FY14 Cooperative Research EM Plan: Developing NPFMC Alternatives to Operationalize EM in North Pacific Fixed Gear Fisheries

The FY14 cooperative research program has been developed to be responsive to both the implementation of the NPFMC EM strategic plan and to the Senate language below. The goals of the project are to develop two lines of research: (1) a full EM system using stereo cameras with automated processing of imagery for species composition and length data; (2) a standard EM system with a reduced footprint using off-the-shelf digital cameras at the rail and for compliance monitoring. In addition, we will be developing electronic logbooks and evaluating their use in combination with EM to enable an integrated at sea monitoring system. Observers will be used to enable comparisons between information collected by EM and observers to evaluate efficacy of at-sea data collections. Dockside samplers could be used for evaluating retained catch. Performance metrics such as infrastructure, reliability, costs, and data precision and bias will be used to evaluate the tradeoff between costs and benefits of the systems. We will combine data across the lines of research where possible to add analytic power to this study (e.g., lessons learned on infrastructure and electronic reporting installation). Performance analysis will address critical agency management objectives, particularly species identification and length measurements of certain target species such as rockfish and key discard species such as skates, grenadiers and sharks.

This cooperative research project is intended to collect information which will help inform future Council alternatives for EM to enable catch estimation. We are committed to implementing this project as early in 2014 as possible, following Council and OAC feedback. The research should directly feed development of alternatives and analytical work leading to future Council action. The research will be funded in part with Agency funds and will be completed in collaboration with Pacific States Marine Fisheries Commission following standard procurement protocols.

The NMFS 2014 appropriations bill includes language which states:

"Electronic Logbooks -The agreement reiterates Senate language that NOAA shall maintain full funding for the continued installation of electronic logbooks and monitoring systems, which are both inherent to NOAA's core mission and essential to the implementation of current fishery regulations. The electronic monitoring systems shall be part of an integrated at sea monitoring program and shall serve as an alternative to observers for vessels carrying such electronic monitoring systems. The agreement clarifies that NMFS shall work in fiscal year 2014 with the small boat fixed gear fleet to implement a cooperative research program designed to test the functionality of available electronic monitoring systems. This cooperative research program shall address data quality, costs, species identification capabilities, and the reliability of hardware. NMFS shall ensure that this effort is adequately resourced for the fiscal year 2014 work program. Further, when evaluating requests by small boat fixed gear vessels seeking a release from the requirement to carry a human observer due to a lack of physical space or other operational constraint, NMFS is encouraged to exercise reasonable discretion in making such determinations."

E-logbook Gear SensorsYes?Gear SensorsYesYesCompliance Camera NoYes?Nor-Stereo Rail Camera Stereo Chute camera Rail Length Board ObserverYesNoStereo Chute camera Rail Length Board ObserverNoYesStudy TimeframeTarget April 2014-June 2015Image reseasesStudy TimeframeTarget April 2014-June 2015This system would include a standard and sense on larger vessels.This system would include a standard and sense on larger vessels.This system would include a standard and sense on larger vessels.This system would include a standard and sense on larger vessels.EM DescriptionThis system would include a standard and sense on larger vessels.This system would include a standard and atsere rail cameras and a stereo vata.This system would include a dat collection would be triggered by gear sensor data.Evaluating the Efficacy of FM System to Estimate Discard1) Evaluate efficacy of species id of catch at rail1) Evaluate efficacy of species id of catch at railDiscard Estimation Objectives1) Evaluate efficacy of species id of catch at rail2) Evaluate length estimation of catch disposition 3) Evaluate length estimation of catch disposition 3) Evaluate length estimation of catch disposition 3) Evaluate length estimation of catch disposition and drop-off to observer3) Evaluate length estimation of catch disposition and drop-off to observerData1) Haul sensor control of instruments 2) beta-testing & improvement of E- logbook including auto generated lat/longs 3) Camera placement and operati	Tools and Objectives	Stereo EM System	Standard EM System
Gear Compliance Camera YesYesYesNon-Stere Chail Camera Stereo Chute camera Rail Length Board ObserverYesNoStereo Chute camera Rail Length Board ObserverYesNoNumber of Vessels5-10 vessels for each category depending on responsiveness to RFP and funding make sense on larger vessels.This system would include a standard and stereo rail cameras and a stereo chute camera. Video data collection would be triggered by gear sensor data.This system would include a standard rail camera and potentially a deck camera. Video data collection would be triggered by gear sensor data.Evaluating the Efficacy of EM System to Estimate Discard Discard Estimation objectives1) Evaluate efficacy of species id of catch at rail 2) Evaluate efficacy of species id of catch at rail 2) Evaluate efficacy of species id of catch at rail a) Evaluate efficacy of species id of catch at rail 3) Evaluate efficacy of species id of catch at rail 3) Evaluate efficacy of species id of catch at rail a) Evaluate sampling rates1) Evaluate efficacy of species id of catch area raid ramports ad rop-offs 3) Evaluate sampling rates1) Evaluate efficacy of species id of catch area raid rop-offs 4) Evaluate sampling ratesComparisons of Species to observer1) Edmamera(s) retained and discard to observer1) Evaluate sampling ratesData1) Haul sensor control of instruments 2) Ext camera (s) drop-off to observer1) Edmamerated ad discard to observerData1) Haul sensor control of instruments 2) Ext camera and operation and troubleshooting1) Haul sensor control of instruments 2) Ext camera and operatio	E-logbook	Yes	?
