















































Issue	S. 1520	H.R. 200	Huffman Draft	NPFMC Comments	CCC Consensus?
<b>Limitation on Future Catch Share Programs</b>		Catch shares are defined; Requires a referendum for East Coast programs before a new program can be approved.		The NPFMC notes that objectives are largely being met in our catch share programs.	Yes
<b>Cooperative Research</b>		Requires the SOC, in consultation with the Councils, to publish a plan for cooperative research within 1 year; priority given to expanded use of EM or other technologies.		The NPFMC noted that an explicit plan would benefit management, and prioritizing EM was consistent with efforts underway in the North Pacific.	
<b>Limitation on Harvest in North Pacific Pollock Fishery</b>		Authorizes the Council to increase the pollock harvest cap set under AFA up to 24%.		The NPFMC has no position on this issue, but may in the future pending clarity of the intent, need, and potential impacts of such an action.	
<b>Ensuring Consistent Management of Fisheries Throughout their Range</b>		In case of conflict between MSA and the National Marine Sanctuaries Act or Antiquities Act, the MSA shall control; any restrictions on fisheries necessary to implement a recovery plan under ESA shall be implemented through MSA.		The NPFMC notes that prioritizing the authority of MSA relative to the other statutes likely has positive effects on our ability to meet conservation and management objectives, we do not fully understand the actual affect of the language .	Yes
<b>Arctic CDQ</b>		A minimum of 10% must be set aside for CDQ coastal villages located north and east of the Bering Straight, if council establishes a commercial fishery in the Arctic.		The NPFMC noted it had no opinion on this issue, but noted that it might be useful if Congress provided more guidance with regards to eligible villages and allocation criteria.	