2019 Stock Assessment and Fishery Evaluation Report for the Pribilof Islands Blue King Crab Fisheries of the Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands Regions

William T. Stockhausen (AFSC, NMFS)

07 May, 2019

Contents

Executive Summary	3
A. Summary of Major Changes: 1. Management 2. Input data 3. Assessment methodology 4. Assessment results	6 6 6 7
B. Responses to SSC and CPT Comments	7
C. Introduction 1. Stock	10 10 10 10 10 12
D. Data 1. Summary of new information 2. Fishery data 3. Survey data	13 13 13 15
 E. Analytic Approach 1. History of modeling approaches 2. Model Description 3. Model Selection and Evaluation 4. Results 	16 16 17 17 17
F. Calculation of the OFL 1. Tier Level: 2. Parameters and stock sizes 3. OFL specification	17 17 19 19
 G. Calculation of the ABC 1. Specification of the probability distribution of the OFL used in the ABC 2. List of variables related to scientific uncertainty considered in the OFL probability distribution 	21 21 bility 21

3. List of additional uncertainties considered for alternative σ_b applications to the ABC . 4. Recommendations:	22 22
H. Rebuilding Analyses	23
I. Data Gaps and Research Priorities	23
Literature Cited	23
Tables	27
Figures	37

List of Tables

1	Management performance, all units in metric tons. The OFL is a total catch OFL	
	for each year.	4
2	Management performance, all units in the table are million pounds	4
3	Management performance, all units in metric tons. The OFL is a total catch OFL	
	for each year.	5
4	Management performance, all units in the table are million pounds	5
5	Basis for the OFL (Table 3 repeated). All units in metric tons.	20
6	Basis for the OFL (Table 4 repeated). All units in millions lbs	20
7	Management performance (Table). All units in metric tons. The OFL is a total catch	
	OFL for each year.	22
8	Management performance (Table 2 repeated). All units in the table are million pounds.	23
9	Total retained catches from directed fisheries for Pribilof Islands District blue king	
	crab (Bowers et al. 2011; D. Pengilly and J. Webb, ADFG, personal communications).	27
10	Total bycatch (non-retained catch) from the directed and non-directed fisheries for	
	Pribilof Islands District blue king crab. Crab fishery bycatch data is not available	
	prior to 1996/1997 (Bowers et al. 2011; D. Pengilly ADFG). Gear-specific groundfish	
	fishery data is not available prior to 1991/1992 (J. Mondragon, NMFS)	28
11	Total bycatch (discard) mortality from directed and non-directed fisheries for Pribilof	
	Islands District blue king crab. Gear-specific handling mortalities were applied to	
	estimates of non-retained catch from Table 2 for fixed gear (i.e., pot and hook/line;	
	0.2) and trawl gear (0.8). \ldots	29
12	Bycatch (in kg) of PIBKC in the groundfish fisheries, by target type	30
13	Bycatch (in kg) of PIBKC in the groundfish fisheries, by gear type	31
14	Summary of recent NMFS annual EBS bottom trawl surveys for the Pribilof Islands	
	District blue king crab by stock component	32
15	Abundance time series for Pribilof Islands blue king crab from the NMFS annual	
	EBS bottom trawl survey	33
16	Biomass time series for Pribilof Islands blue king crab from the NMFS annual EBS	
	bottom trawl survey	34
17	Smoothed mature male biomass (MMB) at the time of the survey for Pribilof Islands	
	blue king crab using using the Random Effects Model.	35

18	Estimates of mature male biomass (MMB) at the time of mating for Pribilof Islands
	blue king crab using: (1) the "raw" survey biomass time series and (2) the survey
	biomass time series smoothed using the Random Effects Model. Shaded rows signify
	averaging time period for $B_{MSY}/MSST$. The 2019/20 estimates are projected values
	(see Appendix C)

List of Figures

1	Distribution of blue king crab, *Paralithodes platypus*, in Alaskan waters	37
2	Map of the ADFG King Crab Registration Area Q (Bering Sea), showing (among	
	others) the Pribilof District, which constitutes the stock boundary for PIBKC. The	
	figure also indicates the additional 20nm strip (red dotted line) added in 2013 for	
	calculating biomass and catch data in the Pribilof District.	38
3	Historical harvests and Guideline Harvest Levels (GHLs) for Pribilof Islands red and	
	blue king crab (from Bowers et al., 2011)	39
4	The shaded area shows the Pribilof Islands Habitat Conservation Zone (PIHCZ).	
	Trawl fishing is prohibited year-round in this zone (as of 1995), as is pot fishing for	
	Pacific cod (as of 2015). Also shown is a portion of the NMFS annual EBS bottom	
	trawl survey grid	40
5	Time series of survey abundance for females (immature, mature, and total)	41
6	Time series of survey abundance for males in several categories (immature, mature,	
	sublegal, legal and total).	42
7	Time series of survey abundance for females (immature, mature, and total)	43
8	Time series of survey biomass for males in several categories (immature, mature,	
	sublegal, legal and total).	44
9	Size frequencies by shell condition for male Pribilof Island blue king crab in 5 mm	
10	length bins from recent NMFS EBS bottom trawl surveys.	45
10	Size frequencies from the annual NMSF bottom trawl survey for male Pribilof Islands	
	blue king crab by 5 mm length bins. The top row snows the entire time series, the	16
11	Size frequencies by shall condition for male Dribilof Island blue king arch in 5 mm	40
11	length bins from recent NMES EBS bottom trawl surveys	47
19	Size frequencies from the annual NMSE bottom trawl survey for male Pribilof Islands	41
14	blue king crab by 5 mm length bins. The top row shows the entire time series the	
	bottom shows the size compositions since 1995	48
13	F_{OFL} Control Rule for Tier 4 stocks under Amendment 24 to the BSAI King and	10
	Tanner Crabs fishery management plan. Directed fishing mortality is set to 0 below	
	(= 0.25).	49

Executive Summary

- 1. Stock: Pribilof Islands blue king crab (PIBKC), Paralithodes platypus.
- 2. *Catches:* Retained catches have not occurred since 1998/1999. By catch has been relatively small in recent years. By catch mortality in the crab (e.g., Tanner crab, snow crab) fisheries that incidentally take PIBKC was 0.020t in 2018/19. By catch mortality for PIBKC in

these fisheries was 0.166 t (0.0004 million lbs) in 2015/16, but this was the first non-zero by catch mortality in the crab fisheries since 2010/11; the 5-year average was 0.020 t. Most bycatch mortality for PIBKC occurs in the BSAI groundfish fixed gear (pot and hook-and-line) fisheries (5-year average: 0.040 t) and trawl fisheries (5-year average: 0.086 t). In 2018/19, the estimated PIBKC by catch mortality was 0.005 t in the groundfish fixed gear fisheries and 0.385 t in the groundfish trawl fisheries.

- 3. *Stock biomass:* Stock biomass decreased between the 1995 and 2008 surveys, and continues to fluctuate at low abundances in all size classes. Any short-term trends are questionable given the high uncertainty associated with recent survey results.
- 4. *Recruitment:* Recruitment indices are not well understood for Pribilof Islands blue king crab. Pre-recruits may not be well-assessed by the survey, but have remained consistently low over the past 10 years.
- 5. Management performance: The stock is below MSST and consequently is overfished. Overfishing will be evaluated in September when a complete characterization of bycatch in the groundfish fisheries will be available, but overfishing is not occurring as of April 1, 2019. The following results are based on determining B_{MSY} /MSST by averaging the MMB-at-mating time series estimated using the smoothed survey data from a random effects model; the current (2019/20) MMB-at-mating is also based on the smoothed survey data. [Note: MSST changed substantially between 2013/14 and 2014/15 as a result of changes to the NMFS EBS trawl survey dataset used to calculate the proxy B_{MSY} . MSST has changed slightly since 2014/15 due to small differences in the random effects model results with the addition of each new year of survey data.]

Table 1:	Management	performance, a	all units i	n metric	tons.	1 ne	OFL 1S	a total	catch	OFLI	for (each
year.												

Year	MSST	Biomass (MMB _{mating})	TAC	Retained Catch	Total Catch Mortality	OFL	ABC
2015/16	2,058 A	361 A	closed	0	1.18	1.16	0.87
2016/17	2,053 A	232 A	closed	0	0.38	1.16	0.87
2017/18	2,053 A	230 A	closed	0	0.33	1.16	0.87
2018/19	2,053 A	230 A	closed	0	0.41	1.16	0.87
2019/20		175 B				1.16	0.87

Table 2: Management performance, all units in the table are million pounds.

Year	MSST	Biomass (MMB _{mating})	TAC	Retained Catch	Total Catch Mortality	OFL	ABC
2015/16	4.537 A	0.796 A	closed	0	0.0026	0.0026	0.002
2016/17	4.526 A	0.511 A	closed	0	0.0008	0.0026	0.002
2017/18	4.526 A	0.507 A	closed	0	0.0007	0.0026	0.002
2018/19	4.526 A	0.507 A	closed	0	0.0009	0.0026	0.002
2019/20		0.386 B				0.0026	0.002

Notes: A - Based on data available to the Crab Plan Team at the time of the assessment following the end of the crab fishing year. B - Based on

data available to the Crab Plan Team at the time of the assessment for the crab fishing year.

6. Basis for the 2019/20 OFL: The OFL was based on Tier 4 considerations. The ratio of estimated 2016/17 MMB-at-mating to B_{MSY} is less than β (0.25) for the F_{OFL} Control Rule, so directed fishing is not allowed. As per the rebuilding plan (NPFMC, 2014a), the OFL is based on a Tier 5 calculation of average bycatch mortalities between 1999/2000 and 2005/2006, which is a time period thought to adequately reflect the conservation needs associated with this stock and to acknowledge existing non-directed catch mortality. Using this approach, the OFL was determined to be 1.16 t for 2019/20. The following results are based on determining $B_{MSY}/MSST$ by averaging the MMB-at-mating time series estimated using the smoothed survey data from a random effects model; the current (2019/20) MMB-at-mating is also based on the smoothed survey data.

Table 3: Management performance, all units in metric tons. The OFL is a total catch OFL for each year.

Year	Tier	B _{MSY}	Current MMB _{mating}	B/B _{MSY} (MMB _{mating})	γ	Years to define B _{MSY}	Natural Mortality	P*
2015/16	4c	4,109	361	0.09	1	1980/81-1984/85 &1990/91-1997/98	0.18	25% buffer
2016/17	4c	4,116	232	0.06	1	1980/81-1984/85 &1990/91-1997/98	0.18	25% buffer
2017/18	4c	4,106	230	0.06	1	1980/81-1984/85 &1990/91-1997/98	0.18	25% buffer
2018/19	4c	4,106	230	0.06	1	1980/81-1984/85 &1990/91-1997/98	0.18	25% buffer
2019/20	4c	4,106	175	0.04	1	1980/81-1984/85 &1990/91-1997/98	0.18	25% buffer

Table 4: Management performance, all units in the table are million pounds.

Year	Tier	BMEY	Current	$B/B_{\rm MSY}$	γ	Years to define	Natural	Р*
		2 MS1	MMB _{mating}	(MMB _{mating})	/	B _{MSY}	Mortality	-
2015/16	4c	9.06	0.795	0.09	1	1980/81-1984/85 &1990/91-1997/98	0.18	25% buffer
2016/17	4c	9.07	0.511	0.06	1	1980/81-1984/85 &1990/91-1997/98	0.18	25% buffer
2017/18	4c	9.05	0.507	0.06	1	1980/81-1984/85 &1990/91-1997/98	0.18	25% buffer
2018/19	4c	9.05	0.507	0.06	1	1980/81-1984/85 &1990/91-1997/98	0.18	25% buffer
2019/20	4c	9.05	0.385	0.04	1	1980/81-1984/85 &1990/91-1997/98	0.18	25% buffer

- 7. Probability density function for the OFL: Not applicable for this stock.
- 8. ABC: The ABC was calculated using a 25% buffer on the OFL, as in the previous assessments since 2015. The ABC is thus 0.87 t (= 0.25 x 1.16 t).
- 9. Rebuilding analyses results summary: In 2009, NMFS determined that the PIBKC stock was not rebuilding in a timely manner and would not meet a rebuilding horizon of 2014. A preliminary assessment model developed by NMFS (not used in this assessment) suggested

that rebuilding could occur within 50 years due to random recruitment (NPFMC, 2014a). Subsequently, Amendment 43 to the King and Tanner Crab Fishery Management Plan (Crab FMP) and Amendment 103 to the Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands Groundfish FMP (BSAI Groundfish FMP) to rebuild the PIBKC stock were adopted by the Council in 2012 and approved by the Secretary of Commerce in early 2015. The function of these amendments is to promote bycatch reduction on PIBKC by closing the Pribilof Islands Habitat Conservation Zone to pot fishing for Pacific cod. No pot fishing for Pacific cod occurred within the Pribilof Islands Habitat Conservation Zone in 2015/16.

A. Summary of Major Changes:

1. Management

In 2002, NMFS notified the NPFMC that the PIBKC stock was overfished. A rebuilding plan was implemented in 2003 that included the closure of the stock to directed fishing until the stock was rebuilt. In 2009, NMFS determined that the PIBKC stock was not rebuilding in a timely manner and would not meet the rebuilding horizon of 2014. Subsequently, Amendment 43 to the Crab FMP and Amendment 103 to the BSAI Groundfish FMP to rebuild the PIBKC stock were adopted by the Council in 2012 and approved by the Secretary of Commerce in early 2015. Amendment 103 closed the Pribilof Islands Habitat Conservation Zone to pot fishing for Pacific cod to promote bycatch reduction on PIBKC. Amendment 43 amended the prior rebuilding plan to incorporate new information on the likely rebuilding timeframe for the stock, taking into account environmental conditions and the status and population biology of the stock. No pot fishing for Pacific cod has occurred within the Pribilof Islands Habitat Conservation Zone since 2015/16.

2. Input data

Retained and discard catch time series were updated with 2017/18 and 2018/19 data from the crab and groundfish fisheries. Abundance and biomass for PIBKC in the annual summer NMFS EBS bottom trawl survey were updated for the 2018 survey.

3. Assessment methodology

With the 2017 assessment, PIBKC was moved to a triennial schedule for full assessments following stock prioritization (CPT, 2017). Thus, only a partial assessment was conducted in 2018 (Stockhausen, 2018). However, the NMFS Alaska Regional Office noted that there was a biennial requirement to review the rebuilding status for PIBKC and that it was sensible to have the assessment and report on the same biennial basis. Consequently, the 2019 assessment is a full assessment. In addition, the timing for the 2019 (and subsequent) full assessment was changed from September to May. This change in timing has required the use of several alternative estimates for quantities used in the assessment model. These include survey MMB in the year of the assessment, as well as retained catch and bycatch quantities in the fishery year prior to the assessment. The NMFS EBS Shelf Survey is typically conducted June-August, so biomass estimates from the survey in the year of the assessment are no longer available and a value projected by the random effects model used to smooth survey MMB is used as a substitute to calculate MMB-at-mating for the assessment year (see Appendix C for more details). Also, the crab fishery year runs (by convention) from July 1 to June 30 so estimates of retained catch in the directed fishery and bycatch in the directed and other fisheries are incomplete at the time of the May assessment. For 2019, the directed fishery was closed and thus there will be no retained catch or bycatch for 2018/19. PIBKC bycatch did occur, though, in the Tanner crab and groundfish fisheries prior to April 1, 2019 when the author accessed in-season bycatch records (Tanner crab: Ben Daly, ADFG, pers. comm.; groundfish fisheries: AKFIN Answers databases). The values for bycatch obtained at this time were used as estimates for the 2018/19 year-end values to determine MMB-at-mating for 2018/19. Although these values are probably underestimates of the final values, given the overall small scale of bycatch in recent years this approximation is likely to have no effect on the determination of "overfished"" status while the determination of "overfishing" will be revisited by the NPFMC Crab Plan Team and Science and Statistical Committee in Septemtber with the end-of-year bycatch numbers for 2018/19.

Otherwise, the methodology is the same as in the 2018/19 assessment. The Tier 4 approach used in this assessment for status determination, based on smoothing the raw survey biomass time series using a random effects model, is identical to that adopted by the CPT and SSC in 2015 and used in the 2015 and 2016 assessments (Stockhausen, 2015, 2016).

4. Assessment results

Total catch mortality in 2018/19 was 0.411 t, which did not exceed the OFL (1.16 t). Consequently, overfishing did not occur in 2018/19. The projected MMB-at-mating for 2019/20 decreased slightly from that in 2018/19 but remained below the MSST. Consequently, the stock remains overfished and a directed fishery is prohibited in 2019/20. The OFL, based on average catch, and ABC are identical to last year's values.

B. Responses to SSC and CPT Comments

CPT comments September 2015:

Specific remarks pertinent to this assessment

Use results from the random effects smoothing model to calculate both B_{MSY} and current B for status determination.

Responses to CPT Comments:

Results from the random effects model were used to calculate both B_{MSY} and current B for status determination.

SSC comments October 2015:

Specific remarks pertinent to this assessment

none

CPT comments May 2016:

Specific remarks pertinent to this assessment none

SSC comments June 2016:

Specific remarks pertinent to this assessment

none

CPT comments September 2017:

Specific remarks pertinent to this assessment

Information regarding the model used for status determination criteria (in Appendix C) should be incorporated into the main assessment section. Additionally, more information should be included in the presentation to the CPT (such as parameter tables and process error) in order to fully evaluate model performance.

Responses to CPT Comments:

Information regarding the model used for status determination criteria remains in Appendix C for this assessment. This appendix is produced using an R Markdown script that runs the assessment model and produces the appendix document simultaneously. The main assessment document, previously compsed as a Microsoft Word document, has now been converted to an R Markdown script as well. It may be possible to merge these two documents more fully in the future, but the main assessment document currently contains tables that depend on the results presented in Appendix C and that are formatted in a completely independent step using Microsoft Excel. The two documents can be merged once producing the tables is formulated in R Markdown (a nontrivial task).

As requested, the author will include parameter tables and the estimated process error in his presentation.

SSC comments October 2017:

Specific remarks pertinent to this assessment

none

CPT comments May 2018:

Specific remarks pertinent to this assessment none

SSC comments June 2018:

Specific remarks pertinent to this assessment none

CPT comments September 2018:

Specific remarks pertinent to this assessment none

SSC comments October 2018:

Specific remarks pertinent to this assessment none

C. Introduction

1. Stock

Pribilof Islands blue king crab (PIBKC), Paralithodes platypus.

2. Distribution

Blue king crab are anomurans in the family Lithodidae, which also includes the red king crab (Paralithodes camtschaticus) and golden or brown king crab (Lithodes aequispinus) in Alaska. Blue king crabs are found in widely-separated populations across the North Pacific (Figure 1). In the western Pacific, blue king crabs occur off Hokkaido in Japan and isolated populations have been observed in the Sea of Okhotsk and along the Siberian coast to the Bering Straits. In North America, they are found in the Diomede Islands, Point Hope, outer Kotzebue Sound, King Island, and the outer parts of Norton Sound. In the remainder of the Bering Sea, they are found in the waters off St. Matthew Island and the Pribilof Islands. In more southerly areas, blue king crabs are found in the Gulf of Alaska in widely-separated populations that are frequently associated with fjord-like bays (Figure 1). The insular distribution of blue king crab relative to the similar but more broadly distributed red king crab is likely the result of post-glacial-period increases in water temperature that have limited the distribution of this cold-water adapted species (Somerton 1985). Factors that may be directly responsible for limiting the distribution include the physiological requirements for reproduction, competition with the more warm-water adapted red king crab, exclusion by warm-water predators, or habitat requirements for settlement of larvae (Armstrong et al 1985, 1987; Somerton, 1985).

3. Stock structure

Stock structure of blue king crab in the North Pacific is largely unknown. Samples were collected in 2009-2011 by a graduate student at the University of Alaska to support a genetic study on blue king crab population structure. Aspects of blue king crab harvest and abundance trends, phenotypic characteristics, behavior, movement, and genetics will be evaluated by the author following the guidelines in the AFSC report entitled "Guidelines for determination of spatial management units for exploited populations in Alaskan groundfish fishery management plans" by P. Spencer (unpublished report).