Compliance Camera Non-Stereo Rail Camera YesYes?Non-Stereo Rail Camera Stereo Rail Camera Rail Length BoardYesNoStereo Rail Camera Rail Length BoardYesNoRail Length BoardNoYesStudy TimeframeTarget April 2014-June 2015Image Stereo Rail Camera Study TimeframeFarget April 2014-June 2015Number of Vessels5-10 vessels for each category depending on responsiveness to RFP and funding make sense on larger vessels.This system would include a standard rail camera and potentially a deck camera. Video data collection would be triggered by gear sensor data.This system would include a standard rail camera and potentially a deck camera. Video data collection would be triggered by gear sensor data.Evaluating the Efficacy of EMSystem to Estimate Discard Discard Estimation Objectives1) Evaluate efficacy of species id of drop-offs1) Evaluate efficacy of species id of drop-offs3) Evaluate efficacy of catch disposition3) Evaluate efficacy of catch disposition1) Evaluate efficacy of catch disposition1) Evaluate efficacy of catch disposition and Count3) Evaluate emera (s) retained and discard to observer1) Evaluate efficacy of to observer1) Evaluate efficacy of to observer3) Evaluate the Steroner and to stereo rail to stereo chute1) Evaluate efficacy of to observer2) EM camera (s) drop-offs4) Evaluating the EM System1) Evaluate discard to to observer1) Evaluate efficacy of to observer3) Evaluate ficacy of species id of drop-offs1) Evaluate efficacy of to observer2) EM camera(Gear Sensors	Yes	Yes
Non-Stereo Rail Camera Stereo Rail Camera YesYesYesStereo Chute camera Rail Length Board ObserverNoYesNumber of VesselsTarget April 2014-June 2015[Partial coverage]?Number of Vessels5-10 vessels for each category depending on responsiveness to RFP and funding make sense on larger vessels.This system would include a standard rail camera and potentially a deck camera. Video data collection would be triggered by gear sensor data.This system would include a standard rail camera and potentially a deck camera. Video data collection would be triggered by gear sensor data.Evaluating the Efficacy of EVSystem to Estimate Discard Objectives1) Evaluate efficacy of species id of catch at rail 2) Evaluate efficacy of species id of drop-offs1) Evaluate efficacy of species id of drop-offs3) Evaluate efficacy of species id of drop-offs3) Evaluate efficacy of species id of drop-offs1) Evaluate efficacy of species id of drop-offs3) Evaluate efficacy of species id of od drop-offs3) Evaluate efficacy of species id of drop-offs3) Evaluate efficacy of catch and drop-offs3) Evaluate efficacy of species id of od sposition and drop-off to observer1) EM camera (s) prop-off to observer3) Evaluate efficacy of catch and drop-off to observer2) EM disposition and drop-off to observer3) EM disposition and drop-off to observer3) EM disposition and drop-off to observer3) Ead and operational Objectives1) Haul sensor control of instruments 2) beta-testing & improvement of E- logbook including auto generated lat/(rongs)1) Haul sensor control of instrument	Compliance Camera	Yes	?
Stereo Rail Camera Stereo Chute camera Rail Length Board ObserverYesNo No Yes [Partial coverage]?Study TimeframeTarget April 2014-June 2015[Partial coverage]?Study Timeframe5-10 vessels for each category depending on responsiveness to RFP and funding make sense on larger vessels.Type of VesselsHook and line vessels; primary focus vessels <57.5, but some of the testing might make sense on larger vessels.EM DescriptionThis system would include a standard and stereo rail cameras and a stereo chute camera. Video data collection would be triggered by gear sensorThis system would include a standard rail camera and potentially a deck camera. Video data collection would be triggered by gear sensorEValuating the Efficacy of EV Exystem to Estimate Discard1) Evaluate efficacy of species id of catch at rail 2) Evaluate efficacy of species id of drop-offs1) Evaluate efficacy of species id of drop-offs3) Evaluate length estimation of catch and drop-offs3) Evaluate length estimation of catch and drop-offs3) Evaluate length estimation of catch and drop-offs4) Evaluate sampling rates4) Evaluate sampling rates4) Evaluate length estimation of catch and drop-offtData1) EM camera(s) retained and discard to observer 2) EM disposition and drop-off to observer1) Haul sensor control of instruments 2) beta-testing & improvement of E- logbook including auto generated lat/longs1) Haul sensor control of instruments 2) beta-testing & improvement of E- logbook including auto generated lat/longs3) Camera placement and operation a) Camera placement and operation a) Camera placement and operatio	Non-Stereo Rail Camera	Yes	Yes
Stereo Chute camera Rail Length Board ObserverYes Yes [Partial coverage]?Study TimeframeTarget April 2014-June 2015Number of Vessels5-10 vessels for each category depending on responsiveness to RFP and funding make sense on larger vessels.EM DescriptionThis system could include a standard and stereo rail cameras and a stereo chute camera. Video data collection would be triggered by gear sensor data.This system would include a standard rail camera and potentially a deck camera. Video data collection would be triggered by gear sensor data.EM Description1)Evaluate efficacy of species id of catch at rail 2) Evaluate efficacy of species id of catch at rail 2) Evaluate efficacy of species id of drop-offs1) Evaluate efficacy of species id of catch at rail 2) Evaluate efficacy of catch disposition 3) Evaluate efficacy of catch disposition 3) Evaluate efficacy of catch and drop-offs1) Evaluate efficacy of catch disposition 3) Evaluate length estimation of catch and drop-offsOmparisons of Species Identification and Count Data1) Emate camera(s) drop-off to observer 2) EM camera(s) drop-off to observer 2) EM camera dorp-off to observer 2) EM camera dorp-off to observer 2) EM camera and provement of E- logbook including auto generated lat/longs1) Haul sensor control of instruments 2) beta-testing & improvement of E- logbook including auto generated lat/longsPerformance and Costs 2) Infrastructure installation costs 2) Infrastructure operation costs 3) Hardware reliability 4) Image processing costs1) Infrastructure operation costs 2) Infrastructure operation costs 2) Infrastructure operation costs 2) Infrastructure operation cost	Stereo Rail Camera	Yes	No
Rail Length Board ObserverNoYesObserverTarget April 2014-June 2015Number of Vessels5-10 vessels for each category dependiments make sense on larger vessels.FYpe of VesselsHook and line vessels; primary focus vessels <57.5, but some of the testing might make sense on larger vessels.EM DescriptionThis system would include a standard and stereo rail cameras and a stereo chute camera. Video data collection would be triggered by gear sensorThis system would include a standard rail camera and potentially a deck camera. Video data collection would be triggered by gear sensor data.Evaluating the Efficacy of System to Estimate Discard1) Evaluate efficacy of species id of catch at rail1) Evaluate efficacy of species id of catch at rail2) Evaluate efficacy of species id of drop-offs3) Evaluate efficacy of species id of drop-offs2) Evaluate efficacy of catch disposition3) Evaluate efficacy of species id of observer1) Evaluate efficacy of catch disposition3) Evaluate efficacy of catch disposition3) Evaluate efficacy of species id of observer1) EM camera(s) drop-offs3) Evaluate efficacy of catch disposition3) Evaluate efficacy of species id of observer1) EM camera(s) drop-offs1) Evaluate efficacy of species id observerData1) EM camera(s) drop-off to observer 2) EM camera(s) drop-off to observer2) EVA 2) EM camera(s) drop-off to observer3) EM disposition and drop-offs3) EVAluate ficacy of catch disposition and drop-off to observer3) EM disposition and drop-off to observerData1) Haul sensor control	Stereo Chute camera	Yes	No
