd Trimester	Sep 1 - Dec 31	Rollover	Rollover

In the event there is a rollover from the trawl fishery, 25% will be assigned to the second trimester, and the remainder into the third trimester.

The AP believes that this apportionment avoids fishing in the summer months when halibut bycatch is high. The 10% allocated to the second trimester is focused on the pot fishery.

	Initial 1994 Plan Team, SSC, and AP recomme				Plan Team	SSC	Advisory Panel	
Species	Area	ABC	TAC	Catch*	1994 ABC	1994 ABC	1994 TAC	
Pollock	W (61)	34,068	24,087	20,540	37,320	16,930	16,930	
	C (62)	36,737	25,974	20,603	40,250	18,250	18,250	
	C (63)	86,195	60,939	45,649	94,430	42,820	42,820	
	Е	3,400	3,400	427	12,250	5,550	5,550	
	Total	160,400	114,400	87,219	184,250	83,550	83,550	
acific Cod	w	18,700	18,700	18,356	17,400	17,400	17,400	
	С	35,200	35,200	33,152	32,700	32,700	32,700	
	E	2,800	2,800	1,555	2,600	2,600	2,600	
	Total	56,700	56,700	53,063	52,700	52,700	52,700	
latfish, Deep	w	2,020	1,740	324	740	740	500	
	C	35,580	15,000	5,917	20,680	20,680	8,000	
	E	7,930	3,000	124	4,990	4,990	500	
	Total	45,530	19,740	6,365	26,410	26,410	9,000	
lex sole	w		•	,	1,280	1,280	500	
CA SUIC	C	included in d	amustar fla	efich.			7,000	
	E	полисса па а	cchwaret 119	rr1911	14,900 2,940	14,900 2,940	7,000 500	
	Total				19,120	19,120	8,000	
lashaad oo to		10 700	8000	,]	1	
lathead sole	W	12,580	2000	607	12,580	12,580	2,000	
	C	31,830	5,000	1,803	31,830	31,830	5,000	
	E Total	5,040	3,000	7	5,040	5,040	3,000	
	Total	49,450	10,000	2,417	49,450	49,450	10,000	
latfish, Shallow	W	27,480	4,500	380	27,480	27,480	4,500	
	С	21,260	10,000	4,820	21,260	21,260	10,000	
	E	1,740	1,740	5	1,740	1,740	1,740	
	Total	50,480	16,240	5,205	50,480	50,480	16,240	
rrowtooth	W	38,880	5,000	1,852	38,880	38,880	5,000	
	С	253,330	20,000	15,656	253,330	253,330	20,000	
	E	29,080	5,000	813	29,080	29,080	5,000	
	Total	321,290	30,000	18,321	321,290	321,290	30,000	
ablefish	w	2,030	2,030	726	2,030	2,030	2,030	
	Ċ	9,610	9,610	11,945	9,610	9,610	9,610	
	W. Yakutat	3,830	3,830	4,561	3,830	3,830	3,830	
	E. Yak./SEO	5,430	5,430	5,367	5,430	5,430	5,430	
	Total	20,900	20,900	22,599	20,900	20,900	20,900	
acific Ocean	w	753	341	492	760	760	341	
erch	C	949	949	1,177	950	950	949	
Cicii	E	1,676	1,270	511	1,670	1,670	1,270	
	Total	3,378	2,560	2,180	3,380	3,380	2,560	
to annotate of								
hortraker/	W	100	90	135	100	100	90	
ougheye	C	1,290	1,161	1,190	1,290	1,290	1,161	
	E Total	570 1.060	513	538	570	570	513	
	Total	1,960	1,764	1,863	1,960	1,960	1,764	
ockfish	W	330	214	444	330	330	214	
Other Slope)	С	1,640	1,064	1,541	1,640	1,640	1,064	
	E	6,330	4,105	1,455	6,330	6,330	4,105	
	Total	8,300	5,383	3,440	8,300	8,300	5,383	
orthern Rockfish	W	1,000	1,000	798	1,000	1,000	1,000	
	С	4,720	4,720	3,822	4,720	4,720	4,720	
	E	40	40	61	40	40	40	
	Total	5,760	5,760	4,681	5,760	5,760	5,760	
Rockfish	w	1,010	1,010	260	1,010	1,010	1,010	
Pelagic Shelf)	Ċ	4,450	4,450	1,773	4,450	4,450	4,450	
	E	1,280	1,280	1,058	1,280	1,280	1,280	
	Total	6,740	6,740	3,091	6,740	6,740	6,740	
OSR		800			943	943	800	
	S.E. Out.		800	524				
Thornyhead	Gulfwide	1,180	1,062	1,395	1,180	1,180	1,062	
Atka mackerel	Gulfwide	with other	snecies	3,258	4,800	4,800	4,800	
Other Species	Gulfwide		-prozes	0,200	,,,,,,,	,,,,,,		

* Catch through August 21, 1993

AP Recommended Initial 1994 BSAI Trawl Fisheries PSC Apportionments

And Seasonal Allowances

Fishery Group	Assumed	Halibut	Herring	Red King Crab	C. baird	C. bairdi
	Mortality\1	1		(animals)		
		Cap (mt)	(mt)	Zone1	Zone1	Zone2
Yellowfin sole	70%	592	359	40,000	175,000	1,225,000
Rocksole/other flatfish	70%	588		110,000	475,000	200,000
Turbot/arrowtooth/sablefish	40%	137				
Rockfish	60%	201	9			25,000
Jan. 1 - Mar. 29		0				
Mar. 30 - June 28	İ	81				
June 29 - Dec. 31		120				
Pacific cod	60%	1,000	27	10,000	175,000	400,000
Pollock/mackerel/"o. species"	60%	1,257	193	40,000	175,000	1,150,000
7 MW Pollock (Herring)			1,534			
TOTAL	<u> </u>	3,775	2,122	200,000	1,000,000	3,000,000

^{\1} Mortality rates based on IPHC assumed mortality rates for 1993.