The potential for species interactions between blue king crab and red king crab as a potential reason for PIBKC shifts in abundance and distribution were addressed in a previous assessment (Foy, 2013). Foy (2013) compared the spatial extent of both speices in the Pribilof Islands from 1975 to 2009 and found that, in the early 1980's when red king crab first became abundant, blue king crab males and females dominated the 1 to 7 stations where the species co-occurred in the Pribilof Islands District. Spatially, the stations with co-occurance were all dominated by blue king crab and broadly distributed around the Pribilof Islands. In the 1990's, the red king crab population biomass increased substantially as the blue king crab population biomass decreased. During this time period, the number of stations with co-occurance remained around a maximum of 8, but they were equally dominated by both blue king crab and red king crab—suggesting a direct overlap in distribution at the scale of a survey station. During this time period, the stations dominated by red king crab were dispersed around the Pribilof Islands. Between 2001 and 2009 the blue king crab population decreased dramatically while the red king crab fluctuated. The number of stations dominated by blue king crab in 2001-2009 was similar to that for stations dominated by red king crab for both males and females, suggesting continued competition for similar habitat. The only stations dominated by blue king crab in the latter period are to the north and east of St. Paul Island. Although blue king crab protection measures also afford protection for the red king crab in this region, red king crab stocks continue to fluctuate (more so than simply accounted for by the uncertainty in the survey).

During the years when the fishery was active (1973-1989, 1995-1999), the Pribilof Islands blue king crab (PIBKC) were managed under the Bering Sea king crab Registration Area Q Pribilof District. The southern boundary of this district is formed by a line from 54 36' N lat., 168 W long., to 54 36' N lat., 171 W long., to 55 30' N lat., 171 W. long., to 55 30' N lat., 173 30' E long., while its northern boundary is a line at the latitude of Cape Newenham (58 39' N lat.), its eastern boundary is a line from 54 36' N lat., 168 W long., to 58 39' N lat.), and its western boundary is the United States-Russia Maritime Boundary Line of 1991 (ADF&G 2008) (Figure 2). In the Pribilof District, blue king crab occupy the waters adjacent to and northeast of the Pribilof Islands (Armstrong et al. 1987). For assessment purposes, the Pribilof District (bounded by the dotted red line in Figure 2), is considered to define the stock boundary for PIBKC.

4. Life History

Blue king crab are similar in size and appearance, except for color, to the more widespread red king crab, but are typically biennial spawners with lesser fecundity and somewhat larger sized (ca. 1.2 mm) eggs (Somerton and Macintosh 1983; 1985; Jensen et al. 1985; Jensen and Armstrong 1989; Selin and Fedotov 1996). Blue king crab fecundity increases with size, from approximately 100,000 embryos for a 100-110 mm CL female to approximately 200,000 for a female >140-mm CL (Somerton and MacIntosh 1985). Blue king crab have a biennial ovarian cycle with embryos developing over a 12 or 13-month period depending on whether or not the female is primiparous or multiparous, respectively (Stevens 2006a). Armstrong et al. (1985, 1987), however, estimated the embryonic period for Pribilof blue king crab at 11-12 months, regardless of previous reproductive history. Somerton and MacIntosh (1985) placed development at 14-15 months. It may not be possible for large female blue king crabs to support the energy requirements for annual ovary development, growth, and egg extrusion due to limitations imposed by their habitat, such as poor quality or low abundance of food or reduced feeding activity due to cold water (Armstrong et al. 1987; Jensen and Armstrong 1989). Both the large size reached by Pribilof Islands blue king crab and the generally high productivity of the Pribilof area, however, argue against such environmental constraints. Development of the fertilized embryos occurs in the egg cases attached to the pleopods beneath the abdomen of the female crab and hatching occurs February through April (Stevens 2006b). After larvae are released, large female Pribilof blue king crab will molt, mate, and extrude their clutches the following year in late March through mid April (Armstrong et al. 1987).

Female crabs require an average of 29 days to release larvae, and release an average of 110,033 larvae (Stevens 2006b). Larvae are pelagic and pass through four zoeal larval stages which last about 10 days each, with length of time being dependent on temperature: the colder the temperature the slower the development and vice versa (Stevens et al. 2008). Stage I zoeae must find food within 60 hours as starvation reduces their ability to capture prey (Paul and Paul 1980) and successfully

molt. Zoeae consume phytoplankton, the diatom Thalassiosira spp. in particular, and zooplankton. The fifth larval stage is the non-feeding (Stevens et al. 2008) and transitional glaucothoe stage in which the larvae take on the shape of a small crab but retain the ability to swim by using their extended abdomen as a tail. This is the stage at which the larvae searches for appropriate settling substrate and, upon finding it, molts to the first juvenile stage and henceforth remains benthic. The larval stage is estimated to last for 2.5 to 4 months and larvae metamorphose and settle during July through early September (Armstrong et al. 1987; Stevens et al. 2008).

Blue king crab molt frequently as juveniles, growing a few mm in size with each molt. Unlike red king crab juveniles, blue king crab juveniles are not known to form pods. Female king crabs typically reach sexual maturity at approximately five years of age while males may reach maturity at six years of age (NPFMC 2003). Female size at 50% maturity for Pribilof blue king crab is estimated to be 96-mm carapace length (CL) and size at maturity for males, estimated from chela height relative to CL, is estimated to be 108-mm CL (Somerton and MacIntosh 1983). Skip molting occurs with increasing probability for those males larger than 100 mm CL (NMFS 2005).

Longevity is unknown for this species due to the absence of hard parts retained through molts with which to age crabs. Estimates of 20 to 30 years in age have been suggested (Blau 1997). Natural mortality for male Pribilof blue king crabs has been estimated at 0.34-0.94 with a mean of 0.79 (Otto and Cummiskey 1990) and a range of 0.16 to 0.35 for Pribilof and St. Matthew Island stocks combined (Zheng et al. 1997). An annual natural mortality of 0.2 yr^{-1} for all king crab species was adopted in the federal crab fishery management plan for the BSAI areas (Siddeek et al. 2002). A rate of 0.18 yr^{-1} is currently used for PIBKC.

5. Management history

The blue king crab fishery in the Pribilof District began in 1973 with a reported catch of 590 t by eight vessels (Table 9; Figure 3). Landings increased during the 1970s and peaked at a harvest of 5,000 t in the 1980/81 season (Table 9; Figure 3), with an associated increase in effort to 110 vessels (ADFG 2008). The fishery occurred September through January, but usually lasted less than 6 weeks (Otto and Cummiskey 1990; ADFG 2008). The fishery was male only, and legal size was >16.5 cm carapace width (NPFMC 1994). Guideline harvest levels (GHL) were 10 percent of the abundance of mature males or 20 percent of the number of legal males (ADFG 2006).

PIBKC have occurred as bycatch in the eastern Bering Sea snow crab (*Chionoecetes opilio*) fishery, the western Bering Sea Tanner crab (*Chionoecetes bairdi*) fishery, the Bering Sea hair crab (*Erimacrus isenbeckii*) fishery, and the Pribilof red and blue king crab fisheries (Tables 10 and 11). In addition, blue king crab have been taken as bycatch in groundfish fisheries by both fixed and trawl gear, primarily those targeting Pacific cod, flathead sole and yellowfin sole (Tables 10-12).

Amendment 21a to the BSAI Groundfish FMP prohibits the use of trawl gear in the Pribilof Islands Habitat Conservation Area (subsequently renamed the Pribilof Islands Habitat Conservation Zone in Amendment 43; Figure 4), which the amendment also established (NPFMC 1994). The amendment went into effect January 20, 1995 and protects the majority of crab habitat in the Pribilof Islands area from the impact from trawl gear.

Declines in the PIBKC stock after 1995 resulted in a closure of directed fishing from 1999 to the present. The stock was declared overfished in September 2002, and ADFG developed a rebuilding harvest strategy as part of the NPFMC comprehensive rebuilding plan for the stock. The rebuilding

plan also included the closure of the stock to directed fishing until it was rebuilt. In 2009, NMFS determined that the PIBKC stock was not rebuilding in a timely manner and would not meet the rebuilding horizon of 2014. Subsequently, Amendment 43 to the King and Tanner Crab Fishery Management Plan (FMP) and Amendment 103 to the BSAI Groundfish FMP to rebuild the PIBKC stock were adopted by the Council in 2012 and approved by the Secretary of Commerce in early 2015. Amendment 103 closes the Pribilof Islands Habitat Conservation Zone (Figure 4) to pot fishing for Pacific cod to promote bycatch reduction on PIBKC. Amendment 43 amends the prior rebuilding plan to incorporate new information on the likely rebuilding timeframe for the stock, taking into account environmental conditions and the status and population biology of the stock (NPFMC 2014a).

D. Data

1. Summary of new information

The time series of retained and discarded catch in the crab fisheries was updated for 2018/19 from ADFG data (no retained catch, no bycatch mortality; Tables 10 and 11). The time series of discards in the groundfish pot and trawl fisheries (Tables 10 and 11) were updated for 2009/10 -2018/19 using NMFS Alaska Regional Office (AKRO) estimates obtained from the AKFIN database (as updated on April 1, 2019). Results from the 2018 NMFS EBS bottom trawl survey were added to the assessment (Tables 15 and 16), based on the "new" standardization described in the 2015 assessment (Stockhausen, 2015).

2. Fishery data

2.a. Retained catch

Retained pot fishery catches (live and deadloss landings data) are provided for 1973/74 to 2015/16 (Table 9, Figure 3), including the 1973/74 to 1987/88 and 1995/96 to 1998/99 seasons when blue king crab were targeted in the Pribilof Islands District. In the 1995/96 to 1998/99 seasons, blue king crab and red king crab were fished under the same Guideline Harvest Level (GHL). Total allowable catch (TAC) for a directed fishery has been set at zero since 1999/2000; there was no retained catch in the 2018/19 crab fishing season.

2.b. Bycatch and discards:

Crab pot fisheries

Non-retained (directed and non-directed) pot fishery catches are provided for sublegal males (< 138 mm CL), legal males (\geq 138 mm CL), and females based on data collected by onboard observers in the crab fisheries (Table 10). Catch weight was calculated by first determining the mean weight (in grams) for crabs in each of three categories: legal non-retained, sublegal, and female. The average weight for each category was then calculated from length frequency tables, where the carapace length (z; in mm) was converted to weight (w; in g) using the following equation:

$$w = \alpha \cdot z^{\beta} \tag{1}$$

Values for the length-to-weight conversion parameters α and β were applied across the time period: males) $\alpha = 0.000508$, $\beta = 3.106409$; females) $\alpha = 0.02065$, $\beta = 2.27$ (Daly et al. 2014). Average weights (\overline{W}) for each category were calculated using the following equation:

$$\overline{W} = \frac{\sum w_z \cdot n_z}{\sum n_z} \tag{2}$$

where w_z is crab weight-at-size z (i.e., carapace length) using Equation 1, and n_z is the number of crabs observed at that size in the category. Finally, estimated total non-retained weights for each crab fishery were the product of average weight (\overline{W}) , CPUE based on observer data, and total effort (pot lifts) in each fishery.

Historical non-retained catch data are available from 1996/97 to present from the snow crab general, snow crab CDQ, and Tanner crab fisheries (Table 10, Bowers et al. 2011), although data may be incomplete for some of these fisheries. Prior to 1998/99, limited observer data exists (for catcher-processor vessels only), so non-retained catch before this date is not included here. For this assessment, a 20% handling mortality rate was applied to the bycatch estimates to calculate non-retained crab mortality in these pot fisheries (Table 11). In assessments priot to 2017, a handling mortality rate of 50% was applied to bycatch in the pot fisheries. The revised value used here is now consistent with the rates used in other king crab assessments (e.g., Zheng et al., 2016).

By catch mortality in the crab fisheries in 2018/19 consisted of 1 observed sublegal male, amounting to 0.020 t in expanded mortality.

Groundfish fisheries

The AKRO estimates of non-retained catch from all groundfish fisheries in 2018/19, as available through the AKFIN database (accessed Aug. 30, 2019), are included in this report (Tables 10-12). Updated estimates for 2009/10-2018/19 were obtained through the AKFIN database.

Groundfish bycatch data from before 1999 are available only in INPFC reports and are not included in this assessment. Non-retained crab catch data in the groundfish fisheries are available from 1991/92 to present. Between 1991 and December 2001, by catch was estimated using the "blend method." From January 2003 to December 2007, bycatch was estimated using the Catch Accounting System (CAS), based on substantially different methods than the "blend." Starting in January 2008. the groundfish observer program changed the method in which they speciate crab to better reflect their hierarchal sampling method and to account for broken crab that in the past were only identified to genus. In addition, the haul-level weights collected by observers were used to estimate the crab weights through CAS instead of applying an annual (global) weight factor to convert numbers to biomass. Spatial resolution was at the NMFS statistical area. Beginning in January 2009, ADFG statistical areas (1° longitude x 0.5° latitude) were included in groundfish production reports and allowed an increase in the spatial resolution of bycatch estimates from the NMFS statistical areas to the state statistical areas. Bycatch estimates (2009-present) based on the state statistical areas were first provided in the 2013 assessment, and improved methods for aggregating observer data were used in the 2014 and 2015 assessments (see Stockhausen, 2015). The estimates obtained this vear are based on the same methods as those used in the 2014-2016 assessments. Detailed results from this process are presented in Appendix A.

To assess crab mortalities in the groundfish fisheries, an 80% handling mortality rate was applied to estimates of bycatch in trawl fisheries, and a 20% handling mortality rate was applied to fixed gear fisheries using pot and hook and line gear (Tables 10-11).

In 2018/19, fisheries targeting yellowfin sole (*Limanda aspera*) accounted for 95% of the bycatch of PIBKC in the groundfish fisheries, with fisheries targeting Pacific cod (*Gadus microcephalus*) accounting for 5%. In contrast, fisheries targeting flathead sole (*Hippoglossoides elassodon*) and northern rock sole (*Lepidopsetta polyxystra*) accounted for 60% and 68% in 2017/18 and 2016/17 respectively (Table 12).

Since the 2009/10 crab fishing season, Pribilof Islands blue king crab have been taken as by catch in the groundfish fisheries only by hook and line and non-pelagic trawl gear (Table 13). Starting in 2015, as a consequence of Amendment 43 to the BSAI Groundfish FMP, the Pribilof Islands Habitat Conservation Area was formally closed to pot fishing for Pacific cod in order to promote recovery of the PIBKC stock. In 2018/19, non-pelagic trawl gear was estimated to account for 95% (by weight) of PIBKC bycatch in the groundfish fisheries. In 2015/16, by contrast, non-pelagic trawl gear accounted for only 52% the bycatch. In 2018/19, hook-and-line gear accounted for only 5% of PIBKC bycatch in the groundfish fisheries, although in 2013/14 and 2014/15 this gear type accounted for the total bycatch of PIBKC. Although these appear to be large interannual changes, the actual bycatch amounts involved are fairly small and interannual variability is consequently expected to be rather high.

2.c. Catch-at-length

Not applicable.

3. Survey data

The 2018 NMFS EBS bottom trawl survey was conducted in June and July. Survey results for PIBKC are based on the stock area first defined in the 2013 assessment (Foy, 2013), which includes the Pribilof District and a 20 nm strip adjacent to the eastern edge of the District (Figure 2). The adjacent area was defined as a result of the new rebuilding plan and the concern that crab outside the Pribilof District were not being accounted for in the assessment.

In 2018, the survey caught 16 blue king crab in 86 stations across the stock area, while 28, 33, and 23 crab were caught across the same stations in the 2015-2017 surveys, respectively (Table 14). Six immature males were caught in 2018, similar to numbers caught in 2015-2017 (4, 5 and 4, respectively). Three mature males (all legal size) were caught in 2018, compared with 13, 3 and 4 in 2015-2017, respectively. One immature female was caught in 2018; none were caught in 2015, while five were caught in 2016 and seven in 2017. Finally, six mature females were caught in 2018, compared with 11 in 2015, 19 in 2016, and 8 in 2017.

The area-swept estimate of mature male abundance in the stock area at the time of the 2018 survey was 56 thousand crab (cv: 0.56), representing a decrease from 91 thousand crab (cv: 0.50) in 2017 (Table 15). The abundance estimate for immature males in 2018 was 110 thousand crab (cv: 0.57), while it was 68 thousand in 2017. The area-swept estimate for immature female abundance in 2018 was 76 thousand crab (cv: 0.59), smaller than the 188 thousand crab (cv: 0.75) in 2017, while that for mature females was only 58 thousand crab (cv: 1.0), smaller than that of 162 thousand (cv:

(0.53) in 2017. Given the large uncertainties associated with the estimates, none of the changes were statistically significant.

The area-swept estimate of mature male biomass in the stock area at the time of the 2018 survey was 154 t (cv: 0.57), while it was 253 t (cv: 0.51) in 2017 (Table 16). The biomass estimate for immature males in 2018 was 96 t (cv: 0.54), compared to 45 t (cv: 0.77) in 2017. The area-swept estimate for immature female biomass in 2018 was 45 t (cv: 0.58); in 2017 it was 107 t (cv: 0.81). For mature females, the estimated swept-area biomass was 76 t (cv: 1.00); in 2018 it was 152 t (cv: 0.56).

One feature that characterizes survey-based estimates of abundance and biomass for PIBKC is the large uncertainty (cv's on the order of 0.5-1) associated with the estimates, which complicates the interpretation of sometimes large interannual swings in estimates (Tables 15 and 16, Figures 5-8). Estimated total abundance of male PIBKC from the NMFS EBS bottom trawl survey declined from \sim 24 million crab in 1975, the first year of the "standardized" survey, to \sim 150,000 in 2016 (the lowest estimated abundance since 2004, which was the minimum for the time series; Table 15, Figures 5 and 6). Following a general decline to a low-point in 1985 (\sim 500,000 males), abundance increased by a factor of 10 in the early 1990s, then generally declined (with small amplitude oscillations superimposed) to the present. Estimated female abundance generally followed a similar trend. It spiked at 180 million crab in 1980, from \sim 13 million crab in 1975 and only \sim 1 million in 1979, then returned to more typical levels in 1981 (\sim 6 million crab). More recently, abundance has fluctuated around 200,000 females. Estimated biomass for both males and females have followed trends similar to those in abundance (Table 16, Figures 7 and 8).

Size frequencies for males by shell condition from recent surveys (2015-2018) are illustrated in Figure 9. Size frequencies for all males across the time series are shown in Figure 10. While Figure 10 suggested a recent trend toward larger sizes in 2014-15, this does not appear to have continued in 2016. These plots provide little evidence of recent recruitment.

Size frequencies for females by shell condition are presented in Figure 11 from recent surveys (2015-2018). Size frequencies for all females are shown in 12. These also provide little indication of recent recruitment.

The small numbers of crab caught in recent surveys make it difficult to draw firm conclusions regarding spatial patterns (see figures in Appendix B). That said, the spatial pattern of PIBKC abundance in recent surveys is generally centered fairly compactly within the Pribilof District to the east of St. Paul Island (although 2015 is an exception) and north of St. George Island, within a 60 nm radius of St. Paul.

E. Analytic Approach

1. History of modeling approaches

A catch survey analysis has been used for assessing the stock in the past, although it is not currently in use. In October 2013, the SSC concurred with the CPT that the PIBKC stock falls under Tier 4 for status determination but it recommended that the OFL be calculated using a Tier 5 approach, with ABC based on a 10% buffer. Subsequently, a 25% buffer has been used to calculate ABC.

In the 2013 and 2014 assessments (Foy 2013; Stockhausen 2014), "current" MMB-at-mating was

projected from the time of the latest survey using an inverse-variance averaging approach to smoothing annual survey biomass estimates because the uncertainties associated with the annual estimates are extremely large. In the 2015 assessment (Stockhausen, 2015), an alternative approach to smoothing based on a Random Effects model was presented and subsequently adopted by the CPT and SSC to use in estimating B_{MSY} and "current" MMB-at-mating. The Random Effects model (Appendix C) is used in this assessment.