Observer Yes [Partial coverage]? Study Timeframe Target April 2014-June 2015 Image April 2014-June 2015 Number of Vessels 5-10 vessels for each category depending on responsiveness to RFP and funding Type of Vessels Hook and line vessels; primary focus vessels <57.5, but some of the testing might make sense on larger vessels. EM Description This system would include a standard and steero chute camera. Video data collection would be triggered by gear sensor data. Evaluating the Efficacy of EM System to Estimate Discard This system of years ensor data. Discard Estimation Objectives 1) Evaluate efficacy of species id of catch at rail 1) Evaluate efficacy of species id of drop-offs 2) Evaluate efficacy of species id of drop-offs 1) Evaluate efficacy of catch disposition 3) Evaluate efficacy of catch disposition 3) Evaluate efficacy of catch disposition 1) Evaluate efficacy of catch disposition 3) Evaluate efficacy of catch disposition 4) Evaluate efficacy of ofto observer 1) EM camera(s) retained and discard to observer 1) EM camera (s) retained and discard to observer 3) EM disposition and drop-off to observer 3) EM disposition and drop-off to observer 1) EM camera drop-off to observer 3) EM disposition and drop-off to observer 3) EM disposition and drop-off to observer <td< th=""><th>Rail Length Board</th><th>No</th><th>Yes</th></td<>	Rail Length Board	No	Yes
Study Timeframe Target April 2014-June 2015 Number of Vessels 5-10 vessels for each category depending on responsiveness to RFP and funding make sense on larger vessels. EM Description This system would include a standard and stereo rail cameras and a stereo chute camera. Video data collection would be triggered by gear sensor data. This system would include a standard rail camera and potentially a deck camera. Video data collection would be triggered by gear sensor data. Evaluating the Efficacy of EM System to Estimate Discard 1) Evaluate efficacy of species id of catch at rail 1) Evaluate efficacy of species id of drop-offs 3) Evaluate efficacy of species (d drop-offs 1) Evaluate efficacy of species id of drop-offs 1) Evaluate efficacy of species id of drop-offs 3) Evaluate length estimation of catch and drop-offs 3) Evaluate length estimation of catch and drop-offs 3) Evaluate length estimation of catch and drop-offs 3) Evaluate length estimation of catch and drop-offs 1) EM camera(s) retained and discard to observer 1) EM camera (s) drop-off to observer 2) EM camera (s) drop-off to observer 1) EM camera and Costs 1) Haul sensor control of instruments 2) beta-testing & improvement of E- logbook including auto generated lat/longs 1) Haul sensor control of instruments 2) beta-testing & improvement of E- logbook including auto generated lat/longs 1) Haul sensor control of instruments 2) Infrastructure installation costs 2) Infrastructure operation costs 3) Hardware reliabili	Observer	Yes	[Partial coverage]?
Number of Vessels5-10 vessels for each category depending on responsiveness to RFP and fundingType of VesselsHook and line vessels; primary focus vessels <57.5, but some of the testing might make sense on larger vessels.EM DescriptionThis system would include a standard and stereo rail cameras and a stereo chute camera. Video data collection would be triggered by gear sensor data.This system would include a standard rail camera and potentially a deck camera. Video data collection would be triggered by gear sensor data.Evaluating the Efficacy of EM System to Estimate Discard1) Evaluate efficacy of species id of catch at rail 2) Evaluate efficacy of species id of catch at rail 2) Evaluate efficacy of species id of drop-offs1) Evaluate efficacy of species id of drop-offs3) Evaluate efficacy of of catch disposition and drop-offs1) EV camera (s) retained and discard to observer1) EM camera (s) retained and discard to observerData1) EM camera(s) ortorol of instruments 2) beta-testing & improvement of E- logbook including auto generated lat/longs1) Haul sensor control of instruments 2) beta-testing & improvement of E- logbook including auto generated lat/longs1) Haul sensor control of instruments 2) beta-testing & improvement of E- logbook including auto generated lat/longs1) Infrastructure installation costs 2) Infrastructure operation costs 3) Hardware reliability1) Infrastructure operation costs 3) H	Study Timeframe	Target April 2014-June 2015	
Type of VesselsHook and line vessels; primary focus vessels <57.5, but some of the testing might make sense on larger vessels.EM DescriptionThis system would include a standard and stereo rail cameras and a stereo chute camera. Video data collection would be triggered by gear sensor data.This system would include a standard rail camera and potentially a deck camera. Video data collection would be triggered by gear sensor data.Evaluating the Efficacy of EM System to Estimate Discard Discard Estimation Objectives1)Evaluate efficacy of species id of drop-offs1) Evaluate efficacy of species id of drop-offsDiscard Estimation Objectives1)Evaluate efficacy of species id of drop-offs1) Evaluate efficacy of catch disposition 3) Evaluate length estimation of catch and drop-offs1) Evaluate efficacy of catch adisposition 3) Evaluate length estimation of catch and drop-offsComparisons of Species Identification and Count Data1) EM camera(s) retained and discard to observer1) EM camera(s) drop-off to observer2) EM camera dop-off to observer 3) EM disposition and drop-off to observer1) Haul sensor control of instruments 2) beta-testing & improvement of E- logbook including auto generated lat/longs1) Haul sensor control of instruments 2) beta-testing & improvement of E- logbook including auto generated lat/longs1) Infrastructure installation costs 2) Infrastructure installation costs 3) Hardware reliability 4) Image processing costs1) Infrastructure operation costs 3) Hardware reliability 4) Image processing costs	Number of Vessels	5-10 vessels for each category depending	g on responsiveness to RFP and funding
EM DescriptionThis system would include a standard and stereo rail cameras and a stereo chute camera. Video data collection would be triggered by gear sensor data.This system would include a standard rail camera and potentially a deck camera. Video data collection would be triggered by gear sensor data.Evaluating the Efficacy of System to Estimate Discard Objectives1) Evaluate efficacy of species id of catch at rail1) Evaluate efficacy of species id of catch at rail1) Evaluate efficacy of species id of catch at rail1) Evaluate efficacy of species id of drop-offs3) Evaluate efficacy of catch disposition3) Evaluate efficacy of catch disposition3) Evaluate efficacy of catch disposition3) Evaluate efficacy of catch disposition3) Evaluate efficacy of species 4) Evaluate sampling rates4) Evaluate sampling ratesComparisons of Species 1 dentification and Count Data1) EM camera(s) retained and discard to observer1) EM camera(s) drop-off to observerDiscard the EM System1) For camera and drop-off to observer2) EM camera(s) drop-off to observer2) EM disposition and drop-off to observerEvaluating the EM System1) Haul sensor control of instruments 2) beta-testing & improvement of E- logbook including auto generated lat/longs1) Haul sensor control of instruments 2) beta-testing & improvement of E- logbook including auto generated lat/longs3) Camera placement and operation al drop-offsFormance and Costs 2) Infrastructure installation costs 3) Hardware reliability1) Infrastructure installation costs 2) Infrastructure operation costs 3) Hardware reliability1) Infrastructu	Type of Vessels	Hook and line vessels; primary focus vessels <57.5, but some of the testing might make sense on larger vessels.	