AP Recommended1994 Non-Trawl PSC Bycatch Allowances

Fishery Group	Halibut Mortality	Seasonal Ap	portion
' '	(mt)	(mt)	%
Pacific Cod	825		
Jan 1 - May 14		743	90
May 15 - August 31		8	10
Sept. 1 - Dec. 31		Rollover	
Other Non-Trawl*	75		
Groundfish Pot	Exempt		
TOTAL	900 metric to	ons	

^{*} Includes Hook & Line Sable Fish, Rock fish and Jig



Advisory Panal Recommendations for 1994 VIP Rate Standards

Incentive Program Rate Standards

	Halibut	Zone 1Red King Crab
Fishery and quarter	(kg halibut/mt groundfish)	(# of crab/mt groundfish)
BSAI Midwater Pollock		
First Quarter	1.0	n/a
Second Quarter	1.0	
BSAI Bottom Pollock		
First Quarter	7.5	
Second Quarter	5.0	
BSAI Yellowfin Sole		
First Quarter	5.0	2.5/mt
Second Quarter	5.0	2.5/mt
BSAI Other Trawl Fisheries		
First Quarter	30.0	2.5/mt
Second Quarter	30.0	2.5/mt
GOA Midwater Pollock		
First Quarter	1.0	n/a
Second Quarter	1.0	
GOA Other Trawl Fisheries		
First Quarter	50.0	n/a
Second Quarter	50.0	

DRAFT

INITIAL 1994 BERING SEA/ALEUTIAN ISLANDS GROUNDFISH SPECIFICATIONS

1994 Plan Team, SSC and AP Recommendations (mt)

		C	Council	Plan Team	SSC	Seasonal Advisory Panal		
Species	Area	ABC 1993	TAC 1993	ABC 1994	ABC 1994	Allowance TAC	ITAC	CDQ
Pollock	EBS	1,340,000	1,300,000	1,340,000	1,340,000	1,300,000	1,105,000	97,500
	Roe					45% of ITAC	497,250	43,875
!	Non-Roe					55% of ITAC	607,750	53,625
	Al	58,700	51,600	58,700	58,700	51,600	43,860	3,870
	518	42,000	1,000	156,000	32,000	1,000	850 0	75
Pacific cod	BS/AI	164,500	164,500	183,000	183,000	183,000	155,550	
Yellowfin sole	BS/AI	238,000	220,000	238,000	238,000	111,000	94,350	
Greenland turbot	BS/AI	7,000	7,000	18,800	7,000	7,000	5,950	
Arrowtooth flounder	BS/AI	72,000	10,000	72,000	72,000	10,000	8,500	
Rock sole	BS/AI	185,000	75,000	185,000	185,000	75,000	63,750 0	
Other flatfish	BS/AI	191,000	79,000	191,000	191,000	79,000	67,150 0	
Sablefish	EBS	1,500	1,500	1,500	1,500	1,500	1,275	
	ΑI	2,600	2,600	2,600	2,600	2,600	2,210 0	
POP complex							0	
True POP	EBS	3,330	3,330	3,330	3,330	3,330	2,831	
Other POP complex	EBS	1,400	1,200	1,400	1,400	1,200	1,020	
True POP	Αl	13,900	13,900	13,900	13,900	13,900	11,815	
Sharp/Northern	Al	5,670	5,100	5,670	5,670	5,100	4,335	
Short/Rougheye	Al	1,220	1,100	1,220	1,220	1,100	935 0	
Other rockfish	EBS	400	360	400	400	360	306	
Chief foothish	Al	925	830	925	925	830	706 0	
Atka mackerel	BS/AI	117,100	32,000	245,000	122,500	122,500	104,125	
Alva Illacketel	W	''',''	52,000	109,000	53,900	53,900	45,815	
	C			109,000	55,125	55,125	46,856	
	E			27,000	13,475	1	11,454	
Squid	BS/AI	3,400	2,000	3,400	3,400	2,000	1,700	
Other species	BS/AI	26,600	26,600	26,600	26,600	26,600	22,610	
BS/AI TOTAL		2,476,245	1,998,620	2,748,445	2,490,145	1,998,620	1,698,827	101,445

DRAFT

D-4 GROUNDFISH REGULATORY AMENDMENTS

The AP recognizes that there is a problem with the current DFS in that they are difficult to understand and to enforce. The AP believes that Alternative 2 or 3 may not fully address the problems in the current DFS, the AP recommends that NMFS and Council staff work together to create a matrix system for determining bycatch by species, gear type, and area. This matrix would be updated as necessary on the NMFS bulletin board. Bycatch rates would be set against all possible target species but would only be allowed against a species that was open for directed fishing. There would be no bycatch rate against other bycatch species. Rates would be formulated at 1%, 5%, 10%, 20%, and subject to in-season change.

An industry group will meet with NMFS to develop the grid and numbers to be included in the document before it goes out for public review.

D-5 OTHER GROUNDFISH ISSUES

The AP received a report from the Salmon Foundation working group and strongly encourages the continuing development of this program.