Since the 2017 assessment, assessments for PIBKC have been moved to an odd-year biennial schedule. The timing of the assessment was also moved from September to May, which has required that several data inputs to the model (assessment year MMB at the time of the survey and retained catch and bycatch values from the crab fishery year prior to the assessment year) be estimated in some fashion. For this (2019) assessment, MMB at the time of survey (July, 2019) was estimated from the observed time series using the random effects as a 1-step ahead prediction—i.e., it is the same value as that from the 2018 survey. The values of year-to-date bycatch in the crab and groundfish fisheries on April 1, 2019 were taken as estimates of the 2018/19 year-end values. Because the directed fishery was closed, retained catch and bycatch in the directed fishery would necessarily be zero.

2. Model Description

See Appendix C.

3. Model Selection and Evaluation

Not applicable

4. Results

See Appendix C.

F. Calculation of the OFL

1. Tier Level:

Based on available data, the author recommended classification for this stock is Tier 4 for stock status level determination defined by Amendment 24 to the Fishery Management Plan for the Bering Sea/Aleutian Islands King and Tanner Crabs (NPFMC 2008a).

In Tier 4, stock status is based on the ratio of "current" spawning stock biomass (B) to B_{MSY} (or a proxy thereof, $B_{MSY_{proxy}}$, also referred to as B_{REF}). MSY (maximum sustained yield) is the largest long-term average catch or yield that can be taken from a stock or stock complex under prevailing ecological and environmental conditions. The fishing mortality that, if applied over the long-term, would result in MSY is F_{MSY} . B_{MSY} is the long-term average stock size when fished at FMSY, and is based on mature male biomass at the time of mating (MMB_{mating}), which serves as an approximation for egg production. MMB_{mating} is used as a basis for B_{MSY} because of the complicated female crab life history, unknown sex ratios, and male only fishery. Although B_{MSY} cannot be calculated for a Tier 4 stock, a proxy value $(B_{MSY_{proxy}} \text{ or } B_{REF})$ is defined as the average biomass over a specified time period that satisfies the conditions under which B_{MSY} would occur (i.e., equilibrium biomass yielding MSY under an applied F_{MSY}).

The time period for establishing $B_{MSY_{proxy}}$ is assumed to be representative of the stock being fished at an average rate near F_{MSY} and fluctuating around B_{MSY} . The SSC has endorsed using the time periods 1980-84 and 1990-97 to calculate $B_{MSY_{proxy}}$ for Pribilof Islands blue king crab to avoid time periods of low abundance possibly caused by high fishing pressure. Alternative time periods (e.g., 1975 to 1979) have also been considered but rejected (Foy 2013). Considerations for choosing the current time periods included:

A. Production potential

- 1) Between 2006 and 2013 the stock appeared to be below a threshold for responding to increased production based on the lack of response of the adult stock biomass to slight fluctuations in recruitment (male crab 120-134 mm) (Figure 20 in Foy 2013).
- 2) An estimate of surplus production using the equation

$$ASP_t = MMB_{t+1} - MMB_t + C_t$$

where C_t denotes total catch mortality in year t suggested that meaningful surplus production existed only in the late 1970s and early 1980s while minor surplus production in the early 1990s may have led to the increases in biomass observed in the late 1990s.

3) Although climate regime shifts where temperature and current patterns change are likely to impact blue king crab larval dispersal and subsequent juvenile crab distribution, no apparent trends in production before or after 1978 were observed (Foy 2013). There are few empirical data to identify trends that may indicate a production shift.

B. Exploitation rates

Exploitation rates fluctuated during the open fishery periods from 1975 to 1987 and 1995 to 1998 (Figure 20 in Foy 2013) while total catch increased until 1980, then decreased until the fishery was closed in 1987 (Figure 3). Following the re-opening of the fishery in 1995, total catch declined annually until the fishery was closed again in 1999 (Figure 3). The current $F_{MSY_{proxy}} = M$ is 0.18 yr⁻¹, so time periods with greater exploitation rates should not be considered to represent periods with average rates of fishery removals.

C. Recruitment

Subsequent to increases in exploitation rates in the late 1980s and 1990s, the quantity $\ln(\text{recruits}/\text{MMB})$ dropped, suggesting that exploitation rates at the levels of $F_{MSY_{proxy}} = M$ were not sustainable.

 MMB_{mating} is the basis for calculating $B_{MSY_{proxy}}$. The formulas used to calculate MMB_{mating} from MMB at the time of the survey (MMB_{survey}) are documented in Appendix C. For this stock,

 $B_{MSY_{proxy}}$ was calculated using the random effects model-smoothed estimates for MMB_{survey} from the survey time series (Table 17) in the formula for MMB_{mating} . $B_{MSY_{proxy}}$ is the average of MMB_{mating} for the years 1980/81-1984/85 and 1990/91-1997/98 (Table 18) and was calculated as 4106 t.

In this assessment, "current B" (B) is the MMB_{mating} projected for 2019/20. Details of this calculation are also provided in Appendix C. For 2019/20, B = 175 t.

Overfishing is defined as any amount of fishing in excess of a maximum allowable rate, F_{OFL} , which would result in a total catch greater than the OFL. For Tier 4 stocks, a minimum stock size threshold (MSST) is specified as $0.5 \cdot B_{MSY_{proxy}}$. If B drops below the MSST, the stock is considered to be overfished.

2. Parameters and stock sizes

- $B_{MSY_{proxy}}$ $(B_{REF}) = 4106 \text{ t}$ $M = 0.18 \text{ yr}^{-1}$
- B = 175 t

3. OFL specification

3.a. Stock status level

In the Tier 4 OFL-setting approach, the "total catch OFL" and the "retained catch OFL" are calculated by applying the F_{OFL} to all crab at the time of the fishery (total catch OFL) or to the mean retained catch determined for a specified period of time (retained catch OFL).

The Tier 4 F_{OFL} is derived using the F_{OFL} Control Rule (Figure 13), where the Stock Status Level (level a, b or c; equations 3-5) is based on the relationship of B to $B_{MSY_{proxy}}$.

Stock Status Level F_{OFL}

a.
$$B/B_{MSY_{proxy}} > 1.0$$
 $F_{OFL} = \gamma \cdot M$ (3)

b.
$$\beta < B/B_{MSY_{proxy}} \le 1.0$$
 $F_{OFL} = \gamma \cdot M[(B/B_{MSY_{proxy}} - \alpha)/(1 - \alpha)]$ (4)

c.
$$B/B_{MSY_{proxy}} \le \beta$$
 $F_{directed} = 0$, $F_{OFL} \le F_{MSY}$ (5)

When $B/B_{MSY_{proxy}}$ is greater than 1 (Stock Status Level a), $F_{OFL_{proxy}}$ is given by the product of a scalar ($\gamma=1.0$, nominally) and M. When $B/B_{MSY_{proxy}}$ is less than 1 and greater than the critical threshold β (=0.25) (Stock Status Level b), the scalar α (= 0.1) determines the slope of the non-constant portion of the control rule for $F_{OFL_{proxy}}$. Directed fishing mortality is set to zero when the ratio $B/B_{MSY_{proxy}}$ drops below β (Stock Status Level c). Values for α and β are based on a sensitivity analysis of the effects on $B/B_{MSY_{proxy}}$ (NPFMC 2008a).

3.b. Basis for MMB-at-mating

The basis for projecting MMB from the survey to the time of mating is discussed in detail in Appendix C.

3.c. Specification of F_{OFL} , OFL and other applicable measures

Table 5: Basis for the OFL (Table 3 repeated). All units in metric tons.

Year	Tier	B _{MSY}	Current MMB _{mating}	<i>B</i> / <i>B</i> _{MSY} (MMB _{mating})	γ	Years to define B _{MSY}	Natural Mortality	P*
2015/16	4c	4,109	361	0.09	1	1980/81-1984/85 &1990/91-1997/98	0.18	25% buffer
2016/17	4c	4,116	232	0.06	1	1980/81-1984/85 &1990/91-1997/98	0.18	25% buffer
2017/18	4c	4,106	230	0.06	1	1980/81-1984/85 &1990/91-1997/98	0.18	25% buffer
2018/19	4c	4,106	230	0.06	1	1980/81-1984/85 &1990/91-1997/98	0.18	25% buffer
2019/20	4c	4,106	175	0.04	1	1980/81-1984/85 &1990/91-1997/98	0.18	25% buffer

Table 6: Basis for the OFL (Table 4 repeated). All units in millions lbs.

Year	Tier	B _{MSY}	Current MMB _{mating}	<i>B</i> / <i>B</i> _{MSY} (MMB _{mating})	γ	Years to define B _{MSY}	Natural Mortality	P*
2015/16	4c	9.06	0.795	0.09	1	1980/81-1984/85 &1990/91-1997/98	0.18	25% buffer
2016/17	4c	9.07	0.511	0.06	1	1980/81-1984/85 &1990/91-1997/98	0.18	25% buffer
2017/18	4c	9.05	0.507	0.06	1	1980/81-1984/85 &1990/91-1997/98	0.18	25% buffer
2018/19	4c	9.05	0.507	0.06	1	1980/81-1984/85 &1990/91-1997/98	0.18	25% buffer
2019/20	4c	9.05	0.385	0.04	1	1980/81-1984/85 &1990/91-1997/98	0.18	25% buffer

4. Specification of the retained catch portion of the total catch OFL

The retained portion of the catch for this stock is zero (0 t).

5. Recommendations:

For 2019/20, $B_{MSY_{proxy}} = 4106$ t, derived as the mean MMB_{mating} from 1980/81 to 1984/85 and 1990/91 to 1997/98 using the random effects model-smoothed survey time series. The stock demonstrated highly variable levels of MMB during both of these periods, likely leading to uncertain approximations for B_{MSY} . Crabs were highly concentrated during the



Figure 11: Survey CPUE (biomass) for females PIBKC. Page 1 of 6



Figure 12: Survey CPUE (biomass) for females PIBKC. Page 2 of 6



Figure 13: Survey CPUE (biomass) for females PIBKC. Page 3 of 6



Figure 14: Survey CPUE (biomass) for females PIBKC. Page 4 of 6



Figure 15: Survey CPUE (biomass) for females PIBKC. Page 5 of 6



Figure 16: Survey CPUE (biomass) for females PIBKC. Page 6 of 6



Figure 17: Survey CPUE (biomass) for males PIBKC. Page 1 of 6



Figure 18: Survey CPUE (biomass) for males PIBKC. Page 2 of 6



Figure 19: Survey CPUE (biomass) for males PIBKC. Page 3 of 6



Figure 20: Survey CPUE (biomass) for males PIBKC. Page 4 of 6



Figure 21: Survey CPUE (biomass) for males PIBKC. Page 5 of 6



Figure 22: Survey CPUE (biomass) for males PIBKC. Page 6 of 6

EBS bottom trawl surveys and male biomass estimates were characterized by poor precision due to limited numbers of tows with crab catches.

 MMB_{mating} for 2019/20 was estimated at 175 t. The $B/B_{MSY_{proxy}}$ ratio corresponding to the biomass reference is 0.06. $B/B_{MSY_{proxy}}$ is $< \beta$, therefore the stock status level is c, $F_{directed} = 0$, and $F_{OFL} \leq F_{MSY}$ (as determined in the Pribilof Islands District blue king crab rebuilding plan). Total catch OFL calculations were explored in 2008 to adequately reflect the conservation needs with this stock and to acknowledge the existing non-directed catch mortality (NPFMC 2008a). The preferred method was a total catch OFL equivalent to the average catch mortalities between 1999/2000 and 2005/06. This period was after the targeted fishery was closed and did not include recent changes to the groundfish fishery that led to increased blue king crab bycatch. The OFL for 2019/20, based on an average catch mortality, is 1.16 t.

G. Calculation of the ABC

To calculate an Annual Catch Limit (ACL) to account for scientific uncertainty in the OFL, an acceptable biological catch (ABC) control rule was developed such that ACL=ABC. For Tier 3 and 4 stocks, the ABC is set below the OFL by a proportion based a predetermined probability that the ABC would exceed the OFL (P*). Currently, P* is set at 0.49 and represents a proportion of the OFL distribution that accounts for within assessment uncertainty (σ_w) in the OFL to establish the maximum permissible ABC (ABC_{max}). Any additional uncertainty to account for uncertainty outside of the assessment methods (σ_b) is considered as a recommended ABC below ABC_{max}. Additional uncertainty is included in the application of the ABC by adding the uncertainty components as $\sigma_{total} = \sqrt{\sigma_w^2 + \sigma_b^2}$. For the PIBKC stock, the CPT has recommended, and the SSC has approved, a constant buffer of 25% to the OFL (NPFMC, 2014b).

1. Specification of the probability distribution of the OFL used in the ABC

The OFL was set based on a Tier 5 calculation of average catch mortalities between 1999/2000 and 2005/06 to adequately reflect the conservation needs with this stock and to acknowledge the existing non-directed catch mortality. As such, the OFL does not have an associated probability distribution.

2. List of variables related to scientific uncertainty considered in the OFL probability distribution

None. The OFL is based on a Tier 5 calculation and does not have an associated probability distribution. However, compared to other BSAI crab stocks, the uncertainty associated with the estimates of stock size and OFL for Pribilof Islands blue king crab is very high due to insufficient data and the small spatial extent of the stock relative to the survey sampling density. The coefficient of variation for the estimate of mature male biomass from the surveys for the most recent year (2018) is 0.5710464, and has ranged between 0.17 and 1.00 since the 1980 peak in biomass.

3. List of additional uncertainties considered for alternative σ_b applications to the ABC

Several sources of uncertainty are not included in the measures of uncertainty reported as part of the stock assessment:

• Survey catchability and natural mortality uncertainties are not estimated but rather are prespecified.

• F_{MSY} is assumed to be equal to $\gamma \cdot M$ when applying the OFL control rule, where the proportionality constant γ is assumed to be equal to 1 and M is assumed to be known.

• The coefficients of variation for the survey estimates of abundance for this stock are very high.

• B_{MSY} is assumed to be equivalent to average mature male biomass. However, stock biomass has fluctuated greatly and targeted fisheries only occurred from 1973-1987 and 1995-1998 so considerable uncertainty exists with this estimate of B_{MSY} .

4. Recommendations:

For 2019/20, $F_{directed} = 0$ and the total catch OFL is based on catch biomass would maintain the conservation needs with this stock and acknowledge the existing non-directed catch mortality. In this case, the *ABC* based on a 25% buffer of the average catch between 1999/2000 and 2005/2006 would be 0.87 t.

Table 7: Management performance (Table). All units in metric tons. The OFL is a total catch OFL for each year.

Year	MSST	Biomass (MMB _{mating})	ТАС	Retained Catch	Total Catch Mortality	OFL	ABC
2015/16	2,058 A	361 A	closed	0	1.18	1.16	0.87
2016/17	2,053 A	232 A	closed	0	0.38	1.16	0.87
2017/18	2,053 A	230 A	closed	0	0.33	1.16	0.87
2018/19	2,053 A	230 A	closed	0	0.41	1.16	0.87
2019/20		175 B				1.16	0.87

Notes:

A – Based on data available to the Crab Plan Team at the time of the assessment following the end of the crab fishing year.

 ${\rm B}-{\rm Based}$ on data available to the Crab Plan Team at the time of the assessment for the crab fishing year.

Year	MSST	Biomass (MMB _{mating})	TAC	Retained Catch	Total Catch Mortality	OFL	ABC
2015/16	4.537 A	0.796 A	closed	0	0.0026	0.0026	0.002
2016/17	4.526 A	0.511 A	closed	0	0.0008	0.0026	0.002
2017/18	4.526 A	0.507 A	closed	0	0.0007	0.0026	0.002
2018/19	4.526 A	0.507 A	closed	0	0.0009	0.0026	0.002
2019/20		0.386 B				0.0026	0.002

Table 8: Management performance (Table 2 repeated). All units in the table are million pounds.

H. Rebuilding Analyses

Rebuilding analyses results summary: A revised rebuilding plan analysis was submitted to the U.S. Secretary of Commerce in 2014 because NMFS determined that the stock was not rebuilding in a timely manner and would not meet the rebuilding horizon of 2014. The Secretary approved the plan in 2015, as well as the two amendments that implement it (Amendment 43 to the King and Tanner Crab Fishery Management Plan and Amendment 103 to the BSAI Groundfish Fishery Management Plan). These amendments impose a closure to all fishing for Pacific cod with pot gear in the Pribilof Islands Habitat Conservation Zone. This measure was designed to protect the main concentration of the stock from the fishery with the highest observed rates of bycatch (NPFMC, 2014a). The area has been closed to trawling since 1995.

Given that the ratio of current B to B_{MSY} is 0.06 and that the recent time series of MMB-at-survey time does not show an icreasing trend, there has been no progress towards rebuilding the stock.

I. Data Gaps and Research Priorities

Given the large CVs associated with the survey abundance and biomass estimates for the Pribilof Islands blue king crab stock, assessment of this species might benefit from additional surveys using alternative gear at finer spatial resolution. Jared Weems, a PhD student at University of Alaska, Fairbanks, is conducting research on alternative survey designs, including visual censuses, drop camera, and collector traps to better quantify PIBKC in a study funded by NPRB. Other data gaps include stock-specific natural mortality rates and a lack of understanding regarding processes apparently preventing successful recruitment to the Pribilof District. Jonathan Reum (AFSC) and colleagues are developing a qualitative network model that describes important biological interactions that may influence the productivity of PIBKC. The purpose is to explore the potential efficacy of different management interventions that include new policies on fisheries that target the predators/competitors of PIBKC, as well as out-stocking of benthic PIBKC juveniles assuming implementation of a hatchery program.

Literature Cited

ADF&G. 2006. 2006-2008 commercial king and tanner crab fishing regulations. Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Juneau, AK. 160 pp.

ADF&G. 2008. Annual Management Report for the Commercial and Subsistence Shellfish Fisheries of the Aleutian Islands, Bering Sea and the Westward Region's Shellfish Observer Program, 2006/07. Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Division of Sport Fish and Commercial Fisheries, Fishery Management Report 08-02, Kodiak.

Armstrong, D.A., J.L. Armstrong, G. Jensen, R. Palacios, and G. Williams. 1987. Distribution, abundance, and biology of blue king and Korean hair crabs around the Pribilof Islands. U.S. Dep. Commer., NOAA, OCSEAP Final Rep. 67:1-278.

Armstrong, D.A., J.L. Armstrong, R. Palacios, G. Jensen, and G. Williams. 1985. Early life history of juvenile blue king crab, *Paralithodes platypus*, around the Pribilof Islands. Pp. 211-229 in: Proceedings of the International King Crab Symposium, Alaska Sea Grant Report No 85-12, University of Alaska, Fairbanks.

Bowers, F., M. Schwenzfeier, K. Herring, M. Salmon, H. Fitch, J. Alas, B. Baechler. 2011. Annual management report for the commercial and subsistence shellfish fisheries of the Aluetian Islands, Bering Sea, and the Westward Region's Shellfish Observer Program, 2009/2010.

Blau, F. S. 1997. Alaska king crabs: wildlife notebook series. Alaska Department of Fish and Game. http://www.adfg.state.ak.us/pubs/notebook/shellfsh/kingcrab.php, last accessed April 8, 2008.

CPT, 2017. C7 Crab PT Report: Crab Plan Team Meeting; May 2-5, 2017; Juneau, AK. North Pacific Fishery Management Council, 605 W 4th Avenue, Suite 306, Anchorage, AK 99501. 19 pp. http://npfmc.legistar.com/gateway.aspx?M=F&ID=569a4c57-d994-4b26-b389-c80743ed0c08. pdf

Daly, B., C. Armistead and R. Foy. 2014. The 2014 Eastern Bering Sea Continental Shelf Bottom Trawl Survey: Results for Commercial Crab Species. NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS-AFSC-282 172 p.

Foy, R.J. 2013. 2013 Stock Assessment and Fishery Evaluation Report for the Pribilof Islands Blue King Crab Fisheries of the Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands. North Pacific Fishery Management Council, 605 W. 4th Aveneue, Suite 306, Anchorage, AK 99501-2252.