and stereo rail cameras and a stereo chute camera. Video data collection would be triggered by gear sensor data.rail camera and potentially a deck camera. Video data collection would be triggered by gear sensor data.Evaluating the Efficacy of EM System to Estimate DiscardI Evaluate efficacy of species id of catch at rail1 Evaluate efficacy of species id of drop-offs0 jectives1 Devaluate efficacy of species id of drop-offs1 Devaluate efficacy of species id of drop-offs1 Devaluate efficacy of species id of drop-offs3 Evaluate efficacy of catch disposition3 Evaluate efficacy of catch disposition3 Evaluate efficacy of catch and drop-offs4 Devaluate sampling rates1 Devaluate sampling rates3 Evaluate efficacy of catch and drop-offs1 Data1 EM camera(s) drop-off to observer1 DeM camera(s) drop-off to observer2 Evaluating the EM System vertor chute2 EW camera(s) drop-off to observer1 DeM camera and polentially a deck camera.Evaluate inflocation and Count Data1 Pervaluate efficacy of species id of disposition and drop-off to observer1 DeM camera (s) drop-off to observer2 Evaluate inflocation and Count Data1 Haul sensor control of instruments 2 Deta-testing & improvement of E- logbook including auto generated lat/longs1 Haul sensor control of instruments 2 Deta-testing & improvement of E- logbook including auto generated lat/longs3 Camera placement and operation a H Interface camera operation and troubleshooting3 Camera aplacement and operation a H Interface camera operation costs 3 Hardware reliability 4 I Image processing costs <th>EM Description</th> <th>This system would include a standard</th> <th>This system would include a standard</th>	EM Description	This system would include a standard	This system would include a standard
Indic tamera is video data conection would be triggered by gear sensor data.Camera is video data conection triggered by gear sensor data.Evaluating the Efficacy of EM System to Estimate Discard Discard Estimation Objectives1)Evaluate efficacy of species id of catch at rail 2) Evaluate efficacy of species id of drop-offs1) Evaluate efficacy of species id of drop-offs2) Evaluate efficacy of species id of drop-offs1) Evaluate efficacy of species id of drop-offs1) Evaluate efficacy of species id of drop-offs3) Evaluate efficacy of catch disposition3) Evaluate efficacy of catch disposition3) Evaluate efficacy of catch and drop-offs4) Evaluate sampling rates4) Evaluate sampling rates1) EM camera retained and discard observer1) EM camera(s) retained and discard to observer1) EM camera retained and discard to observer2) EM disposition and drop-off to observer3) EM disposition and drop-off to observer3) EM disposition and drop-off to observer3) EM disposition and drop-off to observer4) Standard rail to stereo rail to stereo chute1) Haul sensor control of instruments 2) beta-testing & improvement of E- logbook including auto generated lat/longs3) Camera alpacement and operation 4) Interface camera operation and troubleshooting3) Camera placement and operation 4) Intrastructure installation costs 2) Infrastructure operation costs 3) Hardware reliability 4) Image processing costs1) Infrastructure installation costs 2) Infrastructure operation costs 3) Hardware reliability		and stereo rail cameras and a stereo	rail camera and potentially a deck
Would be triggered by gear sensorHiggered by gear sensor data.data.Evaluating the Efficacy of EM System to Estimate DiscardDiscard Estimation Objectives1)Evaluate efficacy of species id of catch at rail1) Evaluate efficacy of species id of drop-offs2) Evaluate efficacy of species id of drop-offs3) Evaluate efficacy of species id of drop-offs2) Evaluate efficacy of species id of drop-offs3) Evaluate efficacy of catch disposition3) Evaluate length estimation of catch and drop-offs3) Evaluate efficacy of catch disposition3) Evaluate length estimation of catch and drop-offs3) Evaluate length estimation of catch and drop-offs4) Evaluate sampling rates4) Evaluate sampling ratesComparisons of Species Identification and Count Data1) EM camera(s) retained and discard to observer2) EM camera(s) drop-off to observer 3) EM disposition and drop-off to observer3) EM disposition and drop-off to observer3) ENd disposition and drop-off to observer3) EM disposition and drop-off to observer4) Standard rail to stereo rail to stereo chute1) Haul sensor control of instruments 2) beta-testing & improvement of E- logbook including auto generated lat/longs1) Haul sensor control of instruments 2) beta-testing & improvement of E- logbook including auto generated lat/longs3) Camera placement and operation 4) Interface camera operation and troubleshootingPerformance and Costs 2) Infrastructure operation costs 3) Hardware reliability 4) Image processing costs1) Infrastructure installation costs 3) Hardware reliability		would be triggered by gear sensor	triggered by gear sensor data
Evaluating the Efficacy of EM System to Estimate DiscardDiscard Estimation Objectives1)Evaluate efficacy of species id of catch at rail1) Evaluate efficacy of species id of drop-offs1) Evaluate efficacy of species id of drop-offs3) Evaluate efficacy of catch disposition3) Evaluate efficacy of catch disposition3) Evaluate efficacy of catch disposition3) Evaluate efficacy of catch disposition3) Evaluate length estimation of catch and drop-offs3) Evaluate efficacy of catch disposition3) Evaluate efficacy of catch disposition4) Evaluate sampling rates4) Evaluate sampling rates1) EM camera (s) retained and discard observer1) EM camera(s) retained and discard to observer1) EM camera (s) drop-off to observer1) EM camera drop-off to observer2) EM camera(s) drop-off to observer3) EM disposition and drop-off to observer3) EM disposition and drop-off to observer4) Standard rail to stereo rail to stereo chute1) Haul sensor control of instruments 2) beta-testing & improvement of E- logbook including auto generated lat/longs1) Haul sensor control of instruments 2) beta-testing & improvement of E- logbook including auto generated lat/longs3) Camera placement and operation 4) Interface camera operation and troubleshootingPerformance and Costs 2) Infrastructure operation costs 3) Hardware reliability 4) Image processing costs1) Infrastructure operation costs 3) Hardware reliability 4) Image processing costs		data.	linggereu by gear sensor uata.
