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NSRKC Stock Assessment M odel
M olting and Growth Transition


Does not molt $\longrightarrow$
Molt \& Grow $\longrightarrow$

## Available Data



## Assumptions

- $M=0.18$ for length class 1-5, and 0.648 for class 6
- Same selectivity and catchability for New and Old Shells
- Discards mortality =0.2
- Fishery harvests occur instantly:
- Winter fishery: Feb 01: Nov - M ay
- Summer fisher: July 01: Jun - Sept
- Winter catch selectivity = winter pot survey selectivity


## Changes Fishery \& Data

- Winter fishery 2015
- Commercial: 41,046 (98,750 lb.) The highest ever.
- Subsistence: 7,651 (15,302 lb.). About average.
- Summer commercial fishery 2015
- 6/29-7/24: 144,255 (401,115 lb.) The shortest fishery season ever.
- Total retained harvest: 192,952 (0.52 mill. lb.) <ABC ( 0.58 mill. lb.)
- All data were finalized.
- Changes in fishery regulation
- GHL for winter commercial: 8\% of total GHL (in effect for 2016 season).


## Responses to CPT and SSC

- No requests for model revisions
- No alternative models for SAFE2016?
- Provide trawl survey documentation
- Trawl survey report will be published in fall 2015 (Close to final report is provided).
- Provide documentation of the survey CPUE standardization.
- See Appendix A. How detail this section should be? (original report: SAFE 2013 Appendix ~75 pages).


## Responses to CPT and SSC

- Provide an explanation and legend for figures comparing input sample sizes with effective sample.
- Followed CPT's instruction: SAFE report guide 072612.doc,
- Under 4. Results (best model), 4. Evaluation of the fit to the data, f: Plots of implied versus input effective sample sizes and time-series of implied effective sample sizes.
- Request sample figures and captions.


## Responses to CPT and SSC

- Potential for moving NSRKC to Tier 3.
- CPT: Possibly, provided that we obtain stock-specific maturity information
- SSC: Problematic, until finding out the fate of large males (dying off or moving out?)
- We appreciate a list of stock-specific information needed and research expertise for getting the data.
- Stock specific maturity determine by CL - Chela height relationship?
- Field methods for finding out the fate of large males?


## Standardized CPUE: Data update (G. Bishop, SAFE 2013)

- Model
$\operatorname{Ln}($ CPUE $)=$ year + vessel ID + M odified Stat Area + Week of Year +Permit fishery
- Separate data 1976-1992, 1993-2015.
- Select the best model after step-wise variable selection method.

Data censoring criteria:
\#of years participation (the longer, the better) \#of delivery per year (the more, the better)

## Standardized CPUE: Data update (G. Bishop, SAFE 2013)



## Standardized CPUE: Data update (G. Bishop, SAFE 2013)

Table A1. Final generalized linear model formulae and associated $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ selected for Norton Sound summer commercial red king crab fishery. The dependent variable is $\ln$ (CPUE) in numbers.

| Time series | Years | Deliveries | Explanatory variables | Null dev. | Null df | Resid. dev. | Resid. df | AIC | $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1977- | All | All | YR+VSL+WOY+MSA | 1163.1 | 797 | 445.4 | 653 | 2091 | 0.68 |
| 1992 | $\geq 2$ | $\geq 1$ | YR+VSL+WOY+MSA | 703.7 | 483 | 379.9 | 420 | 1188 | 0.60 |
| $\begin{gathered} 1993- \\ 2015 \end{gathered}$ | All | All | YR +VSL+WOY+MSA +PF | 5363.0 | 6309 | 3050.3 | 6127 | 13688 | 0.50 |
|  | $\geq 5$ | $\geq 5$ | YR+VSL+WOY+MSA+PF | 3374.1 | 4767 | 2175.0 | 4678 | 9971 | 0.46 |

Year

## Standardized CPUE: Data update (G. Bishop, SAFE 2013)



## Jen Bell's studies to NSRKC model

Length-weight relationship


## Jen Bell's studies to NSRKC model

- M aturity size: No new info
- M olt timing: consistent with model assumption
- Movement: No model assumptions
- M olting probability:
- True: Unmolted crab remains the same length crab
- M ay not be true: Newshell \& Oldshell having identical molting probability.
- Separate molting probability functions for new and old shells?
$\left.\begin{array}{|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|}\hline \begin{array}{l}\text { Release } \\ \text { Class }\end{array} & \text { Shell } & \text { number } & \begin{array}{l}\text { Recap } \\ \text { New }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Recap } \\ \text { Old }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Molting } \\ \text { P }\end{array} & \text { Model } \\ \hline 1 & \text { New } & 21 & 21 & 0 & 1.0 & 1.0 \\ \hline 2 & \text { Old } & 0 & & & & \\ \hline 3 & \text { New } & 28 & 28 & 0 & 1.0 & 1.0 \\ & \text { Old } & 2 & 2 & 0 & 1.0 & \\ \hline 4 & \text { Old } & 54 & 49 & 5(0) & 0.91 & 0.97 \\ & \text { New } & 7 & 70 & 14 & 0 & 1.0\end{array}\right]$

Jen Bell's studies to NSRKC model


Jen Bell's studies to NSRKC model


Spring: Higher immature proportion than model Fall: Higher mature proportion than model BTW: both surveys used the same pots.

## Comparison of retained catch: observer vs. dock sample



Observed com fishermen tend to keep more smaller legal crabs. $\%$ of retained but not sold crab $<2 \%$.
Observer discards data may not be representative of fisheries.

## M odeling discussion for Jan 2016 SAFE

- Option 1: Use 2015 approved model (baseline for 2016)
- Issue: High SD of trawl survey selectivity parameter (because selectivity is 1.0)
- Alt. model: SSC recommendation: Fix trawl survey selectivity to 1.0 (no selectivity parameter estimate).
- Close to final model results:

| Scenario | 2015 <br> SAFE | Fixed <br> Trawl |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Parameters | 58 | 57 |
| Total NLL | 302.5 | 302.5 |
| TBA | 9.6 | 9.7 |
| CCPUE | -21.4 | -21.4 |
| TLP (N) | -20.3 | -19.6 |
| TLP (O) | 119.2 | 118.7 |
| WLP (N) | 2.2 | 2.1 |
| WLP (O) | 33.4 | 33.4 |
| CLP (N) | 68.2 | 68.3 |
| CLP (O) | -4.5 | -4.5 |
| OBS (N) | 2.3 | 2.3 |
| OBS (O) | 46.4 | 46.4 |
| REC | 12.4 | 12.3 |
| TAG | 54.9 | 54.9 |
| MMB (2015) | 5.33 | 5.42 |
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## Responses to CPT and SSC

- Include a discussion of the relative uncertainty in model parameters and data employed in the model as well as relative weightings in model configuration for use in best approximating the uncertainty in the OFL.
- Parameters bounds, estimate with SD, weights, RM SE, M CM C of OFL have been on the SAFE.
- Request examples of discussion on "relative uncertainty"