Jensen, G.C., and D. A. Armstrong. 1989. Biennial reproductive cycle of blue king crab, Paralithodes platypus, at the Pribilof Islands, Alaska and comparison to a congener, P. catschatica. Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci., 46:932-940.

Jensen, G.C., D.A. Armstrong and G. Williams. 1985. Reproductive biology of the blue king crab, Paralithodes platypus, in the Pribilof Islands. Pp. 109-122 in: Proceedings of the International King Crab Symposium, Alaska Sea Grant Report No 85-12, University of Alaska, Fairbanks.

NMFS. 2005. APPENDIX F.3. ESSENTIAL FISH HABITAT ASSESSMENT REPORT for the Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands King and Tanner Crabs. NOAA Fisheries, Juneau, AK. 35pp. NPFMC. 1994. Environmental Assessment/Regulatory Impact Review/Initial Regulatory Flexibility analysis for Amendment 21a to the Fishery Management Plan for Bering Sea and Aleutian Island Groundfish. NMFS Alaska Region, PO Box 21668, Juneau, AK 99802-1668.

NPFMC. 2003. Environmental assessment for amendment 17 to the fishery management plan for the king and tanner crab fisheries in the Bering Sea/Aleutian Islands a rebuilding plan for the Pribilof Islands blue king crab stock. North Pacific Fishery Management Council Anchorage, 101 pp.
NPFMC. 2008. Environmental Assessment for Amendment 24 to the Fishery Management Plan for the king and Tanner crab fisheries in the Bering Sea/Aleutian Islands: to revise overfishing definitions. Anchorage, Alaska 194 p.

NPFMC. 2008. Stock Assessment and Fishery Evaluation Report for the KING AND TANNER CRAB FISHERIES of the Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands Regions 2008 Crab SAFE. North Pacific Fishery Management Council Anchorage, 259 pp.

NPFMC. 2014a. Final Environmental Assessment for proposed amendment 43 to the Fishery Management Plan for Bering Sea/Aleutian Island King and Tanner Crabs and proposed amendment 103 to the Fishery Management Plan for Groundfish of the Bering Sea and Aleutian Island. North Pacific Fishery Management Council, 605 West 4th Ave, Anchorage, AK 99501. 190 pp.

NPFMC. 2014b. Stock Assessment and Fishery Evaluation Report for the King and Tanner Crab Fisheries of the Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands. 2014 Final Crab SAFE. North Pacific Fishery Management Council, 605 W. 4th Aveneue, Suite 306, Anchorage, AK 99501-2252.

Otto, R.S and P.A. Cummiskey. 1990. Growth of adult male blue king crab (Paralithodes platypus). pp 245-258 in: Proceeding of the the International Symposium on King and Tanner Crabs:, Alaska Sea Grant Report No 90-04, University of Alaska, Fairbanks, AK.

Paul, A. J. and J. M. Paul. 1980. The effect of early starvation on later feeding success of king crab zoeae. J. Exp. Mar. Bio. Ecol., 44: 247-251.

Selin, N.I., and Fedotov, P.A. 1996. Vertical distribution and some biological characteristics of the blue king crab Paralithodes platypus in the northwestern Bering Sea. Mar. Biol. 22: 386-390.

Siddeek, M.S.M., L.J. Watson, S.F. Blau, and H. Moore. 2002. Estimating natural mortality of king crabs from tag recapture data. pp 51-75 in: Crabs in cold water regions: biology, management, and economics. Alaska Sea Grant Report No 02-01, University of Alaska, Fairbanks, AK.

Somerton, D.A. 1985. The disjunct distribution of blue king crab, *Paralithodes platypus*, in Alaska: some hypotheses. Pp. 13-21 in: Proceedings of the International King Crab Symposium, Alaska Sea Grant Report No 85-12, University of Alaska, Fairbanks.

Somerton, D.A., and R. A. MacIntosh. 1983. The size at sexual maturity of blue king crab, *Paralithodes platypus*, in Alaska. Fishery Bulletin, 81(3):621-628.

Somerton, D.A., and R. A. MacIntosh. 1985. Reproductive biology of the female blue king crab *Paralithodes platypus* near the Pribilof Islands, Alaska. J. Crustacean Biology, 5(3): 365-376.

Stevens, B.S. 2006a. Embryo development and morphometry in the blue king crab *Paralithodes platypus* studied by using image and cluster analysis. J. Shellfish Res., 25(2):569-576.

Stevens, B.S. 2006b. Timing and duration of larval hatching for blue king crab *Paralithodes platypus* Brandt, 1850 held in the laboratory. J. Crustacean Biology, 26(4):495-502.

Stevens, B.S., S.L. Persselin and J.A. Matweyou. 2008. Survival of blue king crab *Paralithodes platypus* Brandt, 1850, larvae in cultivation: effects of diet, temperature and rearing density. Aquaculture Res., 39:390-397.

Stockhausen, W.T. 2014. 2014 Stock Assessment and Fishery Evaluation Report for the Pribilof Islands Blue King Crab Fisheries of the Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands. North Pacific Fishery Management Council, 605 W. 4th Avenue, Suite 306, Anchorage, AK 99501-2252.

Stockhausen, W.T. 2015. 2015 Stock Assessment and Fishery Evaluation Report for the Pribilof Islands Blue King Crab Fisheries of the Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands. North Pacific Fishery Management Council, 605 W. 4th Avenue, Suite 306, Anchorage, AK 99501-2252.

Stockhausen, W.T. 2016. 2016 Stock Assessment and Fishery Evaluation Report for the Pribilof Islands Blue King Crab Fisheries of the Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands. North Pacific Fishery Management Council, 605 W. 4th Avenue, Suite 306, Anchorage, AK 99501-2252.

Stockhausen, W.T. 2017. 2017 Stock Assessment and Fishery Evaluation Report for the Pribilof Islands Blue King Crab Fisheries of the Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands. North Pacific Fishery Management Council, 605 W. 4th Avenue, Suite 306, Anchorage, AK 991-1102.

Zheng, J., M.C. Murphy and G.H. Kruse. 1997. Application of a catch-survey analysis to blue king crab stocks near Pribilof and St. Matthew Islands. Alaska Fish. Res. Bull. 4(1):62-74.

Zheng et al. 2016. 2016 Stock Assessment and Fishery Evaluation Report for the Bristol Bay Red King Crab Fisheries of the Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands. North Pacific Fishery Management Council, 605 W. 4th Avenue, Suite 306, Anchorage, AK 99501-2252.

Tables

Veen	Retained	Catch	Avg. CPUE
rear	Abundance	Biomass (t)	legal crabs/pot
1973/1974	174,420	579	26
1974/1975	908,072	3,224	20
1975/1976	314,931	1,104	19
1976/1977	855,505	2,999	12
1977/1978	807,092	2,929	8
1978/1979	797,364	2,901	8
1979/1980	815,557	2,719	10
1980/1981	1,497,101	4,976	9
1981/1982	1,202,499	4,119	7
1982/1983	587,908	1,998	5
1983/1984	276,364	995	3
1984/1985	40,427	139	3
1985/1986	76,945	240	3
1986/1987	36,988	117	2
1987/1988	95,130	318	2
1988/1989	0	0	
1989/1990	0	0	
1990/1991	0	0	
1991/1992	0	0	
1992/1993	0	0	
1993/1994	0	0	
1994/1995	0	0	
1995/1996	190,951	628	5
1996/1997	127,712	425	4
1997/1998	68,603	232	3
1998/1999	68,419	234	3
1999/2000 - 2018/2019	0	0	

Table 9: Total retained catches from directed fisheries for Pribilof Islands District blue king crab (Bowers et al. 2011; D. Pengilly and J. Webb, ADFG, personal communications).

Table 10: Total by catch (non-retained catch) from the directed and non-directed fisheries for Pribilof Islands District blue king crab. Crab fishery by catch data is not available prior to 1996/1997 (Bowers et al. 2011; D. Pengilly ADFG). Gear-specific ground fish fishery data is not available prior to 1991/1992 (J. Mondragon, NMFS).

fisherv	crah	(not) fisheries	s (f)	groundfish	fisheries (t)
vear	eiuo	(pot) insiteries	sublegal	groundinsir	
yeur	females	legal males	males	fixed gear	trawl gear
1991/92				0.067	6.199
1992/93				0.879	60.791
1993/94				0.000	34.232
1994/95				0.035	6.856
1995/96				0.108	1.284
1996/97	0.000	0.000	0.807	0.031	0.067
1997/98	0.000	0.000	0.000	1.462	0.130
1998/99	3.715	2.295	0.467	19.800	0.079
1999/00	1.969	3.493	4.291	0.795	0.020
2000/01	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.116	0.023
2001/02	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.833	0.029
2002/03	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.071	0.297
2003/04	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.345	0.227
2004/05	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.816	0.002
2005/06	0.050	0.000	0.000	0.353	1.339
2006/07	0.104	0.000	0.000	0.138	0.074
2007/08	0.136	0.000	0.000	3.993	0.132
2008/09	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.141	0.473
2009/10	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.216	0.207
2010/11	0.000	0.000	0.186	0.044	0.056
2011/12	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.112	0.007
2012/13	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.170	0.669
2013/14	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.065	0.000
2014/15	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.144	0.000
2015/16	0.103	0.000	0.230	0.744	0.808
2016/17	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.090	0.455
2017/18	0.064	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.397
2018/19	0.000	0.000	0.101	0.026	0.482

Table 11: Total bycatch (discard) mortality from directed and non-directed fisheries for Pribilof
Islands District blue king crab. Gear-specific handling mortalities were applied to estimates of
non-retained catch from Table 2 for fixed gear (i.e., pot and hook/line; 0.2) and trawl gear (0.8).

fishery year	crab females	(pot) fisheries legal males	s (t) sublegal males	groundfish f	fisheries (t) trawl gear	total bycatch mortality (t)
1991/92				0.013	4.959	4.973
1992/93				0.176	48.633	48.809
1993/94				0.000	27.386	27.386
1994/95				0.007	5.485	5.492
1995/96				0.022	1.027	1.049
1996/97	0.000	0.000	0.161	0.006	0.054	0.221
1997/98	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.292	0.104	0.396
1998/99	0.743	0.459	0.093	3.960	0.063	5.319
1999/00	0.394	0.699	0.858	0.159	0.016	2.125
2000/01	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.023	0.018	0.042
2001/02	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.167	0.023	0.190
2002/03	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.014	0.238	0.252
2003/04	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.069	0.182	0.251
2004/05	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.163	0.002	0.165
2005/06	0.010	0.000	0.000	0.071	1.071	1.152
2006/07	0.021	0.000	0.000	0.028	0.059	0.108
2007/08	0.027	0.000	0.000	0.799	0.106	0.931
2008/09	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.028	0.378	0.407
2009/10	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.043	0.165	0.209
2010/11	0.000	0.000	0.037	0.009	0.045	0.091
2011/12	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.022	0.006	0.028
2012/13	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.034	0.535	0.569
2013/14	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.013	0.000	0.013
2014/15	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.029	0.000	0.029
2015/16	0.021	0.000	0.046	0.149	0.646	0.862
2016/17	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.018	0.364	0.382
2017/18	0.013	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.317	0.330
2018/19	0.000	0.000	0.020	0.005	0.385	0.411

	% t	% bycatch (biomass) by trip target							
Crab Fishery Year	yellowfin sole	Pacific cod	flathead sole	rock sole	total bycatch (# crabs)				
	%	%	%	%					
2003/04	47	22	31	< 1	252				
2004/05	< 1	100	< 1	< 1	259				
2005/06	< 1	97	3	< 1	757				
2006/07	54	20	< 1	26	96				
2007/08	3	96	1	< 1	2,950				
2008/09	77	23	< 1	< 1	295				
2009/10	31	51	17	< 1	281				
2010/11	< 1	39	59	< 1	48				
2011/12	< 1	100	< 1	< 1	62				
2012/13	77	20	3	< 1	410				
2013/14	< 1	99	< 1	< 1	39				
2014/15	< 1	99	< 1	< 1	64				
2015/16	43	48	9	< 1	609				
2016/17	16	16	<1	68	580				
2017/18	40	<1	60	<1	278				
2018/19	95	5	<1	<1	415				

Table 12: Bycatch (in kg) of PIBKC in the groundfish fisheries, by target type.

	% bycat				
Crab Fishery Year	non-pelagic trawl	pelagic trawl	hook and line	pot	total bycatch (# crabs)
	%	%	%	%	
2003/04	79	0	21	0	252
2004/05	1	0	99	0	259
2005/06	3	0	18	79	757
2006/07	20	0	20	0	96
2007/08	3	0	1	95	2,950
2008/09	77	0	23	0	295
2009/10	49	0	7	44	281
2010/11	59	0	41	0	48
2011/12	6	0	94	0	62
2012/13	80	0	20	0	410
2013/14	0	0	100	0	39
2014/15	0	0	100	0	64
2015/16	52	0	48	0	609
2016/17	84	0	16	0	580
2017/18	100	0	0	0	278
2018/19	95	0	5	0	415

Table 13: By catch (in kg) of PIBKC in the groundfish fisheries, by gear type.

year	Stock Component	Number of tows in District	Tows with crab	Number of crab measured		
2018	Immature male	86	4	6		
	Mature male	86	3	3		
	Legal male	86	3	3		
	Immature female	86	1	1		
	Mature female	6				
2017	Immature male	86	2	4		
	Mature male	86	4	4		
	Legal male	86	3	3		
	Immature female	86	3	7		
	Mature female	86	4	8		
2016	Immature male	86	4	5		
	Mature male	86	3	3		
	Legal male	86	1	1		
	Immature female	86	4	5		
	Mature female	86	7	19		
2015	Immature male	86	2	4		
	Mature male	86	8	13		
	Legal male	86	5	7		
	Immature female	86	0	0		
	Mature female	86	4	11		
2014	Immature male	86	3	5		
	Mature male	86	2	5		
	Legal male	86	2	5		
	Immature female	86	1	1		
	Mature female	86	3	4		

Table 14: Summary of recent NMFS annual EBS bottom trawl surveys for the Pribilof Islands District blue king crab by stock component.

Table 15: Abundance time series for Pribilof Islands blue king crab from the NMFS annual EBS bottom trawl survey.

		Males									Females				
Year	immature	;	mature		legal		total		immature	;	mature		total		
	abundance	cv	abundance	cv	abundance	cv	abundance	cv	abundance	cv	abundance	cv	abundance	cv	
1975	8,475,781	0.57	15,288,169	0.50	9,051,486	0.50	23,763,950	0.47	0	0.00	13,147,587	0.61	13,147,587	0.61	
1976	4,959,559	0.95	4,782,105	0.45	4,012,289	0.47	9,741,664	0.59	7,369,388	0.97	769,150	0.51	8,138,538	0.91	
1977	4,215,865	0.46	13,043,983	0.74	11,768,927	0.77	17,259,848	0.63	851,601	0.82	13,880,051	0.86	14,731,651	0.86	
1978	2,421,458	0.50	6,140,638	0.50	3,922,874	0.62	8,562,096	0.43	60,923	1.00	5,926,514	0.66	5,987,437	0.66	
1979	79,355	0.70	4,107,868	0.33	3,017,119	0.31	4,187,222	0.32	142,416	0.72	1,168,935	0.81	1,311,351	0.77	
1980	2,732,728	0.47	7,842,342	0.41	6,244,058	0.42	10,575,070	0.40	781,224	0.77	182,902,919	0.98	183,684,143	0.98	
1981	2,099,475	0.32	3,834,431	0.18	3,245,951	0.18	5,933,906	0.21	826,524	0.41	5,433,491	0.44	6,260,015	0.42	
1982	1,371,283	0.28	2,353,813	0.18	2,071,468	0.19	3,725,096	0.17	876,256	0.51	7,837,004	0.65	8,713,260	0.63	
1983	1,030,732	0.36	1,851,301	0.19	1,321,395	0.17	2,882,033	0.22	463,726	0.54	9,307,969	0.78	9,771,695	0.76	
1984	517,574	0.40	770,643	0.22	558,226	0.25	1,288,217	0.21	465,473	0.52	2,769,190	0.38	3,234,663	0.37	
1985	67,765	0.60	428,076	0.28	270,242	0.29	495,841	0.27	260,081	0.54	486,184	0.44	746,266	0.36	
1986	18,904	1.00	480,198	0.31	460,311	0.31	499,102	0.30	36,684	0.70	2,101,932	0.90	2,138,616	0.88	
1987	621,541	0.83	903,180	0.41	830,151	0.42	1,524,721	0.43	401,530	0.74	670,479	0.58	1,072,008	0.48	
1988	1,238,053	0.84	237,868	0.51	237,868	0.51	1,475,921	0.71	897,629	0.87	465,463	0.48	1,363,093	0.64	
1989	3,514,764	0.59	239,948	0.62	239,948	0.62	3,754,712	0.58	2,636,099	0.74	1,141,756	0.66	3,777,855	0.58	
1990	2,449,864	0.60	1,470,419	0.63	571,708	0.54	3,920,283	0.58	2,177,329	0.91	2,045,839	0.55	4,223,169	0.56	
1991	1,920,443	0.37	2,014,086	0.36	1,237,558	0.44	3,934,529	0.34	805,451	0.46	2,767,448	0.42	3,572,899	0.35	
1992	2,435,796	0.59	1,935,278	0.42	1,154,465	0.45	4,371,074	0.48	1,797,343	0.93	2,149,519	0.49	3,946,863	0.52	
1993	1,483,524	0.52	1,875,500	0.31	1,114,301	0.30	3,359,024	0.34	880,672	0.61	1,782,657	0.45	2,663,329	0.38	
1994	638,520	0.37	1,294,263	0.34	935,269	0.34	1,932,783	0.33	144,763	0.57	5,047,215	0.44	5,191,978	0.44	
1995	1,146,803	0.89	3,101,/12	0.60	2,186,409	0.62	4,248,514	0.67	658,479	0.92	4,038,556	0.52	4,697,035	0.49	
1996	/19,430	0.63	1,712,015	0.28	1,269,275	0.26	2,431,445	0.33	2/5,/35	0.42	5,045,822	0.48	5,321,557	0.46	
1997	467,234	0.55	1,201,296	0.29	932,852	0.28	1,008,530	0.34	500,344	0.67	2,014,374	0.42	2,934,/1/	0.39	
1998	949,447	0.40	907,098	0.23	/9/,18/	0.23	1,910,343	0.31	500,241	0.45	1,829,309	0.44	2,529,730	0.37	
2000	159,550	0.57	017,238	0.33	432,740	0.34	//0,/94	0.55	0	0.00	2,755,970	0.49	2,755,970	0.49	
2000	105,855	0.50	522,031	0.50	327,389	0.50	615 157	0.51	18 5 1 6	1.00	1,505,070	0.40	1,303,070	0.40	
2001	92,918	0.05	225 476	0.71	207 146	0.74	225 476	0.09	18,510	1.00	1,097,403	0.75	1,713,981	0.74	
2002	45 271	0.00	223,470	0.30	213 572	0.40	223,470	0.47	67 329	0.48	1,221,052	0.76	1 187 583	0.70	
2003	87 651	0.59	47 905	0.55	15 584	1.00	135 556	0.42	98.059	0.40	70.035	0.70	168 094	0.72	
2005	1 981 338	0.96	91 932	0.71	91 932	0.71	2 073 270	0.92	2 268 113	1.00	289 197	0.56	2 557 310	0.89	
2006	138 118	0.49	55 579	0.56	38 242	0.70	193 697	0.42	113 047	0.55	429 541	0.77	542 588	0.62	
2007	246,165	0.72	110.080	0.85	54,403	0.75	356.245	0.64	122.483	0.73	165.763	0.90	288.245	0.59	
2008	233.919	0.93	18.256	1.00	18.256	1.00	252.174	0.86	342,119	0.90	437.369	0.66	779.488	0.75	
2009	267,717	0.63	248,626	0.73	68,117	0.59	516,343	0.68	152,290	0.61	477,095	0.82	629,385	0.76	
2010	101,151	0.84	130,465	0.49	64,703	0.48	231,616	0.61	165,632	0.56	249,027	0.69	414,660	0.62	
2011	0	0.00	165,525	0.79	129,098	0.87	165,525	0.79	18,089	1.00	36,512	0.70	54,601	0.56	
2012	194,522	1.00	272,233	0.80	164,165	0.68	466,755	0.88	34,683	1.00	312,095	0.76	346,777	0.70	
2013	76,351	1.00	104,361	0.86	68,726	0.80	180,712	0.64	45,344	0.70	150,300	0.63	195,644	0.53	
2014	90,990	0.59	91,856	0.71	91,856	0.71	182,846	0.57	27,721	1.00	74,368	0.60	102,088	0.51	
2015	75,575	0.77	233,630	0.37	124,592	0.45	309,205	0.41	0	0.00	202,464	0.65	202,464	0.65	
2016	94,022	0.52	55,852	0.56	19,345	1.00	149,874	0.49	131,689	0.50	322,760	0.52	454,450	0.50	
2017	68,238	0.77	90,645	0.50	71,937	0.59	158,884	0.46	187,860	0.75	161,799	0.53	349,659	0.54	
2018	110,361	0.57	55,776	0.56	55,776	0.56	166,136	0.52	75,906	0.59	57,873	1.00	133,779	0.54	

Table 16:	Biomass	time	series	for	Pribilof	Islands	blue	king	crab	from	the	NMFS	annual	EBS
bottom ti	awl surve	ey.												