Discard Estimation Objectives1) Evaluate efficacy of species id of catch at rail1) Evaluate efficacy of species id of catch at rail2) Evaluate efficacy of species id of drop-offs2) Evaluate efficacy of species id of drop-offs2) Evaluate efficacy of species id of drop-offs3) Evaluate efficacy of catch disposition3) Evaluate efficacy of catch disposition3) Evaluate efficacy of catch disposition3) Evaluate efficacy of catch disposition3) Evaluate length estimation of catch and drop-offs4) Evaluate sampling rates3) Evaluate length estimation of catch and drop-offs1) End camera(s) retained and discard to observer1) EM camera(s) retained and discard to observer1) EM camera retained and discard to observer2) EM camera(s) drop-off to observer 4) Standard rail to stereo rail to stereo chute3) EM disposition and drop-off to observer3) EM disposition and drop-off to observerEvaluating the EM System1) Haul sensor control of instruments 2) beta-testing & improvement of E- logbook including auto generated lat/longs1) Haul sensor control of instruments 2) beta-testing & improvement of E- logbook including auto generated lat/longs3) Camera placement and operation 4) Interface camera operation and troubleshooting3) Camera placement and operation 4) Interface camera operation and troubleshootingPerformance and Costs 3) Hardware reliability 4) Image processing costs1) Infrastructure installation costs 2) Infrastructure operation costs 3) Hardware reliability 4) Image processing costs1) Image processing costs	Evaluating the Efficacy of	EM System to Estimate Discard	
Objectivescatch at railcatch at rail2) Evaluate efficacy of species id of drop-offs2) Evaluate efficacy of species id of drop-offs2) Evaluate efficacy of species id of drop-offs3) Evaluate efficacy of catch disposition3) Evaluate efficacy of catch disposition3) Evaluate length estimation of catch and drop-offs3) Evaluate efficacy of catch disposition3) Evaluate length estimation of catch and drop-offs3) Evaluate efficacy of catch disposition3) Evaluate efficacy of catch disposition1) EM camera(s) retained and discard to observer1) EM camera(s) retained and discard to observer1) EM camera (s) drop-off to observer2) EM camera(s) drop-off to observer3) EM disposition and drop-off to observer3) EM disposition and drop-off to observer4) Standard rail to stereo rail to stereo chute1) Haul sensor control of instruments2) beta-testing & improvement of E- logbook including auto generated lat/longs1) Haul sensor control of instruments2) Deta-testing & improvement of E- logbook including auto generated lat/longs3) Camera placement and operation and troubleshooting3) Camera placement and operation and troubleshootingPerformance and Costs 3) Infrastructure installation costs 3) Hardware reliability1) Infrastructure installation costs 3) Hardware reliability1) Infrastructure operation costs 3) Hardware reliability4) Inage processing costs4) Inage processing costs4) Inage processing costs	Discard Estimation	1)Evaluate efficacy of species id of	1) Evaluate efficacy of species id of
2) Evaluate efficacy of species id of drop-offs2) Evaluate efficacy of species id of drop-offs3) Evaluate efficacy of catch disposition3) Evaluate efficacy of catch and drop-offs3) Evaluate efficacy of catch disposition3) Evaluate length estimation of catch and drop-offs3) Evaluate length estimation of catch and drop-offs3) Evaluate length estimation of catch and drop-offsComparisons of Species Identification and Count Data1) EM camera(s) retained and discard to observer1) EM camera(s) retained and discard to observer1) EM camera retained and discard to observer2) EM camera(s) drop-off to observer 3) EM disposition and drop-off to observer3) EM disposition and drop-off to observer3) EM disposition and drop-off to observer4) Standard rail to stereo rail to stereo chute1) Haul sensor control of instruments 2) beta-testing & improvement of E- logbook including auto generated lat/longs1) Haul sensor control of instruments 2) beta-testing & improvement of E- logbook including auto generated lat/longs3) Camera placement and operation 4) Interface camera operation and troubleshooting1) Infrastructure installation costs 2) Infrastructure operation costs 3) Hardware reliability 4) Image processing costs1) Infrastructure operation costs 3) Hardware reliability	Objectives	catch at rail	catch at rail
InterpretationInterface camera operation and drop-offs3) Evaluate efficacy of catch disposition3) Evaluate efficacy of catch disposition3) Evaluate length estimation of catch and drop-offs3) Evaluate length estimation of catch and drop-offs3) Evaluate length estimation of catch and drop-offsComparisons of Species Identification and Count Data1) EM camera(s) retained and discard to observer1) EM camera(s) retained and discard to observer1) EM camera (s) drop-off to observer2) EM camera(s) drop-off to observer 3) EM disposition and drop-off to observer3) EM disposition and drop-off to observer3) EM disposition and drop-off to observer4) Standard rail to stereo rail to stereo chute1) Haul sensor control of instruments3) Evaluate sampling ratesLogistical and Operational Objectives1) Haul sensor control of instruments 2) beta-testing & improvement of E- logbook including auto generated lat/longs1) Haul sensor control of instruments 2) beta-testing & improvement of E- logbook including auto generated lat/longs3) Camera placement and operation4) Interface camera operation and troubleshooting1) Infrastructure installation costs 2) Infrastructure operation costs 3) Hardware reliability1) Infrastructure operation costs 3) Hardware reliability9Hardware reliability 4) Image processing costs1) Image processing costs		2) Evaluate efficacy of species id of	2) Evaluate efficacy of species id of drop-offs
S) Private control of catchS) Private control of catchdisposition3) Evaluate length estimation of catch and drop-offs3) Evaluate length estimation of catch and drop-offs3) Evaluate sampling rates4) Evaluate sampling rates4) Evaluate sampling ratesComparisons of Species Identification and Count Data1) EM camera(s) retained and discard to observer1) EM camera(s) retained and discard to observer1) EM camera (s) drop-off to observer2) EM camera(s) drop-off to observer 3) EM disposition and drop-off to observer3) EM disposition and drop-off to observer3) EM disposition and drop-off to observer5) Evaluating the EM SystemPerformance and Costs1) Haul sensor control of instrumentsCoperational Objectives1) Haul sensor control of instruments 2) beta-testing & improvement of E- logbook including auto generated lat/longs1) Haul sensor control of instruments3) Camera placement and operation 4) Interface camera operation and troubleshooting3) Camera placement and operation 4) Interface camera operation and troubleshooting1) Infrastructure installation costs 2) Infrastructure operation costs 3) Hardware reliability1) Infrastructure operation costs 3) Hardware reliability		3) Evaluate efficacy of catch	3) Evaluate efficacy of catch