		Males								Females				
Year	immatur	e	mature		legal		total		immatur	e	mature		total	
	biomass (t)	cv	biomass (t)	cv	biomass (t)	cv	biomass (t)	cv	biomass (t)	cv	biomass (t)	cv	biomass (t)	cv
1975	8,341	0.52	38,054	0.50	27,016	0.50	46,395	0.47	0	0.00	12,442	0.64	12,442	0.64
1976	4,129	0.94	14,059	0.45	12,649	0.47	18,188	0.45	4,968	0.97	824	0.53	5,792	0.89
1977	3,713	0.44	42,618	0.77	40,366	0.78	46,332	0.73	419	0.83	13,154	0.88	13,572	0.87
1978	2,765	0.51	17,370	0.56	13,517	0.64	20,135	0.51	76	1.00	6,416	0.72	6,492	0.72
1979	61	0.79	10,959	0.32	9,040	0.31	11,021	0.31	92	0.73	1,097	0.79	1,189	0.76
1980	2,084	0.49	23,553	0.43	20,679	0.45	25,637	0.42	699	0.86	211,604	0.98	212,303	0.98
1981	1,704	0.30	11,628	0.17	10,554	0.17	13,332	0.18	497	0.41	5,987	0.47	6,484	0.46
1982	1,152	0.23	7,389	0.19	6,893	0.19	8,541	0.17	553	0.57	8,824	0.68	9,377	0.67
1983	962	0.36	5,409	0.18	4,474	0.17	6,371	0.19	258	0.61	9,990	0.79	10,248	0.78
1984	130	0.36	2,216	0.23	1,824	0.25	2,345	0.22	15	0.69	3,070	0.38	3,085	0.38
1985	39	0.73	1,055	0.27	756	0.28	1,094	0.26	5	0.46	520	0.45	525	0.44
1986	4	1.00	1,505	0.30	1,473	0.31	1,508	0.30	11	0.73	2,420	0.90	2,431	0.90
1987	191	0.78	2,923	0.41	2,781	0.41	3,115	0.40	119	0.86	795	0.58	913	0.53
1988	170	0.71	842	0.53	842	0.53	1,012	0.46	190	0.79	528	0.49	718	0.47
1989	1,275	0.62	828	0.64	828	0.64	2,102	0.55	801	0.67	945	0.58	1,746	0.50
1990	2,004	0.66	3,078	0.60	1,514	0.52	5,082	0.61	1,118	0.93	1,810	0.51	2,929	0.49
1991	1,377	0.39	4,690	0.39	3,326	0.45	6,067	0.37	343	0.48	2,433	0.41	2,776	0.38
1992	1,801	0.51	4,391	0.42	3,035	0.45	6,192	0.43	802	0.96	1,848	0.48	2,649	0.46
1993	1,089	0.54	4,556	0.31	3,203	0.30	5,644	0.30	444	0.62	1,647	0.46	2,092	0.40
1994	619	0.39	3,410	0.34	2,806	0.35	4,029	0.34	87	0.57	4,806	0.45	4,893	0.44
1995	968	0.86	8,360	0.60	6,787	0.62	9,328	0.63	331	0.90	3,948	0.52	4,279	0.50
1996	745	0.61	4,641	0.27	3,873	0.27	5,386	0.28	177	0.42	5,408	0.50	5,585	0.49
1997	381	0.55	3,233	0.28	2,765	0.27	3,614	0.29	194	0.66	2,835	0.43	3,028	0.41
1998	692	0.41	2,798	0.25	2,510	0.25	3,490	0.25	267	0.42	1,914	0.44	2,182	0.39
1999	161	0.40	1,729	0.34	1,426	0.35	1,890	0.33	0	0.00	2,868	0.47	2,868	0.47
2000	113	0.68	2,091	0.30	1,746	0.31	2,205	0.30	0	0.00	1,462	0.46	1,462	0.46
2001	87	0.76	1,599	0.73	1,461	0.76	1,686	0.73	0	1.00	1,816	0.72	1,817	0.72
2002	0	0.00	680	0.51	647	0.52	680	0.51	0	1.00	1,401	0.78	1,401	0.78
2003	19	0.98	702	0.40	671	0.41	721	0.39	21	0.67	1,286	0.75	1,307	0.73
2004	36	0.65	107	0.58	48	1.00	143	0.46	25	0.82	98	0.60	123	0.50
2005	326	0.94	344	0.71	344	0.71	670	0.59	477	1.00	370	0.57	847	0.61
2006	87	0.58	166	0.60	139	0.70	253	0.46	38	0.60	538	0.76	576	0.71
2007	197	0.74	306	0.80	206	0.73	503	0.66	59	0.79	223	0.88	282	0.71
2008	212	0.95	46	1.00	46	1.00	258	0.80	222	0.90	450	0.64	672	0.70
2009	254	0.68	497	0.71	187	0.60	751	0.70	80	0.66	545	0.85	625	0.82
2010	92	0.85	303	0.46	190	0.48	395	0.52	84	0.58	310	0.66	394	0.63
2011	0	0.00	461	0.84	399	0.89	461	0.84	3	1.00	34	0.73	37	0.67
2012	165	1.00	644	0.74	459	0.64	809	0.79	9	1.00	229	0.66	237	0.64
2013	15	1.00	250	0.80	190	0.75	265	0.75	12	0.72	154	0.70	166	0.65
2014	83	0.62	233	0.70	233	0.70	317	0.57	16	1.00	91	0.60	108	0.53
2015	82	0.75	622	0.39	428	0.46	703	0.39	0	0.00	160	0.66	160	0.66
2016	70	0.49	129	0.61	68	1.00	199	0.52	72	0.47	329	0.50	401	0.48
2017	45	0.77	253	0.51	223	0.57	298	0.47	107	0.81	152	0.56	259	0.53
2018	96	0.54	154	0.57	154	0.57	249	0.52	45	0.58	76	1.00	121	0.65