3) Evaluate length estimation of catch and drop-offs3) Evaluate length estimation of catch and drop-offs2) Evaluate sampling rates4) Evaluate sampling rates4) Evaluate sampling rates1) EM camera(s) retained and discard to observer1) EM camera retained and discard observer1) EM camera retained and discard to observer3) EM disposition and drop-off to observer3) EM disposition and drop-off to observer3) EM disposition and drop-off to observer4) Standard rail to stereo rail to stereo chute3) EM disposition and drop-off to observer3) EM disposition and drop-off to observerEvaluating the EM System1) Haul sensor control of instruments 2) beta-testing & improvement of E- logbook including auto generated lat/longs1) Haul sensor control of instruments 2) beta-testing & improvement of E- logbook including auto generated lat/longs3) Camera placement and operation at roubleshootingPerformance and Costs1) Infrastructure installation costs 2) Infrastructure operation costs 3) Hardware reliability1) Infrastructure operation costs 3) Hardware reliability		disposition	disposition
and drop-offsand drop-offs4) Evaluate sampling rates4) Evaluate sampling ratesComparisons of Species Identification and Count Data1) EM camera(s) retained and discard to observer1) EM camera(s) retained and discard to observer2) EM camera(s) drop-off to observer 3) EM disposition and drop-off to observer3) EM disposition and drop-off to observer3) EM disposition and drop-off to observer4) Standard rail to stereo rail to stereo chute3) EM disposition and drop-off to observer3) EM disposition and drop-off to observer5Logistical and Operational Objectives1) Haul sensor control of instruments 2) beta-testing & improvement of E- logbook including auto generated lat/longs1) Haul sensor control of instruments 2) beta-testing & improvement of E- logbook including auto generated lat/longs3) Camera placement and operation 4) Interface camera operation and troubleshooting3) Camera placement and operation 4) Interface camera operation costs 2) Infrastructure installation costs 2) Infrastructure operation costs 3) Hardware reliability 4) Image processing costs1) Infrastructure operation costs 3) Hardware reliability 4) Image processing costs		3) Evaluate length estimation of catch	3) Evaluate length estimation of catch
4) Evaluate sampling rates4) Evaluate sampling ratesComparisons of Species Identification and Count Data1) EM camera(s) retained and discard to observer1) EM camera retained and discard to observer2) EM camera(s) drop-off to observer2) EM camera (s) drop-off to observer3) EM disposition and drop-off to observer4) Standard rail to stereo rail to stereo chute1) Haul sensor control of instruments3) EM disposition and drop-off to observerEvaluating the EM System1) Haul sensor control of instruments1) Haul sensor control of instruments0perational Objectives1) Haul sensor control of instruments2) beta-testing & improvement of E- logbook including auto generated lat/longs3) Camera placement and operation 4) Interface camera operation and troubleshooting3) Camera placement and operation4) Interface camera operation costs1) Infrastructure installation costs3) Infrastructure operation costs2) Infrastructure operation costs2) Infrastructure operation costs2) Infrastructure operation costs3) Hardware reliability3) Hardware reliability4) Image processing costs4) Image processing costs		and drop-offs	and drop-offs
Comparisons of Species Identification and Count Data1) EM camera(s) retained and discard to observer1) EM camera retained and discard to observer2) EM camera(s) drop-off to observer 3) EM disposition and drop-off to observer2) EM camera (drop-off to observer2) EM camera drop-off to observer3) EM disposition and drop-off to observer3) EM disposition and drop-off to observer3) EM disposition and drop-off to observer4) Standard rail to stereo rail to stereo chute1) Haul sensor control of instruments1) Haul sensor control of instruments2) beta-testing & improvement of E- logbook including auto generated lat/longs1) Haul sensor control of instruments2) beta-testing & improvement of E- logbook including auto generated lat/longs9) Camera placement and operation 4) Interface camera operation and troubleshooting3) Camera placement and operation troubleshooting4) Interface camera operation and troubleshootingPerformance and Costs1) Infrastructure installation costs 3) Hardware reliability1) Infrastructure operation costs 3) Hardware reliability3) Hardware reliability		4) Evaluate sampling rates	4) Evaluate sampling rates
Identification and Count Datato observerobserver2) EM camera(s) drop-off to observer 3) EM disposition and drop-off to observer3) EM disposition and drop-off to observer3) EM disposition and drop-off to observer4) Standard rail to stereo rail to stereo chute1) Haul sensor control of instruments3) EM alsensor control of instruments2) beta-testing & improvement of E- logbook including auto generated lat/longs1) Haul sensor control of instruments2) beta-testing & improvement of E- logbook including auto generated lat/longs3) Camera placement and operation 4) Interface camera operation and troubleshooting3) Camera placement and operation4) Interface camera operation and troubleshooting1) Infrastructure installation costs2) Infrastructure operation costs3) Hardware reliability4) Inage processing costs4) Image processing costs	Comparisons of Species	1) EM camera(s) retained and discard	1) EM camera retained and discard to
Data2) EM camera(s) drop-off to observer2) EM camera drop-off to observer3) EM disposition and drop-off to observer3) EM disposition and drop-off to observer3) EM disposition and drop-off to observerEvaluating the EM System Performance and Costs1) Haul sensor control of instruments1) Haul sensor control of instrumentsOperational Objectives1) Haul sensor control of instrument of E- logbook including auto generated lat/longs1) Haul sensor control of instrument of E- logbook including auto generated lat/longs1) Haul sensor control of instrument of E- logbook including auto generated lat/longs3) Camera placement and operation4) Interface camera operation and troubleshooting1) Infrastructure installation costs3) Camera operation and troubleshootingPerformance and Costs1) Infrastructure operation costs1) Infrastructure operation costs3) Hardware reliability4) Image processing costs4) Image processing costs	Identification and Count	to observer	observer