yearbiomass (t)lower CI (t)upper CI (t)1975 $38,054$ $20,760$ $69,754$ $26,882$ $16,821$ $42,960$ 1976 $14,059$ $8,104$ $24,391$ $19,930$ $13,395$ $29,653$ 1977 $42,618$ $17,814$ $101,958$ $21,522$ $13,532$ $23,223$ 1978 $17,370$ $8,912$ $33,852$ $16,972$ $11,337$ $25,408$ 1979 $10,959$ $7,386$ $16,262$ $13,333$ $9,748$ $18,236$ 1980 $23,553$ $13,894$ $39,925$ $15,594$ $11,031$ $22,045$ 1981 $11,628$ $9,321$ $14,507$ $11,421$ $9,355$ $13,944$ 1982 $7,389$ $5,825$ $9,374$ $7,448$ $6,052$ $9,167$ 1983 $5,409$ $4,316$ $6,778$ $5,080$ $4,155$ $6,211$ 1984 $1,055$ $7,54$ $1,476$ $1,351$ $1,021$ $1,787$ 1986 $1,505$ $1,030$ $2,199$ $1,556$ $1,157$ $2,091$ 1987 $2,923$ $1,761$ $4,853$ $1,927$ $1,352$ $2,747$ 1988 842 446 $1,591$ $1,429$ 948 $2,154$ 1989 $8,263$ $3,920$ $7,756$ $3,810$ $2,677$ $5,423$ 1990 $3,078$ $1,513$ $6,261$ $2,603$ $1,718$ $3,942$ 1991 $4,690$ $2,910$ $7,556$ $3,810$ $2,974$ $5,943$ 1992 $4,331$ <t< th=""><th></th><th></th><th>raw</th><th></th><th></th><th>RE-smoothed</th><th>1</th></t<>			raw			RE-smoothed	1
1975 $38,054$ $20,760$ $69,754$ $26,882$ $16,821$ $42,960$ 1976 $14,059$ $8,104$ $24,391$ $19,930$ $13,395$ $29,653$ 1977 $42,618$ $17,814$ $101,958$ $21,252$ $13,592$ $33,229$ 1978 $17,370$ $8,912$ $33,852$ $16,972$ $11,337$ $25,408$ 1979 $10,959$ $7,386$ $16,262$ $13,333$ $9,748$ $18,236$ 1980 $23,553$ $13,894$ $99,925$ $15,594$ $11,031$ $22,045$ 1981 $11,628$ $9,321$ $14,507$ $11,421$ $9,355$ $13,944$ 1982 $7,389$ $5,825$ $9,374$ $7,448$ $6,052$ $9,167$ 1983 $5,409$ $4,316$ $6,778$ $5,080$ $4,155$ $6,211$ 1984 $2,216$ $1,659$ $2,959$ $2,348$ $1,842$ $2,993$ 1985 $1,055$ 754 $1,476$ $1,351$ $1,021$ $1,787$ 1986 $1,505$ $1,030$ $2,199$ $1,556$ $1,157$ $2,091$ 1987 $2,923$ $1,761$ $4,853$ $1,927$ $1,352$ $2,747$ 1988 842 446 $1,591$ $1,429$ 948 $2,154$ 1989 828 392 $1,749$ $1,601$ $1,030$ $2,489$ 1990 $3,078$ $1,513$ $6,261$ $2,603$ $1,718$ $3,942$ 1991 $4,690$ $2,910$ $7,556$ $3,810$ $2,677$ $5,523$ <t< th=""><th>year</th><th>biomass (t)</th><th>lower CI (t)</th><th>upper CI (t)</th><th>biomass (t)</th><th>lower CI (t)</th><th>upper CI (t)</th></t<>	year	biomass (t)	lower CI (t)	upper CI (t)	biomass (t)	lower CI (t)	upper CI (t)
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	1975	38,054	20,760	69,754	26,882	16,821	42,960
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	1976	14,059	8,104	24,391	19,930	13,395	29,653
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	1977	42,618	17,814	101,958	21,252	13,592	33,229
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	1978	17,370	8,912	33,852	16,972	11,337	25,408
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	1979	10,959	7,386	16,262	13,333	9,748	18,236
198111,6289,32114,50711,4219,35513,94419827,3895,8259,3747,4486,0529,16719835,4094,3166,7785,0804,1556,21119842,2161,6592,9592,3481,8422,99319851,0557541,4761,3511,0211,78719861,5051,0302,1991,5561,1572,09119872,9231,7614,8531,9271,3522,74719888424461,5911,4299482,15419898283921,7491,6011,0302,48919903,0781,5136,2612,6031,7183,94219914,6902,9107,5563,8102,6775,42319924,3912,6127,3824,1802,9405,85319943,4102,2205,2404,0182,9085,55019958,3604,09117,0864,9393,3367,31219964,6413,3096,5094,3833,3165,79319973,2332,2844,5753,3222,5244,37219982,7982,0433,8332,7052,0863,50819991,7291,1362,6311,9771,4522,69120002,0911,4433,0311,8361,3582,48220011,599 <td>1980</td> <td>23,553</td> <td>13,894</td> <td>39,925</td> <td>15,594</td> <td>11,031</td> <td>22,045</td>	1980	23,553	13,894	39,925	15,594	11,031	22,045
1982 $7,389$ $5,825$ $9,374$ $7,448$ $6,052$ $9,167$ 1983 $5,409$ $4,316$ $6,778$ $5,080$ $4,155$ $6,211$ 1984 $2,216$ $1,659$ $2,959$ $2,348$ $1,842$ $2,993$ 1985 $1,055$ 754 $1,476$ $1,351$ $1,021$ $1,787$ 1986 $1,505$ $1,030$ $2,199$ $1,556$ $1,157$ $2,091$ 1987 $2,923$ $1,761$ $4,853$ $1,927$ $1,352$ $2,747$ 1988 842 446 $1,591$ $1,429$ 948 $2,154$ 1989 828 392 $1,749$ $1,601$ $1,030$ $2,489$ 1990 $3,078$ $1,513$ $6,261$ $2,603$ $1,718$ $3,942$ 1991 $4,690$ $2,910$ $7,556$ $3,810$ $2,677$ $5,423$ 1992 $4,391$ $2,612$ $7,382$ $4,180$ $2,940$ $5,943$ 1993 $4,556$ $3,100$ $6,694$ $4,328$ $3,200$ $5,853$ 1994 $3,410$ $2,220$ $5,240$ $4,018$ $2,908$ $5,550$ 1995 $8,360$ $4,091$ $17,086$ $4,939$ $3,336$ $7,312$ 1996 $4,641$ $3,309$ $6,509$ $4,383$ $3,316$ $5,793$ 1997 $3,233$ $2,284$ $4,575$ $3,322$ $2,524$ $4,372$ 2000 $2,091$ $1,443$ $3,031$ $1,836$ $1,358$ $2,482$ 2001 $1,599$ 689	1981	11,628	9,321	14,507	11,421	9,355	13,944
1983 $5,409$ $4,316$ $6,778$ $5,080$ $4,155$ $6,211$ 1984 $2,216$ $1,659$ $2,959$ $2,348$ $1,842$ $2,993$ 1985 $1,055$ 754 $1,476$ $1,351$ $1,021$ $1,787$ 1986 $1,505$ $1,030$ $2,199$ $1,556$ $1,157$ $2,091$ 1987 $2,923$ $1,761$ $4,853$ $1,927$ $1,352$ $2,747$ 1988 842 446 $1,591$ $1,429$ 948 $2,154$ 1989 828 392 $1,749$ $1,601$ $1,030$ $2,489$ 1990 $3,078$ $1,513$ $6,261$ $2,603$ $1,718$ $3,942$ 1991 $4,690$ $2,910$ $7,556$ $3,810$ $2,677$ $5,423$ 1992 $4,391$ $2,612$ $7,382$ $4,180$ $2,940$ $5,943$ 1993 $4,556$ $3,100$ $6,694$ $4,328$ $3,200$ $5,853$ 1994 $3,410$ $2,220$ $5,240$ $4,018$ $2,908$ $5,550$ 1995 $8,360$ $4,091$ $17,086$ $4,939$ $3,336$ $5,793$ 1997 $3,233$ $2,284$ $4,575$ $3,322$ $2,524$ $4,372$ 1998 $2,798$ $2,043$ $3,833$ $2,705$ $2,086$ $3,508$ 1999 $1,729$ $1,136$ $2,631$ $1,977$ $1,452$ $2,691$ 2000 $2,091$ $1,443$ $3,031$ $1,836$ $1,358$ $2,482$ 2001 $1,599$ 689	1982	7,389	5,825	9,374	7,448	6,052	9,167
19842,2161,6592,9592,3481,8422,99319851,0557541,4761,3511,0211,78719861,5051,0302,1991,5561,1572,09119872,9231,7614,8531,9271,3522,74719888424461,5911,4299482,15419898283921,7491,6011,0302,48919903,0781,5136,2612,6031,7183,94219914,6902,9107,5563,8102,6775,42319924,3912,6127,3824,1802,9405,94319934,5563,1006,6944,3283,2005,85319943,4102,2205,2404,0182,9085,55019958,3604,09117,0864,9393,3367,31219964,6413,3096,5094,3833,3165,79319973,2332,2844,5753,3222,5244,37219982,7982,0433,8332,7052,0863,50819991,7291,1362,6311,9771,4522,69120002,0911,4433,0311,8361,3582,48220011,5996893,7101,2648301,92520026803691,2547845291,16320041075321	1983	5,409	4,316	6,778	5,080	4,155	6,211
19851,0557541,4761,3511,0211,78719861,5051,0302,1991,5561,1572,09119872,9231,7614,8531,9271,3522,74719888424461,5911,4299482,15419898283921,7491,6011,0302,48919903,0781,5136,2612,6031,7183,94219914,6902,9107,5563,8102,6775,42319924,3912,6127,3824,1802,9405,94319934,5563,1006,6944,3283,2005,85319943,4102,2205,2404,0182,9085,55019958,3604,09117,0864,9393,3367,31219964,6413,3096,5094,3833,3165,79319973,2332,2844,5753,3222,5244,37219982,7982,0433,8332,7052,0863,50819991,7291,1362,6311,9771,4522,69120002,0911,4433,0311,8361,3582,48220011,5996893,7101,2648301,92520026803691,2547845291,16320037024281,150549382788200410753214	1984	2,216	1,659	2,959	2,348	1,842	2,993
19861,5051,0302,1991,5561,1572,09119872,9231,7614,8531,9271,3522,74719888424461,5911,4299482,15419898283921,7491,6011,0302,48919903,0781,5136,2612,6031,7183,94219914,6902,9107,5563,8102,6775,42319924,3912,6127,3824,1802,9405,94319934,5563,1006,6944,3283,2005,85319943,4102,2205,2404,0182,9085,55019958,3604,09117,0864,9393,3367,31219964,6413,3096,5094,3333,3165,79319973,2332,2844,5753,3222,5244,37219982,7982,0433,8332,7052,0863,50819991,7291,1362,6311,9771,4522,69120002,0911,4433,0311,8361,3582,48220011,5996893,7101,2648301,92520026803691,2547845291,16320037024281,1505493827882004107532142791804322005344152780266 <t< td=""><td>1985</td><td>1,055</td><td>754</td><td>1,476</td><td>1,351</td><td>1,021</td><td>1,787</td></t<>	1985	1,055	754	1,476	1,351	1,021	1,787
19872.9231.7614.8531.9271.3522.74719888424461.5911.4299482.15419898283921.7491.6011.0302.48919903.0781.5136.2612.6031.7183.94219914.6902.9107.5563.8102.6775.42319924.3912.6127.3824.1802.9405.94319934.5563.1006.6944.3283.2005.85319943.4102.2205.2404.0182.9085.55019958.3604.09117.0864.9393.3367.31219964.6413.3096.5094.3833.3165.79319973.2332.2844.5753.3222.5244.37219982.7982.0433.8332.7052.0863.50819991.7291.1362.6311.9771.4522.69120002.0911.4433.0311.8361.3582.48220011.5996893.7101.2648301.92520026803691.2547845291.16320037024281.1505493827882004107732142791804322005344152780266169419200616681339225143 <td< td=""><td>1986</td><td>1,505</td><td>1,030</td><td>2,199</td><td>1,556</td><td>1,157</td><td>2,091</td></td<>	1986	1,505	1,030	2,199	1,556	1,157	2,091
19888424461,5911,4299482,15419898283921,7491,6011,0302,48919903,0781,5136,2612,6031,7183,94219914,6902,9107,5563,8102,6775,42319924,3912,6127,3824,1802,9405,94319934,5563,1006,6944,3283,2005,85319943,4102,2205,2404,0182,9085,55019958,3604,09117,0864,9393,3367,31219964,6413,3096,5094,3833,3165,79319973,2332,2844,5753,3222,5244,37219982,7982,0433,8332,7052,0863,50819991,7291,1362,6311,9771,4522,69120002,0911,4433,0311,8361,3582,48220011,5996893,7101,2648301,92520026803691,2547845291,16320037024281,15054938278820041075321427918043220053441527802661694192006166813392251433542007306125753230142374 <td>1987</td> <td>2,923</td> <td>1,761</td> <td>4,853</td> <td>1,927</td> <td>1,352</td> <td>2,747</td>	1987	2,923	1,761	4,853	1,927	1,352	2,747
1989 828 392 $1,749$ $1,601$ $1,030$ $2,489$ 1990 $3,078$ $1,513$ $6,261$ $2,603$ $1,718$ $3,942$ 1991 $4,690$ $2,910$ $7,556$ $3,810$ $2,677$ $5,423$ 1992 $4,391$ $2,612$ $7,382$ $4,180$ $2,940$ $5,943$ 1993 $4,556$ $3,100$ $6,694$ $4,328$ $3,200$ $5,853$ 1994 $3,410$ $2,220$ $5,240$ $4,018$ $2,908$ $5,550$ 1995 $8,360$ $4,091$ $17,086$ $4,939$ $3,336$ $7,312$ 1996 $4,641$ $3,309$ $6,509$ $4,383$ $3,316$ $5,793$ 1997 $3,233$ $2,284$ $4,575$ $3,322$ $2,524$ $4,372$ 1998 $2,798$ $2,043$ $3,833$ $2,705$ $2,086$ $3,508$ 1999 $1,729$ $1,136$ $2,631$ $1,977$ $1,452$ $2,691$ 2000 $2,091$ $1,443$ $3,031$ $1,836$ $1,358$ $2,482$ 2001 $1,599$ 689 $3,710$ $1,264$ 830 $1,925$ 2002 680 369 $1,254$ 784 529 $1,163$ 2003 702 428 $1,150$ 549 382 788 2004 107 53 214 279 180 432 2005 344 152 753 230 142 374 2006 166 81 339 225 143	1988	842	446	1,591	1,429	948	2,154
1990 $3,078$ $1,513$ $6,261$ $2,603$ $1,718$ $3,942$ 1991 $4,690$ $2,910$ $7,556$ $3,810$ $2,677$ $5,423$ 1992 $4,391$ $2,612$ $7,382$ $4,180$ $2,940$ $5,943$ 1993 $4,556$ $3,100$ $6,694$ $4,328$ $3,200$ $5,853$ 1994 $3,410$ $2,220$ $5,240$ $4,018$ $2,908$ $5,550$ 1995 $8,360$ $4,091$ $17,086$ $4,939$ $3,336$ $7,312$ 1996 $4,641$ $3,309$ $6,509$ $4,383$ $3,316$ $5,793$ 1997 $3,233$ $2,284$ $4,575$ $3,322$ $2,524$ $4,372$ 1998 $2,798$ $2,043$ $3,833$ $2,705$ $2,086$ $3,508$ 1999 $1,729$ $1,136$ $2,631$ $1,977$ $1,452$ $2,691$ 2000 $2,091$ $1,443$ $3,031$ $1,836$ $1,358$ $2,482$ 2001 $1,599$ 689 $3,710$ $1,264$ 830 $1,925$ 2002 680 369 $1,254$ 784 529 $1,163$ 2003 702 428 $1,150$ 549 382 788 2004 107 53 214 279 180 432 2005 344 152 753 230 142 374 2006 166 81 339 225 143 354 2007 306 125 753 230 142 <td< td=""><td>1989</td><td>828</td><td>392</td><td>1,749</td><td>1,601</td><td>1,030</td><td>2,489</td></td<>	1989	828	392	1,749	1,601	1,030	2,489
19914,6902,9107,5563,8102,6775,42319924,3912,6127,3824,1802,9405,94319934,5563,1006,6944,3283,2005,85319943,4102,2205,2404,0182,9085,55019958,3604,09117,0864,9393,3367,31219964,6413,3096,5094,3833,3165,79319973,2332,2844,5753,3222,5244,37219982,7982,0433,8332,7052,0863,50819991,7291,1362,6311,9771,4522,69120002,0911,4433,0311,8361,3582,48220011,5996893,7101,2648301,92520026803691,2547845291,16320037024281,15054938278820041075321427918043220053441527802661694192006166813392251433542007306125753230142374208461613421112635120094972191,13029418646620103031735323212144812011461<	1990	3,078	1,513	6,261	2,603	1,718	3,942
1992 $4,391$ $2,612$ $7,382$ $4,180$ $2,940$ $5,943$ 1993 $4,556$ $3,100$ $6,694$ $4,328$ $3,200$ $5,853$ 1994 $3,410$ $2,220$ $5,240$ $4,018$ $2,908$ $5,550$ 1995 $8,360$ $4,091$ $17,086$ $4,939$ $3,336$ $7,312$ 1996 $4,641$ $3,309$ $6,509$ $4,383$ $3,316$ $5,793$ 1997 $3,233$ $2,284$ $4,575$ $3,322$ $2,524$ $4,372$ 1998 $2,798$ $2,043$ $3,833$ $2,705$ $2,086$ $3,508$ 1999 $1,729$ $1,136$ $2,631$ $1,977$ $1,452$ $2,691$ 2000 $2,091$ $1,443$ $3,031$ $1,836$ $1,358$ $2,482$ 2001 $1,599$ 689 $3,710$ $1,264$ 830 $1,925$ 2002 680 369 $1,254$ 784 529 $1,163$ 2003 702 428 $1,150$ 549 382 788 2004 107 53 214 279 180 432 2005 344 152 780 266 169 419 2006 166 81 339 225 143 354 2007 306 125 753 230 142 374 2008 46 16 134 211 126 351 2009 497 219 $1,130$ 294	1991	4,690	2,910	7,556	3,810	2,677	5,423
19934,5563,100 $6,694$ 4,3283,2005,85319943,4102,2205,2404,0182,9085,55019958,3604,09117,0864,9393,3367,31219964,6413,3096,5094,3833,3165,79319973,2332,2844,5753,3222,5244,37219982,7982,0433,8332,7052,0863,50819991,7291,1362,6311,9771,4522,69120002,0911,4433,0311,8361,3582,48220011,5996893,7101,2648301,92520026803691,2547845291,16320037024281,150549382788200410753214279180432200534415278026616941920061668133922514335420073061257532301423742008461613421112635120094972191,130294186466201030317353232121448120114611801,18037123259520126442771,4963982476402013250102<	1992	4,391	2,612	7,382	4,180	2,940	5,943
1994 $3,410$ $2,220$ $5,240$ $4,018$ $2,908$ $5,550$ 1995 $8,360$ $4,091$ $17,086$ $4,939$ $3,336$ $7,312$ 1996 $4,641$ $3,309$ $6,509$ $4,383$ $3,316$ $5,793$ 1997 $3,233$ $2,284$ $4,575$ $3,322$ $2,524$ $4,372$ 1998 $2,798$ $2,043$ $3,833$ $2,705$ $2,086$ $3,508$ 1999 $1,729$ $1,136$ $2,631$ $1,977$ $1,452$ $2,691$ 2000 $2,091$ $1,443$ $3,031$ $1,836$ $1,358$ $2,482$ 2001 $1,599$ 689 $3,710$ $1,264$ 830 $1,925$ 2002 680 369 $1,254$ 784 529 $1,163$ 2003 702 428 $1,150$ 549 382 788 2004 107 53 214 279 180 432 2005 344 152 780 266 169 419 2006 166 81 339 225 143 354 2007 306 125 753 230 142 374 2008 46 16 134 211 126 351 2009 497 219 $1,130$ 294 186 466 2010 303 173 532 321 214 481 2011 461 180 $1,180$ 371 232 595 <td>1993</td> <td>4,556</td> <td>3,100</td> <td>6,694</td> <td>4,328</td> <td>3,200</td> <td>5,853</td>	1993	4,556	3,100	6,694	4,328	3,200	5,853
1995 $8,360$ $4,091$ $17,086$ $4,939$ $3,336$ $7,312$ 1996 $4,641$ $3,309$ $6,509$ $4,383$ $3,316$ $5,793$ 1997 $3,233$ $2,284$ $4,575$ $3,322$ $2,524$ $4,372$ 1998 $2,798$ $2,043$ $3,833$ $2,705$ $2,086$ $3,508$ 1999 $1,729$ $1,136$ $2,631$ $1,977$ $1,452$ $2,691$ 2000 $2,091$ $1,443$ $3,031$ $1,836$ $1,358$ $2,482$ 2001 $1,599$ 689 $3,710$ $1,264$ 830 $1,925$ 2002 680 369 $1,254$ 784 529 $1,163$ 2003 702 428 $1,150$ 549 382 788 2004 107 53 214 279 180 432 2005 344 152 780 266 169 419 2006 166 81 339 225 143 354 2007 306 125 753 230 142 374 2008 46 16 134 211 126 351 2009 497 219 $1,130$ 294 186 466 2010 303 173 532 321 214 481 2011 461 180 $1,180$ 371 232 595 2012 644 277 $1,496$ 398 247 640 2013 250 102	1994	3,410	2,220	5,240	4,018	2,908	5,550
1996 $4,641$ $3,309$ $6,509$ $4,383$ $3,316$ $5,793$ 1997 $3,233$ $2,284$ $4,575$ $3,322$ $2,524$ $4,372$ 1998 $2,798$ $2,043$ $3,833$ $2,705$ $2,086$ $3,508$ 1999 $1,729$ $1,136$ $2,631$ $1,977$ $1,452$ $2,691$ 2000 $2,091$ $1,443$ $3,031$ $1,836$ $1,358$ $2,482$ 2001 $1,599$ 689 $3,710$ $1,264$ 830 $1,925$ 2002 680 369 $1,254$ 784 529 $1,163$ 2003 702 428 $1,150$ 549 382 788 2004 107 53 214 279 180 432 2005 344 152 780 266 169 419 2006 166 81 339 225 143 354 2007 306 125 753 230 142 374 2008 46 16 134 211 126 351 2009 497 219 $1,130$ 294 186 466 2010 303 173 532 321 214 481 2011 461 180 $1,180$ 371 232 595 2012 644 277 $1,496$ 398 247 640 2013 250 102 615 343 214 552 2014 233 104 524	1995	8,360	4,091	17,086	4,939	3,336	7,312
1997 $3,233$ $2,284$ $4,575$ $3,322$ $2,524$ $4,372$ 1998 $2,798$ $2,043$ $3,833$ $2,705$ $2,086$ $3,508$ 1999 $1,729$ $1,136$ $2,631$ $1,977$ $1,452$ $2,691$ 2000 $2,091$ $1,443$ $3,031$ $1,836$ $1,358$ $2,482$ 2001 $1,599$ 689 $3,710$ $1,264$ 830 $1,925$ 2002 680 369 $1,254$ 784 529 $1,163$ 2003 702 428 $1,150$ 549 382 788 2004 107 53 214 279 180 432 2005 344 152 780 266 169 419 2006 166 81 339 225 143 354 2007 306 125 753 230 142 374 2008 46 16 134 211 126 351 2009 497 219 $1,130$ 294 186 466 2010 303 173 532 321 214 481 2011 461 180 $1,180$ 371 232 595 2012 644 277 $1,496$ 398 247 640 2013 250 102 615 343 214 552 2014 233 104 524 336 215 523 2015 622 <	1996	4,641	3,309	6,509	4,383	3,316	5,793
1998 $2,798$ $2,043$ $3,833$ $2,705$ $2,086$ $3,508$ 1999 $1,729$ $1,136$ $2,631$ $1,977$ $1,452$ $2,691$ 2000 $2,091$ $1,443$ $3,031$ $1,836$ $1,358$ $2,482$ 2001 $1,599$ 689 $3,710$ $1,264$ 830 $1,925$ 2002 680 369 $1,254$ 784 529 $1,163$ 2003 702 428 $1,150$ 549 382 788 2004 107 53 214 279 180 432 2005 344 152 780 266 169 419 2006 166 81 339 225 143 354 2007 306 125 753 230 142 374 2008 46 16 134 211 126 351 2009 497 219 $1,130$ 294 186 466 2010 303 173 532 321 214 481 2011 461 180 $1,180$ 371 232 595 2012 644 277 $1,496$ 398 247 640 2013 250 102 615 343 214 552 2014 233 104 524 336 215 523 2015 622 382 $1,011$ 391 270 568 2016 129 6	1997/	3,233	2,284	4,575	3,322	2,524	4,372
1999 $1,729$ $1,136$ $2,631$ $1,977$ $1,452$ $2,691$ 2000 $2,091$ $1,443$ $3,031$ $1,836$ $1,358$ $2,482$ 2001 $1,599$ 689 $3,710$ $1,264$ 830 $1,925$ 2002 680 369 $1,254$ 784 529 $1,163$ 2003 702 428 $1,150$ 549 382 788 2004 107 53 214 279 180 432 2005 344 152 780 266 169 419 2006 166 81 339 225 143 354 2007 306 125 753 230 142 374 2008 46 16 134 211 126 351 2009 497 219 $1,130$ 294 186 466 2010 303 173 532 321 214 481 2011 461 180 $1,180$ 371 232 595 2012 644 277 $1,496$ 398 247 640 2013 250 102 615 343 214 552 2014 233 104 524 336 215 523 2015 622 382 $1,011$ 391 270 568 2016 129 62 265 246 161 375 2017 253 136	1998	2,798	2,043	3,833	2,705	2,086	3,508
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	1999	1,729	1,136	2,631	1,977	1,452	2,691
2001 $1,399$ 689 $3,710$ $1,264$ 830 $1,925$ 2002 680 369 $1,254$ 784 529 $1,163$ 2003 702 428 $1,150$ 549 382 788 2004 107 53 214 279 180 432 2005 344 152 780 266 169 419 2006 166 81 339 225 143 354 2007 306 125 753 230 142 374 2008 46 16 134 211 126 351 2009 497 219 $1,130$ 294 186 466 2010 303 173 532 321 214 481 2011 461 180 $1,180$ 371 232 595 2012 644 277 $1,496$ 398 247 640 2013 250 102 615 343 214 552 2014 233 104 524 336 215 523 2015 622 382 $1,011$ 391 270 568 2016 129 62 265 246 161 375 2017 253 136 470 228 149 347 2018 154 78 303 194 117 321	2000	2,091	1,443	3,031	1,836	1,358	2,482
2002 680 369 $1,254$ 784 529 $1,163$ 2003 702 428 $1,150$ 549 382 788 2004 107 53 214 279 180 432 2005 344 152 780 266 169 419 2006 166 81 339 225 143 354 2007 306 125 753 230 142 374 2008 46 16 134 211 126 351 2009 497 219 $1,130$ 294 186 466 2010 303 173 532 321 214 481 2011 461 180 $1,180$ 371 232 595 2012 644 277 $1,496$ 398 247 640 2013 250 102 615 343 214 552 2014 233 104 524 336 215 523 2015 622 382 $1,011$ 391 270 568 2016 129 62 265 246 161 375 2017 253 136 470 228 149 347 2018 154 78 303 194 117 321	2001	1,599	689	3,710	1,264	830	1,925
2003 702 428 $1,150$ 549 382 788 2004 107 53 214 279 180 432 2005 344 152 780 266 169 419 2006 166 81 339 225 143 354 2007 306 125 753 230 142 374 2008 46 16 134 211 126 351 2009 497 219 $1,130$ 294 186 466 2010 303 173 532 321 214 481 2011 461 180 $1,180$ 371 232 595 2012 644 277 $1,496$ 398 247 640 2013 250 102 615 343 214 552 2014 233 104 524 336 215 523 2015 622 382 $1,011$ 391 270 568 2016 129 62 265 246 161 375 2017 253 136 470 228 149 347 2018 154 78 303 194 117 321	2002	680	369	1,254	/84	529	1,163
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2003	/02	428	1,150	549	382	/88
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2004	107	53	214	219	180	432
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2005	344	152	/80	200	109	419
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2000	204	01 125	559 752	223	143	554 274
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2007	500 16	123	133	250	142	574 251
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2008	40	210	1 1 20	211	120	721 766
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2009	302	173	532	321	214	400 481
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2010	461	180	1 180	371	214	595
2012 211 217 1,100 336 247 640 2013 250 102 615 343 214 552 2014 233 104 524 336 215 523 2015 622 382 1,011 391 270 568 2016 129 62 265 246 161 375 2017 253 136 470 228 149 347 2018 154 78 303 194 117 321	2011	644	277	1 496	398	232 247	640
2014 233 104 524 336 215 523 2015 622 382 1,011 391 270 568 2016 129 62 265 246 161 375 2017 253 136 470 228 149 347 2018 154 78 303 194 117 321	2012	250	102	615	343	214	552
2011 200 101 021 030 210 032 2015 622 382 1,011 391 270 568 2016 129 62 265 246 161 375 2017 253 136 470 228 149 347 2018 154 78 303 194 117 321	2013	233	102	524	336	215	523
2016 129 62 265 246 161 375 2017 253 136 470 228 149 347 2018 154 78 303 194 117 321	2015	622	382	1 011	391	213	568
2017 253 136 470 228 149 347 2018 154 78 303 194 117 321	2016	129	62	265	246	161	375
2018 154 78 303 194 117 321	2017	253	136	205 470	2.28	149	347
	2017	154	78	303	194	117	321
2019 194 68 558	2019	-	-	-	194	68	558

Table 17: Smoothed mature male biomass (MMB) at the time of the survey for Pribilof Islands blue king crab using using the Random Effects Model.

Table 18: Estimates of mature male biomass (MMB) at the time of mating for Pribilof Islands blue king crab using: (1) the "raw" survey biomass time series and (2) the survey biomass time series smoothed using the Random Effects Model. Shaded rows signify averaging time period for $B_{MSY}/MSST$. The 2019/20 estimates are projected values (see Appendix C).

Veor	RE Model
year	MMB (t)
1975/76	23,164
1976/77	15,120
1977/78	16,374
1978/79	12,547
1979/80	9,441
1980/81	9,354
1981/82	6,404
1982/83	4,822
1983/84	3,638
1984/85	1,981
1985/86	990
1986/87	1,289
1987/88	1,436
1988/89	1,286
1989/90	1,441
1990/91	2,343
1991/92	3,428
1992/93	3,740
1993/94	3,884
1994/95	3,615
1995/96	3,856
1996/97	3,544
1997/98	2,773
1998/99	2,211
1999/00	1,779
2000/01	1,653
2001/02	1,138
2002/03	706
2003/04	494
2004/05	251
2005/06	239
2006/07	203
2007/08	207
2008/09	189
2009/10	265
2010/11	289
2011/12	334
2012/13	358
2013/14	309
2014/15	302
2015/16	352
2016/17	221
2017/18	205
2018/19	175
2019/20*	175

Figures







Figure 2: Map of the ADFG King Crab Registration Area Q (Bering Sea), showing (among others) the Pribilof District, which constitutes the stock boundary for PIBKC. The figure also indicates the additional 20nm strip (red dotted line) added in 2013 for calculating biomass and catch data in the Pribilof District.



Figure 3: Historical harvests and Guideline Harvest Levels (GHLs) for Pribilof Islands red and blue king crab (from Bowers et al., 2011).



Figure 4: The shaded area shows the Pribilof Islands Habitat Conservation Zone (PIHCZ). Trawl fishing is prohibited year-round in this zone (as of 1995), as is pot fishing for Pacific cod (as of 2015). Also shown is a portion of the NMFS annual EBS bottom trawl survey grid.



Figure 5: Time series of survey abundance for females (immature, mature, and total).



Figure 6: Time series of survey abundance for males in several categories (immature, mature, sublegal, legal and total).



Figure 7: Time series of survey abundance for females (immature, mature, and total).



Figure 8: Time series of survey biomass for males in several categories (immature, mature, sublegal, legal and total).



Figure 9: Size frequencies by shell condition for male Pribilof Island blue king crab in 5 mm length bins from recent NMFS EBS bottom trawl surveys.



Figure 10: Size frequencies from the annual NMSF bottom trawl survey for male Pribilof Islands blue king crab by 5 mm length bins. The top row shows the entire time series, the bottom shows the size compositions since 1995.