3) EM disposition and drop-off to observer3) EM disposition and drop-off to observer4) Standard rail to stereo rail to stereo chute3) EM disposition and drop-off to observerEvaluating the EM System Performance and CostsLogistical and Operational Objectives1) Haul sensor control of instruments 2) beta-testing & improvement of E- logbook including auto generated lat/longs1) Haul sensor control of instruments 2) beta-testing & improvement of E- logbook including auto generated lat/longs2) beta-testing & improvement of E- logbook including auto generated lat/longs3) Camera placement and operation troubleshooting3) Camera placement and operation and troubleshooting4) Interface camera operation and troubleshootingPerformance and Costs1) Infrastructure installation costs 2) Infrastructure operation costs 3) Hardware reliability1) Infrastructure operation costs 4) Image processing costs1) Infrastructure operation costs 3) Hardware reliability	Data	2) EM camera(s) drop-off to observer	2) EM camera drop-off to observer
observerobserver4) Standard rail to stereo rail to stereo chute4) Standard rail to stereo reformance and CostsEvaluating the EM System Performance and Costs1) Haul sensor control of instruments2) beta-testing & improvement of E- logbook including auto generated lat/longs1) Haul sensor control of instruments3) Camera placement and operation troubleshooting3) Camera placement and operation troubleshooting3) Camera operation and troubleshootingPerformance and Costs1) Infrastructure installation costs 2) Infrastructure operation costs 3) Hardware reliability1) Infrastructure operation costs 3) Hardware reliability		3) EM disposition and drop-off to	3) EM disposition and drop-off to
4) Standard rail to stereo chute4) Standard rail to stereo chuteEvaluating the EM System Performance and Costs1) Haul sensor control of instruments 0 perational Objectives1) Haul sensor control of instruments 2) beta-testing & improvement of E- logbook including auto generated lat/longs1) Haul sensor control of instruments 2) beta-testing & improvement of E- logbook including auto generated lat/longs1) Haul sensor control of instruments 2) beta-testing & improvement of E- logbook including auto generated lat/longs3) Camera placement and operation4) Interface camera operation and troubleshooting3) Camera placement and operation and troubleshooting4) Interface camera operation and troubleshootingPerformance and Costs1) Infrastructure installation costs 2) Infrastructure operation costs 3) Hardware reliability1) Infrastructure operation costs 3) Hardware reliability		observer	observer
Evaluating the EM System Performance and CostsLogistical and Operational Objectives1) Haul sensor control of instruments 2) beta-testing & improvement of E- logbook including auto generated lat/longs1) Haul sensor control of instruments 2) beta-testing & improvement of E- logbook including auto generated lat/longs2) beta-testing & improvement of E- logbook including auto generated lat/longs3) Camera placement and operation troubleshooting3) Camera placement and operation and troubleshooting4) Interface camera operation and troubleshootingPerformance and Costs1) Infrastructure installation costs 2) Infrastructure operation costs 3) Hardware reliability1) Infrastructure operation costs 3) Hardware reliability		4) Standard rail to stereo rail to stereo	
Logistical and Operational Objectives1) Haul sensor control of instruments1) Haul sensor control of instruments2) beta-testing & improvement of E- logbook including auto generated lat/longs1) Haul sensor control of instruments3) Camera placement and operation 4) Interface camera operation and troubleshooting3) Camera placement and operation at roubleshootingPerformance and Costs1) Infrastructure installation costs 3) Hardware reliability1) Infrastructure operation costs 4) Interface costs3) Hardware reliability 4) Image processing costs3) Hardware reliability	Evaluating the EM System	Performance and Costs	1
Operational Objectives2) beta-testing & improvement of E- logbook including auto generated lat/longs2) beta-testing & improvement of E- logbook including auto generated lat/longs3) Camera placement and operation 4) Interface camera operation and troubleshooting3) Camera placement and operation 4) Interface camera operation and troubleshooting4) Interface camera operation and troubleshootingPerformance and Costs1) Infrastructure installation costs 2) Infrastructure operation costs 3) Hardware reliability1) Infrastructure operation costs 4) Inage processing costs	Logistical and	1) Haul sensor control of instruments	1) Haul sensor control of instruments
Iogbook including auto generated lat/longsIogbook including auto generated lat/longs3) Camera placement and operation 4) Interface camera operation and troubleshooting3) Camera placement and operation 4) Interface camera operation and troubleshootingPerformance and Costs1) Infrastructure installation costs 2) Infrastructure operation costs 3) Hardware reliability1) Infrastructure operation costs 4) Interface costs3) Hardware reliability 4) Image processing costs3) Hardware reliability	Operational Objectives	2) beta-testing & improvement of E-	2) beta-testing & improvement of E-
lat/longslat/longs3) Camera placement and operation3) Camera placement and operation4) Interface camera operation and troubleshooting4) Interface camera operation and troubleshootingPerformance and Costs1) Infrastructure installation costs 2) Infrastructure operation costs 3) Hardware reliability1) Infrastructure operation costs 3) Hardware reliability4) Integrace costs3) Hardware reliability4) Integrace costs3) Hardware reliability4) Integrace costs3) Hardware reliability		logbook including auto generated	logbook including auto generated
3) Camera placement and operation3) Camera placement and operation4) Interface camera operation and troubleshooting4) Interface camera operation and troubleshootingPerformance and Costs1) Infrastructure installation costs 2) Infrastructure operation costs 3) Hardware reliability1) Infrastructure operation costs 3) Hardware reliability4) Indeg processing costs4) Image processing costs		lat/longs	lat/longs
4) Interface camera operation and troubleshooting4) Interface camera operation and troubleshootingPerformance and Costs1) Infrastructure installation costs 2) Infrastructure operation costs 3) Hardware reliability1) Infrastructure operation costs 3) Hardware reliability4) Interface camera operation costs 3) Hardware reliability3) Hardware reliability 4) Image processing costs4) Interface camera operation and troubleshooting		3) Camera placement and operation	3) Camera placement and operation
troubleshootingtroubleshootingPerformance and Costs1) Infrastructure installation costs 2) Infrastructure operation costs 3) Hardware reliability1) Infrastructure operation costs 3) Hardware reliability4) Image processing costs4) Image processing costs		4) Interface camera operation and	4) Interface camera operation and
Performance and Costs1) Infrastructure installation costs1) Infrastructure installation costs2) Infrastructure operation costs2) Infrastructure operation costs2) Infrastructure operation costs3) Hardware reliability3) Hardware reliability3) Hardware reliability4) Image processing costs4) Image processing costs		troubleshooting	troubleshooting