Figure 11: Size frequencies by shell condition for male Pribilof Island blue king crab in 5 mm length bins from recent NMFS EBS bottom trawl surveys.



Figure 12: Size frequencies from the annual NMSF bottom trawl survey for male Pribilof Islands blue king crab by 5 mm length bins. The top row shows the entire time series, the bottom shows the size compositions since 1995.



Figure 13: F_{OFL} Control Rule for Tier 4 stocks under Amendment 24 to the BSAI King and Tanner Crabs fishery management plan. Directed fishing mortality is set to 0 below β (= 0.25).

Appendix A: PIBKC Bycatch in the Groundfish Fisheries: 2009/10-2018/19

William Stockhausen

02 April, 2019

Contents

Introduction	1
Bycatch by gear type	2
Bycatch by target type	3
Spatial patterns of bycatch	4

List of Tables

1 I	Bycatch of PIBKC	in the groundfish	fisheries, by ge	ear type. Bio	omass is in kilograms.	2
-----	------------------	-------------------	------------------	---------------	------------------------	---

2 Bycatch of PIBKC in the groundfish fisheries by target type. Biomass is in kilograms. 3

List of Figures

1	Bycatch of PIBKC in the groundfish fisheries by gear type	2
2	Bycatch of PIBKC in the groundfish fisheries, by target type	3
3	Basemap for subsequent maps, with EBS bathymetry (blue lines), ADFG stat areas	
	(black rectangles), and the Pribilof Islands Habitat Conservation Area (orange outline).	4
4	(1 of 3). Bycatch of PIBKC, by ADFG stat area, in the fixed gear groundfish fisheries.	5
5	(2 of 3). Bycatch of PIBKC, by ADFG stat area, in the fixed gear groundfish fisheries.	6
6	(3 of 3). Bycatch of PIBKC, by ADFG stat area, in the fixed gear groundfish fisheries.	7
7	(1 of 3). Bycatch of PIBKC, by ADFG stat area, in the trawl gear groundfish fisheries.	8
8	(2 of 3). Bycatch of PIBKC, by ADFG stat area, in the trawl gear groundfish fisheries.	9
9	(3 of 3). Bycatch of PIBKC, by ADFG stat area, in the trawl gear groundfish fisheries.	10

Introduction

Bycatch of PIBKC in the groundfish fisheries during 2009/10-2018/19 was downloaded from AKFIN on April 1, 2019 as file ("~/StockAssessments-Crab/Data/Fishery.AKFIN/2018-19/ FromAKFIN.PIBKC.BycatchEstimates.

Bycatch by gear type

The bycatch of PIBKC by gear type (trawl or fixed) are presented in the following table. Catches using pelagic and non-pelagic trawl gear have been aggregated as "trawl" gear, while catches using hook-and-line (longline) and pot gear have been aggregated as "fixed" gear.

	fixed					trawl		
year	vessel count	haul count	biomass	number	vessel count	haul count	biomass	number
2009	4228	431820	216	87	2051	90347	207	193
2010	5415	609789	44	16	1858	38463	56	35
2011	4611	397979	112	54	1098	22300	7	8
2012	5024	502872	170	72	3785	69175	669	340
2013	8277	2172175	65	41	2247	35730	0	0
2014	8155	2026114	144	65	1899	58843	0	0
2015	7892	1470800	744	352	3198	68219	808	257
2016	5304	1094121	88	56	3280	53174	455	524
2017	3089	350289	0	0	2393	39520	397	278
2018	2748	422518	26	19	3327	62871	482	397

Table 1: Bycatch of PIBKC in the groundfish fisheries, by gear type. Biomass is in kilograms.



Figure 1: Bycatch of PIBKC in the groundfish fisheries by gear type.

Bycatch by target type

By catch of PIBKC in the groundfish fisheries is presented by groundfish target type in this section. Groundfish targets with less than 10 kg by catch over the 2009-2018 period have been dropped from the table and figure.

	Flathead Sole		Pacific Cod		Pollock - bottom		Rock Sole - BSAI		Yellowfin Sole - BSAI	
year	biomass	number	biomass	number	biomass	number	biomass	number	biomass	number
2009	71	54	216	87	7	20	0	0	129	119
2010	56	35	42	14	0	0	0	0	0	0
2011	0	0	119	62	0	0	0	0	0	0
2012	24	12	170	72	0	0	0	0	645	328
2013	0	0	64	41	0	0	0	0	0	0
2014	0	0	143	64	0	0	0	0	0	0
2015	147	58	742	351	0	0	0	0	661	199
2016	0	0	87	55	0	0	368	432	87	92
2017	240	101	0	0	0	0	0	0	157	177
2018	0	0	26	19	24	101	0	0	458	296

Table 2: Bycatch of PIBKC in the groundfish fisheries by target type. Biomass is in kilograms.



Figure 2: Bycatch of PIBKC in the groundfish fisheries, by target type.

Spatial patterns of bycatch

Spatial patterns of PIBKC by catch, by ADFG stat area, in the groundfish fisheries are illustrated by gear type in Figures 4-5. All plots are on the same scale.



Figure 3: Basemap for subsequent maps, with EBS bathymetry (blue lines), ADFG stat areas (black rectangles), and the Pribilof Islands Habitat Conservation Area (orange outline).



Figure 4: (1 of 3). By catch of PIBKC, by ADFG stat area, in the fixed gear ground fish fisheries.



Figure 5: (2 of 3). By catch of PIBKC, by ADFG stat area, in the fixed gear ground fish fisheries.



Figure 6: (3 of 3). Bycatch of PIBKC, by ADFG stat area, in the fixed gear groundfish fisheries.



Figure 7: (1 of 3). Bycatch of PIBKC, by ADFG stat area, in the trawl gear groundfish fisheries.



Figure 8: (2 of 3). Bycatch of PIBKC, by ADFG stat area, in the trawl gear groundfish fisheries.



Figure 9: (3 of 3). Bycatch of PIBKC, by ADFG stat area, in the trawl gear groundfish fisheries.

Appendix B: NMFS Survey Data for the PIBKC Assessment

William Stockhausen

02 April, 2019

Contents

Introduction	3
Annual survey abundance and biomass	3
Size compositions By sex	15 . 15 . 17
Spatial patterns	20

List of Tables

1	Size groupings for various components of the PIBKC stock used in this report	3
2	Sample sizes (number of survey hauls, number hauls where crab were caught, number	
	of crab caught) for the NMFS EBS trawl survey in the Pribilof District each year,	
	for female population components.	8
3	Sample sizes (number of survey hauls, number hauls where crab were caught, number	
	of crab caught) for the NMFS EBS trawl survey in the Pribilof District each year,	
	for male population components.	9
4	Estimated annual abundance of female PIBKC population components from the	
	NMFS EBS trawl survey.	11
5	Estimated annual abundance of male PIBKC population components from the NMFS	
	EBS trawl survey.	12
6	Estimated annual abundance of female PIBKC population components from the	
	NMFS EBS trawl survey.	13
7	Estimated annual abundance of male PIBKC population components from the NMFS	
	EBS trawl survey.	14

List of Figures

1	Map of the Pribilof District, which defines the stock area for the Pribilof Islands blue	
	king crab stock. The grid indicates the locations of NMFS EBS survey stations	3
2	NMFS survey abundance time series for female PIBKC. Upper plot is entire time	
	series, lower plot since 2001	4
3	NMFS survey abundance time series for male PIBKC. Upper plot is entire time series,	
	lower plot since 2001	5
4	NMFS survey biomass time series for female PIBKC. Upper plot is entire time series,	C
----	---	----
-	lower plot since 2001	0
5	NMFS survey biomass time series for male PIBKC. Upper plot is entire time series, lower plot since 2001	7
6	Annual size compositions for PIBKC in the NMFS EBS trawl survey, by sex, over	
	the entire survey period	16
7	Annual size compositions for PIBKC in the NMFS EBS trawl survey, by sex, since	
	2001	17
8	Annual size compositions for PIBKC in the NMFS EBS trawl survey, by sex and	
	shell condition, for entire survey period	18
9	Annual size compositions for PIBKC in the NMFS EBS trawl survey, by sex and	
	shell condition, since 2000	19
10	Basemap for future maps, with EBS bathymetry (blue lines), NMFS EBS trawl	
	survey station grid (black) lines, and the Pribilof Islands Habitat Conservation Area	
	(orange outline).	20
11	Survey CPUE (biomass) for females PIBKC. Page 1 of 6	21
12	Survey CPUE (biomass) for females PIBKC. Page 2 of 6	22
13	Survey CPUE (biomass) for females PIBKC. Page 3 of 6	23
14	Survey CPUE (biomass) for females PIBKC. Page 4 of 6	24
15	Survey CPUE (biomass) for females PIBKC. Page 5 of 6	25
16	Survey CPUE (biomass) for females PIBKC. Page 6 of 6	26
17	Survey CPUE (biomass) for males PIBKC. Page 1 of 6	27
18	Survey CPUE (biomass) for males PIBKC. Page 2 of 6	28
19	Survey CPUE (biomass) for males PIBKC. Page 3 of 6	29
20	Survey CPUE (biomass) for males PIBKC. Page 4 of 6	30
21	Survey CPUE (biomass) for males PIBKC. Page 5 of 6	31
22	Survey CPUE (biomass) for males PIBKC. Page 6 of 6	32

Introduction

This report presents results from time series of aggregate abundance, biomass and size compositions from the annual NMFS EBS bottom trawl survey for Pribilof Islands blue king crab (PIBKC), i.e. blue king crab in the Pribilof District of the eastern Bering Sea (Figure 1), based on haul data and survey strata files downloaded from AKFIN on April 1, 2019.



Figure 1: Map of the Pribilof District, which defines the stock area for the Pribilof Islands blue king crab stock. The grid indicates the locations of NMFS EBS survey stations.

Aggregate (abundance, biomass) time series were calculated for different components of the PIBKC stock, including immature and mature females and immature, mature, sublegal, and legal male crab based of the following size-based criteria:

Table 1: Size groupings for various components of the PIBKC stock used in this report.

sex	size.range	category
female	< 100 mm CL	immature female
male	$< 120~{\rm mm}~{\rm CL}$	immature male
female	> 99 mm CL	mature female
male	> 119 mm CL	mature male
male	$<135~\mathrm{mm}~\mathrm{CL}$	sublegal male
male	> 134 mm CL	legal male
female	all	all females
male	all	all males

Annual survey abundance and biomass

Annual survey abundance and biomass for PIBKC were calculated from the survey haul data as if the survey were conducted using a random-stratified sampling design (it uses a fixed grid).



The following plots illustrate time series trends in Tanner crab survey abundance and biomass by sex and area.

Figure 2: NMFS survey abundance time series for female PIBKC. Upper plot is entire time series, lower plot since 2001.



Figure 3: NMFS survey abundance time series for male PIBKC. Upper plot is entire time series, lower plot since 2001.



Figure 4: NMFS survey biomass time series for female PIBKC. Upper plot is entire time series, lower plot since 2001.



Figure 5: NMFS survey biomass time series for male PIBKC. Upper plot is entire time series, lower plot since 2001.

The following two tables document the annual sampling effort (the number of survey hauls, the number of survey hauls with non-zero catch, and the number of crab caught) by the NMFS bottom trawl survey in the Pribilof District by PIBKC population category.

Lable 2. Sample	DI200 (1	inamper of	bur veg i	iaais, iiaii	noor maa	in which o	orab w	ore caug	,, main
crab caught) for	the NM	MFS EBS	trawl su	evey in the	e Pribilo	f Distric	t each y	rear, for	female
population comp	onents								
		survey	immatur	e females	mature	females	all fen	nales	
		number	non-0	no.	non-0	no.	non-0	no.	
	year	of hauls	hauls	crab	hauls	crab	hauls	crab	
	1075	45	6	79	7	102	0	265	

Table 2: Sample sizes (number of survey hauls, number hauls where crab were caught, number of C р

$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	b5
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	5
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	5
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	3
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	5
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	3
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	3
1983 86 8 23 16 280 18 30 1984 86 7 27 14 142 15 16	9
1984 86 7 27 14 142 15 16	3
	9
1985 86 7 15 8 28 12 4	3
1986 86 2 2 8 106 10 10	3
1987 86 5 23 7 35 11 5	3
1988 85 6 41 7 17 9 5	3
1989 86 8 144 9 27 13 17	1
1990 86 7 88 9 77 10 16	5
1991 85 10 57 12 105 15 16	2
1992 86 6 83 9 59 11 14	2
1993 85 8 46 13 88 15 13	1
1994 86 6 25 12 254 13 27)
1995 86 5 43 11 215 12 25	3
1996 86 6 13 10 213 12 22	3
1997 86 4 17 11 137 13 15	1
1998 85 9 44 11 92 15 13	3
$1999 86 \qquad 3 \qquad 10 \qquad 10 \qquad 145 \qquad 10 \qquad 15$	5
2000 85 2 2 13 72 13 7	1
2001 86 1 1 9 93 10 9	1
2002 86 1 1 6 66 7 6	7
2003 86 4 4 7 69 9 7	3
2004 85 2 4 4 5 5)
2005 84 1 43 5 15 6 5	3
2006 86 4 6 3 22 6 2	3
2007 86 2 6 3 10 5 1	3
2008 86 3 16 4 27 6 4	3
2009 86 3 5 3 33 4 3	3
2010 86 5 9 4 15 7 2	1
2011 86 2 2 1 1 3	3
2012 86 2 11 5 5 6 1	3
2013 86 3 4 2 6 5 1)
2014 86 1 1 3 4 4	5
2015 86 2 2 4 9 4 1	1
2016 86 5 7 7 17 8 2	1
2017 86 3 7 4 8 6 1	5
2018 86 3 4 1 3 4	7

Table 3: Sample sizes (number of survey hauls, number hauls where crab were caught, number of crab caught) for the NMFS EBS trawl survey in the Pribilof District each year, for male population components.

	survey	immatu	re males	mature	males	sublega	l males	legal 1	nales	all m	ales
	number	non-0	no.	non-0	no.	non-0	no.	non-0	no.	non-0	no.
year	of hauls	hauls	crab	hauls	crab	hauls	crab	hauls	crab	hauls	crab
1975	45	11	305	13	553	11	530	13	328	13	858
1976	59	3	105	11	91	9	122	10	74	12	196
1977	58	7	56	10	129	9	73	9	112	10	185
1978	58	8	60	11	130	10	112	10	78	12	190
1979	58	2	2	14	90	8	25	13	67	14	92
1980	70	10	41	21	133	12	64	21	110	21	174
1981	84	19	99	36	184	23	128	36	155	38	283
1982	84	19	70	35	114	21	84	31	100	38	184
1983	86	15	47	32	93	18	74	29	66	35	140
1984	86	10	27	20	37	17	37	16	27	25	64
1985	86	3	4	14	24	8	13	11	15	14	28
1986	86	1	1	13	26	2	2	13	25	13	27
1987	86	5	34	15	50	6	38	14	46	16	84
1988	85	5	52	5	12	5	52	5	12	9	64
1989	86	8	160	4	11	8	160	4	11	10	171
1990	86	8	90	10	59	11	126	7	23	14	149
1991	85	16	92	19	103	20	129	14	66	22	195
1992	86	12	89	14	73	13	119	12	43	17	162
1993	85	12	75	19	96	15	115	17	56	21	171
1994	86	8	32	18	68	12	51	18	49	19	100
1995	86	7	66	18	177	15	118	14	125	19	243
1996	86	7	32	19	87	11	54	19	65	20	119
1997	86	7	25	17	65	10	39	16	51	19	90
1998	85	12	56	20	56	15	66	17	46	21	112
1999	86	7	9	13	34	9	18	11	25	15	43
2000	85	4	9	16	40	9	20	13	29	16	49
2001	86	3	5	6	28	4	9	5	24	7	33
2002	86	0	0	6	12	1	1	6	11	6	12
2003	86	2	2	7	14	3	3	7	13	9	16
2004	85	3	5	3	3	5	7	1	1	6	8
2005	84	3	54	2	5	3	54	2	5	4	59
2006	86	4	7	3	3	4	8	2	2	6	10
2007	86	4	14	2	6	4	17	2	3	4	20
2008	86	2	13	1	1	2	13	1	1	3	14
2009	86	5	16	3	15	5	27	3	4	5	31
2010	86	2	6	5	8	3	10	4	4	5	14
2011	86	0	0	3	9	2	2	2	7	3	9
2012	86	1	9	4	13	1	14	4	8	4	22
2013	86	1	3	2	6	2	5	2	4	3	9
2014	86	3	5	2	5	3	5	2	5	4	10
2015	86	2	4	8	13	6	10	5	7	9	17
2016	86	4	5	3	3	5	7	1	1	5	8
2017	86	2	4	4	4	3	5	3	3	5	8
2018	86	4	6	3	3	4	6	3	3	5	9

The following two tables document the estimated annual PIBKC abundance and associated uncertainty (as the coefficient of variation) in the NMFS bottom trawl survey by PIBKC populaton category. The estimated abundance and uncertainity for each category is calculated using a swept-area approach as if the EBS trawl survey were conducted using a stratified-random sampling design, rather than as a grid-based design. While re-calculated from the "raw" survey data using a completely independent approach, the estimates are the same (to 4 or 5 decimal places) as those provided in the annual survey Technical Memoranda.

	immature f	emales	mature fer	nales	all females		
	abundance	cv	abundance	abundance cv		cv	
year	millions		millions		millions		
1975	2.127	0.740	11.020	0.687	13.148	0.608	
1976	5.001	0.956	3.138	0.838	8.139	0.910	
1977	4.064	0.786	10.667	0.890	14.732	0.857	
1978	0.494	0.603	5.493	0.684	5.987	0.656	
1979	0.178	0.604	1.133	0.838	1.311	0.767	
1980	1.498	0.477	182.186	0.981	183.684	0.976	
1981	1.176	0.296	5.084	0.482	6.260	0.423	
1982	1.162	0.415	7.551	0.671	8.713	0.626	
1983	0.691	0.673	9.080	0.771	9.772	0.763	
1984	0.522	0.467	2.713	0.382	3.235	0.366	
1985	0.260	0.541	0.486	0.437	0.746	0.360	
1986	0.037	0.698	2.102	0.898	2.139	0.882	
1987	0.420	0.754	0.652	0.599	1.072	0.478	
1988	0.972	0.804	0.391	0.471	1.363	0.642	
1989	2.991	0.669	0.787	0.533	3.778	0.576	
1990	2.502	0.775	1.721	0.474	4.223	0.555	
1991	1.343	0.455	2.230	0.389	3.573	0.353	
1992	2.277	0.758	1.670	0.459	3.947	0.521	
1993	0.911	0.567	1.752	0.441	2.663	0.378	
1994	0.503	0.681	4.689	0.448	5.192	0.437	
1995	0.751	0.808	3.946	0.521	4.697	0.491	
1996	0.289	0.460	5.033	0.486	5.322	0.463	
1997	0.320	0.669	2.614	0.423	2.935	0.388	
1998	0.747	0.428	1.583	0.473	2.330	0.365	
1999	0.172	0.789	2.584	0.477	2.756	0.490	
2000	0.035	0.698	1.328	0.465	1.363	0.463	
2001	0.019	1.000	1.697	0.753	1.716	0.745	
2002	0.019	1.000	1.222	0.794	1.241	0.782	
2003	0.067	0.483	1.120	0.764	1.188	0.721	
2004	0.081	0.740	0.087	0.517	0.168	0.510	
2005	2.268	1.000	0.289	0.505	2.557	0.880	
2006	0.113	0.548	0.430	0.700	0.543	0.617	
2007	0.104	0.842	0.184	0.813	0.288	0.592	
2008	0.287	0.881	0.492	0.088	0.779	0.748	
2009	0.080	0.585	0.343	0.811	0.629	0.755	
2010	0.100	0.558	0.249	0.091	0.415	0.022	
2011 2012	0.037	0.098	0.018	1.000	0.000 0.247	0.003	
2012	0.201	0.873	0.090	0.420	0.347	0.090	
2013 2014	0.009	1.000	0.107	0.840	0.190	0.554	
2014	0.020	0.600	0.074	0.004 0.671	0.102	0.507	
2013 2016	0.055	0.099	0.107	0.071	0.202	0.000	
2010	0.132	0.504	0.525	0.519	0.404	0.504	
2017	0.100	0.740	0.102	1 000	0.330	0.555	
2010	0.070	0.000	0.000	1.000	0.104	0.001	