2) Infrastructure operation costs2) Infrastructure operation costs3) Hardware reliability3) Hardware reliability4) Image processing costs4) Image processing costs	Performance and Costs	1) Infrastructure installation costs	1) Infrastructure installation costs
3) Hardware reliability4) Image processing costs3) Hardware reliability4) Image processing costs		2) Infrastructure operation costs	2) Infrastructure operation costs
4) Image processing costs 4) Image processing costs		3) Hardware reliability	3) Hardware reliability
		4) Image processing costs	4) Image processing costs
5) Time from collection to 5) Time from collection to		5) Time from collection to	5) Time from collection to
managamant usa		i management use	

Considerations	Stereo EM System	Standard EM System
Processing of video data	Stereo camera imagery will be processed in real time to gather single images of each catch piece and its length. Applications in development to sort and identify species are not ready at this time, so humans will make species identifications. Video data from rail and compliance cameras will be post processed using AFSC applications and humans for species identification.	Video data from the standard rail and compliance cameras will be post processed by humans for species identification and length.
Data Evaluation	All EM data sources will be compared to observer information for evaluation of EM systems efficacy to provide scientific data to estimate catch.	Observer data will be necessary to evaluate EM ability to gather data to estimate discard. Dockside samplers could be used to evaluate retained catch.
Utility	Aid development of a self contained EM monitoring tool to collect independent scientific data to use for catch estimation (Robot Observer). Will not require use of borrow information for expansion. Designed to support full automation of species ID and weight (video review will only be for compliance).	This system has been shown to be valuable as a compliance tool in an audit logbook based system (Canadian System).

System Applicability to Fishery Management Requirements	Stereo EM System	Standard EM System
Retained Catch - Requires id by species as well as count, weight & disposition	Electronic landing report generated by shore side processor. Could obtain haul specific catch data	Electronic landing report generated by shore side processor
Discarded Catch - Regulatory Discard (e.g. lingcod part of year, SR/RE in prohibit status) - requires id by species (or species groups?) as well as count & weight	Automated species id & length discard data with specific unidentified images marked and sequestered for manual processing of id; 100% length data. Compliance camera used to ensure all catch goes through the stereo camera chute and to determine disposition. Stereo rail camera video to be post- processed for length and ID drop-offs during sub sampling period. No inference from external data.	Video data reviewed manually for species identification and disposition; some length data might be possible. Unidentified fish will be sorted by fish category and data from observed boats in similar time and area stratum used infer species composition and length values for each category.

System Applicability to Fishery Management Requirements	Stereo EM System	Standard EM System
Discarded Catch (not required to be discarded)- requires id by species (or species groups?) as well as count & weight	Same as regulatory discard description above.	Same as regulatory discard description above.
Effort Information - set & retrieval dates, times, & location for all sets on fishing trip, & the number of hooks on each set.	eLogbook (under development) completed by captain; system would also use independent GPS & gear sensors	eLogbook (under development) completed by captain; system would also use independent GPS & gear sensors
Halibut Viability	Derived from observer data on similar vessel types	Derived from observer data on similar vessel types
Seabird Catch - requires id to species of threatened or endangered seabirds & id to species group for other seabirds.	??	??
Biological Data Collection- sex/length frequency data, otolith, stomach content collections	Obtained from observer data on similar vessel types	Obtained from observer data on similar vessel types.
Compliance Monitoring - verification of protocols such as: bird deterrent use, careful release of halibut, fishing in closed areas)	Human review of random segments of video from compliance camera. Review not time critical for in season management.	Deck compliance cameras could be used to determine deck activity related to discard events.
Transmission of data	Landings data transmitted through existing infrastructure. Method to transmit data from vessel not developed yet so several models may work. Once data extracted from video then haul & species composition data transmitted AFSC to AKRO via existing observer interface.	Not developed yet so several models may work. Once data extracted from video then haul & species composition data transmitted AFSC to AKRO via existing observer interface.
Integration into Catch Accounting System (CAS)	Would require changes to the catch accounting system. The scope of these changes will come out as part of the evaluation.	Would require changes to the catch accounting system. The scope of these changes will come out as part of the evaluation.

Linkages to the North Pacific EM/ER Strategic Plan

The cooperative study addresses Strategic Plan **Goal II**, **Objective 1**: Conduct scientific research to advance the science of monitoring and data integration. **Strategy C**: Evaluate EM technologies in the 2013-14 EM project on volunteer vessels in the <57.5 ft longline and pot vessels. **Action**: Evaluate species identification issues. **Action**: Identify data gaps and potential solutions for species weight estimates, biological samples and rare species interactions. **Action**: Assess the efficacy of using technology for capturing information that would quantify discard and provide spatial and temporal distribution of effort.

Analyses of the results from the cooperative study will be used to develop a suite of alternatives for the Council to choose from addressing Strategic Plan **Goal III**, **Objective 1**: Implement EM/ER technology where appropriate and cost effective to improve catch estimation and better inform stock assessments. **Strategy A:** Implement EM as appropriate based on scientific research from goal II. **Action:** Select EM approach. **Action:** Analyze EM approach, impacts, cost, and benefits. Following Council action, the next step will be to initiate Strategic Plan **Action:** Write implementing regulations, **Action:** Implementation, roll out, outreach.