Table 4: Estimated annual abundance of female PIBKC population components from the NMFS EBS trawl survey.

	immature	males	mature males		sublegal males		legal males		all males	
	abundance	cv	abundance	cv	abundance	cv	abundance	cv	abundance	cv
year	millions		millions		millions		millions		millions	
1975	8.476	0.567	15.288	0.502	14.712	0.479	9.051	0.501	23.764	0.466
1976	4.960	0.954	4.782	0.445	5.729	0.882	4.012	0.471	9.742	0.589
1977	4.216	0.457	13.044	0.743	5.491	0.440	11.769	0.771	17.260	0.625
1978	2.421	0.502	6.141	0.496	4.639	0.419	3.923	0.616	8.562	0.428
1979	0.079	0.704	4.108	0.326	1.170	0.449	3.017	0.310	4.187	0.324
1980	2.733	0.466	7.842	0.408	4.331	0.458	6.244	0.420	10.575	0.400
1981	2.099	0.324	3.834	0.180	2.688	0.317	3.246	0.177	5.934	0.207
1982	1.371	0.281	2.354	0.181	1.654	0.255	2.071	0.188	3.725	0.172
1983	1.031	0.357	1.851	0.186	1.561	0.309	1.321	0.170	2.882	0.220
1984	0.518	0.397	0.771	0.225	0.730	0.290	0.558	0.247	1.288	0.212
1985	0.068	0.598	0.428	0.281	0.226	0.340	0.270	0.294	0.496	0.269
1986	0.019	1.000	0.480	0.305	0.039	0.698	0.460	0.313	0.499	0.298
1987	0.622	0.834	0.903	0.414	0.695	0.748	0.830	0.416	1.525	0.434
1988	1.238	0.842	0.238	0.509	1.238	0.842	0.238	0.509	1.476	0.708
1989	3.515	0.588	0.240	0.624	3.515	0.588	0.240	0.624	3.755	0.585
1990	2.450	0.596	1.470	0.626	3.349	0.596	0.572	0.538	3.920	0.578
1991	1.920	0.373	2.014	0.363	2.697	0.332	1.238	0.444	3.935	0.343
1992	2.436	0.588	1.935	0.420	3.217	0.520	1.154	0.453	4.371	0.475
1993	1.484	0.520	1.876	0.310	2.245	0.432	1.114	0.300	3.359	0.339
1994	0.639	0.374	1.294	0.341	0.998	0.343	0.935	0.345	1.933	0.332
1995	1.147	0.889	3.102	0.600	2.062	0.744	2.186	0.615	4.249	0.675
1996	0.719	0.625	1.712	0.281	1.162	0.547	1.269	0.263	2.431	0.334
1997	0.467	0.525	1.201	0.294	0.736	0.464	0.933	0.284	1.669	0.342
1998	0.949	0.458	0.967	0.246	1.119	0.414	0.797	0.253	1.917	0.309
1999	0.160	0.373	0.617	0.334	0.324	0.388	0.453	0.345	0.777	0.327
2000	0.164	0.563	0.725	0.296	0.361	0.385	0.528	0.297	0.889	0.312
2001	0.093	0.645	0.522	0.710	0.169	0.595	0.446	0.744	0.615	0.690
2002	0.000	0.000	0.225	0.473	0.018	1.000	0.207	0.495	0.225	0.473
2003	0.045	0.717	0.229	0.389	0.061	0.589	0.214	0.402	0.274	0.341
2004	0.088	0.590	0.048	0.563	0.120	0.460	0.016	1.000	0.136	0.417
2005	1.981	0.964	0.092	0.712	1.981	0.964	0.092	0.712	2.073	0.921
2006	0.138	0.495	0.056	0.564	0.155	0.503	0.038	0.699	0.194	0.419
2007	0.246	0.717	0.110	0.854	0.302	0.044	0.054	0.745	0.356	0.639
2008	0.234	0.928	0.018	1.000	0.234	0.928	0.018	1.000	0.252	0.862
2009	0.268	0.031	0.249	0.732	0.448	0.697	0.068	0.588	0.516	0.070
2010	0.101	0.841	0.130	0.486	0.167	0.728	0.065	0.482	0.232	0.608
2011	0.000	0.000	0.100	0.792	0.036	0.698	0.129	0.808	0.166	0.792
2012	0.190	1.000	0.272	0.191	0.303	1.000	0.104	0.078	0.407	0.879
2013	0.070	1.000	0.104	0.802	0.112	0.745	0.009	0.804	0.181	0.044
2014 2015	0.091	0.391	0.092	0.710	0.091	0.591	0.092	0.710	0.183	0.000
2010 2016	0.070	0.700	0.234	0.307	U.180 0 191	0.020	0.120	0.440	0.309	0.408
2010 2017	0.094	0.317 0.772	0.000	0.003	0.131	0.408 0.627	0.019	1.000	0.150	0.488
2017	0.008	0.773	0.091	0.003	0.087	0.037	0.072	0.089	0.109	0.400 0.501
2018	0.110	0.372	0.056	0.363	0.110	0.572	0.056	0.963	0.100	0.521

Table 5: Estimated annual abundance of male PIBKC population components from the NMFS EBS trawl survey.

	immature females		mature fe	emales	all females		
	biomass	cv	biomass	cv	biomass	cv	
vear	1000's t		1000's t		1000's t		
1975	1.270	0.730	11.172	0.691	12.442	0.636	
1976	3.178	0.963	2.613	0.807	5.792	0.891	
1977	2.313	0.784	11.259	0.896	13.572	0.874	
1978	0.321	0.611	6.171	0.738	6.492	0.717	
1979	0.108	0.634	1.081	0.805	1.189	0.760	
1980	0.728	0.446	211.575	0.986	212.303	0.983	
1981	0.687	0.297	5.797	0.496	6.484	0.458	
1982	0.613	0.406	8.764	0.694	9.377	0.669	
1983	0.384	0.722	9.864	0.784	10.248	0.781	
1984	0.054	0.698	3.031	0.382	3.085	0.380	
1985	0.005	0.457	0.520	0.448	0.525	0.445	
1986	0.011	0.727	2.420	0.901	2.431	0.896	
1987	0.128	0.866	0.785	0.590	0.913	0.526	
1988	0.240	0.645	0.478	0.490	0.718	0.473	
1989	1.032	0.601	0.714	0.470	1.746	0.497	
1990	1.314	0.764	1.615	0.454	2.929	0.491	
1991	0.659	0.493	2.117	0.397	2.776	0.376	
1992	1.106	0.740	1.543	0.463	2.649	0.463	
1993	0.455	0.573	1.636	0.457	2.092	0.399	
1994	0.320	0.703	4.573	0.454	4.893	0.443	
1995	0.386	0.764	3.893	0.518	4.279	0.496	
1996	0.166	0.486	5.418	0.504	5.585	0.491	
1997	0.189	0.670	2.839	0.429	3.028	0.407	
1998	0.420	0.431	1.761	0.460	2.182	0.392	
1999	0.113	0.797	2.755	0.459	2.868	0.467	
2000	0.023	0.699	1.439	0.462	1.462	0.460	
2001	0.000	1.000	1.816	0.722	1.817	0.722	
2002	0.000	1.000	1.401	0.776	1.401	0.775	
2003	0.021	0.667	1.286	0.745	1.307	0.734	
2004	0.005	0.711	0.118	0.516	0.123	0.504	
2005	0.477	1.000	0.370	0.570	0.847	0.606	
2006	0.038	0.602	0.538	0.760	0.576	0.712	
2007	0.045	0.995	0.237	0.826	0.282	0.707	
2008	0.178	0.882	0.493	0.659	0.672	0.705	
2009	0.030	0.576	0.595	0.840	0.625	0.818	
2010	0.083	0.575	0.311	0.660	0.394	0.634	
2011	0.015	0.836	0.022	1.000	0.037	0.674	
2012	0.131	0.936	0.106	0.436	0.237	0.637	
2013	0.035	0.657	0.131	0.816	0.166	0.654	
2014	0.016	1.000	0.091	0.605	0.108	0.529	
2015	0.020	0.708	0.139	0.687	0.160	0.662	
2016	0.073	0.468	0.331	0.496	0.405	0.478	
2017	0.108	0.811	0.153	0.558	0.262	0.533	
2018	0.045	0.575	0.076	1.000	0.121	0.654	

Table 6: Estimated annual abundance of female PIBKC population components from the NMFS EBS trawl survey.

	immature	e males	mature	males	sublegal	males	legal n	nales	all males	
	biomass	cv	biomass	cv	biomass	cv	biomass	cv	biomass	cv
year	1000's t		1000's t		1000's t		1000's t		1000's t	
1975	8.341	0.525	38.054	0.501	19.378	0.466	27.016	0.499	46.395	0.475
1976	4.129	0.944	14.059	0.451	5.539	0.811	12.649	0.468	18.188	0.452
1977	3.713	0.443	42.618	0.768	5.966	0.463	40.366	0.784	46.332	0.729
1978	2.765	0.509	17.370	0.558	6.618	0.412	13.517	0.642	20.135	0.506
1979	0.061	0.785	10.959	0.315	1.981	0.452	9.040	0.311	11.021	0.315
1980	2.084	0.492	23.553	0.430	4.958	0.464	20.679	0.446	25.637	0.417
1981	1.704	0.299	11.628	0.174	2.779	0.297	10.554	0.175	13.332	0.175
1982	1.152	0.232	7.389	0.187	1.647	0.217	6.893	0.192	8.541	0.175
1983	0.962	0.357	5.409	0.178	1.897	0.297	4.474	0.175	6.371	0.187
1984	0.130	0.362	2.216	0.229	0.521	0.268	1.824	0.247	2.345	0.222
1985	0.039	0.733	1.055	0.267	0.338	0.374	0.755	0.283	1.094	0.263
1986	0.004	1.000	1.505	0.303	0.035	0.897	1.473	0.307	1.508	0.302
1987	0.191	0.783	2.923	0.411	0.334	0.536	2.781	0.414	3.115	0.397
1988	0.170	0.707	0.842	0.529	0.170	0.707	0.842	0.529	1.012	0.457
1989	1.275	0.620	0.827	0.637	1.275	0.620	0.827	0.637	2.102	0.551
1990	2.004	0.661	3.078	0.600	3.567	0.665	1.514	0.515	5.082	0.610
1991	1.377	0.386	4.690	0.386	2.741	0.336	3.326	0.450	6.067	0.373
1992	1.801	0.512	4.391	0.423	3.157	0.446	3.035	0.446	6.192	0.432
1993	1.088	0.545	4.556	0.307	2.442	0.409	3.203	0.301	5.644	0.305
1994	0.619	0.388	3.410	0.345	1.224	0.350	2.806	0.351	4.029	0.343
1995	0.968	0.863	8.360	0.604	2.541	0.673	6.787	0.615	9.328	0.629
1996	0.745	0.605	4.641	0.269	1.512	0.524	3.873	0.265	5.386	0.279
1997	0.381	0.545	3.233	0.276	0.849	0.451	2.765	0.271	3.614	0.294
1998	0.692	0.413	2.798	0.249	0.980	0.354	2.510	0.255	3.490	0.252
1999	0.161	0.402	1.729	0.337	0.464	0.414	1.426	0.347	1.890	0.333
2000	0.113	0.679	2.091	0.296	0.459	0.373	1.746	0.305	2.205	0.304
2001	0.087	0.764	1.599	0.735	0.225	0.628	1.461	0.759	1.686	0.733
2002	0.000	0.000	0.680	0.506	0.033	1.000	0.647	0.525	0.680	0.506
2003	0.019	0.984	0.702	0.400	0.050	0.723	0.671	0.411	0.721	0.390
2004	0.036	0.649	0.107	0.583	0.094	0.487	0.048	1.000	0.143	0.455
2005	0.326	0.942	0.344	0.710	0.326	0.942	0.344	0.710	0.670	0.589
2006	0.087	0.585	0.166	0.603	0.114	0.616	0.139	0.699	0.253	0.462
2007	0.197	0.737	0.306	0.798	0.298	0.632	0.206	0.734	0.503	0.661
2008	0.212	0.952	0.046	1.000	0.212	0.952	0.046	1.000	0.258	0.797
2009	0.254	0.680	0.497	0.713	0.565	0.740	0.187	0.604	0.751	0.698
2010	0.092	0.853	0.303	0.461	0.205	0.702	0.190	0.483	0.395	0.522
2011	0.000	0.000	0.461	0.843	0.062	0.705	0.399	0.886	0.461	0.843
2012	0.165	1.000	0.644	0.735	0.350	1.000	0.459	0.643	0.809	0.786
2013	0.015	1.000	0.250	0.797	0.075	0.824	0.190	0.752	0.265	0.754
2014	0.083	0.623	0.233	0.699	0.083	0.623	0.233	0.699	0.317	0.567
2015	0.082	0.747	0.622	0.394	0.275	0.494	0.428	0.458	0.703	0.395
2016	0.071	0.486	0.130	0.613	0.133	0.495	0.068	1.000	0.201	0.515
2017	0.046	0.767	0.255	0.514	0.076	0.599	0.224	0.573	0.300	0.470
2018	0.096	0.540	0.154	0.571	0.096	0.540	0.154	0.571	0.249	0.522

Table 7: Estimated annual abundance of male PIBKC population components from the NMFS EBS trawl survey.

Size compositions

Annual size compositions for PIBKC in the NMFS EBS trawl survey were calculated by sex, shell condition, and 5mm size (carapace width) bin, accumulating individuals > 200 mm CL in the last size bin (195-200 mm CL). There is no need here to distinguish among the population components used above to present abundance and biomass trends (e.g., immature females) in the following size compositions because those components were based on size ranges that can be extracted from the size compositions.

By sex

Size compositions for PIBKC from the NMFS EBS trawl survey are presented here by sex for the entire survey time period (1975-present) and for 2001-present.



Figure 6: Annual size compositions for PIBKC in the NMFS EBS trawl survey, by sex, over the entire survey period.



Figure 7: Annual size compositions for PIBKC in the NMFS EBS trawl survey, by sex, since 2001.

By sex and shell condition

Size compositions for PIBKC from the NMFS EBS trawl survey are presented here by sex for the entire survey time period (1975-present) and for 2001-present.



Figure 8: Annual size compositions for PIBKC in the NMFS EBS trawl survey, by sex and shell condition, for entire survey period.



Figure 9: Annual size compositions for PIBKC in the NMFS EBS trawl survey, by sex and shell condition, since 2000.

Spatial patterns

Spatial patterns of sex-specific CPUE in the survey are shown in this section. The basemap common to all subsequent maps is shown in the following figure:



Figure 10: Basemap for future maps, with EBS bathymetry (blue lines), NMFS EBS trawl survey station grid (black) lines, and the Pribilof Islands Habitat Conservation Area (orange outline).

In subsequent plots, bottom temperature at the time of the survey will also be shown as a background "color"heatmap" whereas the estimated CPUE at eaCH station will be shown as a circle whose area is scaled to the estimate.

Appendix C: PIBKC 2019 Status Determination

William Stockhausen

05 April, 2019

Contents	
Introduction	2
Status Determination and OFL calculations	2
MMB-at-mating	3
Data	4
Survey smoothing	9
Smoothing results	10
Status determination Overfishing status Overfished status	12 12 12
Tables Fishery data	15 15 16
References	21

List of Tables

1	Estimated $B_{MSY_{proxy}}$ and current MMB at the time of the survey using the RE-	
	smoothed survey data.	13
2	Estimated value for the θ coefficient.	13
3	More results from the OFL determination	14
4	Annual retained catch biomass and bycatch (not mortality; in t), as available, in the	
	directed fishery, the other crab fisheries, and the groundfish fisheries	15
5	Input ('raw') male survey abundance data (numbers of crab).	16
6	Input ('raw') male survey biomass data, in t	17
7	Input ('raw') female survey abundance data (numbers of crab).	18
8	Input ('raw') female survey biomass data, in t.	19
9	A comparison of estimates for MMB (in t) at the time of the survey. Note that, for	
	the assessment year, the survey has not yet been conducted so the 'raw' value is	
	unavailable and the smoothed value is a 1-step ahead prediction.	20

List of Figures

1	Time series of retained PIBKC catch in the directed fishery	5
2	Time series of retained PIBKC catch in the directed fishery (recent time period)	5
3	Time series of PIBKC bycatch in the crab and groundfish fisheries.	6
4	Time series of PIBKC bycatch in the crab and groundfish fisheries (recent time period).	6
5	Time series of NMFS EBS bottom trawl survey biomass for PIBKC. Confidence	
	intervals shown are 80% CI's, assuming lognormal error distributions.	7
6	Time series of NMFS EBS bottom trawl survey biomass for PIBKC (recent time pe-	
	riod). Confidence intervals shown are 80% CI's, assuming lognormal error distributions.	8
7	Log10-scale time series for the NMFS EBS bottom trawl survey biomass for PIBKC.	
	Confidence intervals shown are 80% CI's, assuming lognormal error distributions	9
8	Arithmetic-scale raw and smoothed survey MMB time series. Confidence intervals	
	shown are 80% CIs, assuming lognormal error distributions. The final smoothed	
	value is a 1-step prediction.	11
9	Arithmetic-scale raw and smoothed survey MMB time series, since 2000. Confidence	
	intervals shown are 80% CIs, assuming lognormal error distributions. The final	
	smoothed value is a 1-step prediction.	11
10	Log-scale raw and smoothed survey MMB time series. Confidence intervals shown	
	are 80% CIs, assuming lognormal error distributions. The final smoothed value is a	
	1-step prediction	12
11	Estimated time series for MMB using the RE method at the time of the survey (the	
	random effects time series), at the time of the fishery, and at the time of mating. The	
	value for MMB at the time of the survey in the assessment year is a 1-step ahead	
	prediction because the survey has not yet been conducted while values for MMB at	
	the time of the fishery and the time of mating are unavailable (a predicted value	
	for MMB-at-mating in the assessment year will be determined as part of the OFL	
	calculation)	13

Introduction

This is an appendix to the 2019 stock assessment chapter for the Pribilof Islands blue king crab stock (PIBKC). It presents results for status determination (is overfishing occurring?, is the stock overfished?) for the current year using the "rPIBKC" R package developed by the assessment author. The rPIBKC package (source code and R package) is available under version control at https://github.com/wStockhausen/rPIBKC.git.

Status Determination and OFL calculations

For all crab stocks managed by the NPFMC, overfishing is evaluated by comparing the previous year's catch mortality (retained + discard mortality) to the previous year's OFL: if the former is greater than the latter, then overfishing is occurring. Overfished status is assessed with respect to MSST, the Minimum Stock Size Threshold. If stock biomass drops below the MSST, the stock is considered to be overfished. For crab stocks, MSST is one-half B_{MSY} , where B_{MSY} is the longterm spawning stock biomass when the stock is fished at maximum sustainable yield (MSY). Thus,

the stock is overfished if $B/B_{MSY} < 0.5$, where B is the "current" spawning stock biomass. In general, the overfishing limit (OFL) for the subsequent year is based on B/B_{MSY} and an " F_{OFL} " harvest control rule, where F_{OFL} is the fishing mortality rate that yields the OFL. Furthermore, if $B/B_{MSY} < \beta (= 0.25)$, directed fishing on the stock is prohibited. For PIBKC, the OFL is based on average historic catch mortality over a specified time period (a Tier 5 approach) and is consequently fixed at 1.16 t.

PIBKC falls into Tier 4 for status determination. For Tier 4 stocks, it is not possible to determine B_{MSY} and MSST directly. Instead, average mature male biomass (MMB) at the time of mating ("MMB at mating"") is used as a proxy for B_{MSY} , where the averaging is over some time period assumed to be representative of the stock being fished at an average rate near F_{MSY} and is thus fluctuating around B_{MSY} . For PIBKC, the NPFMC's Science and Statistical Committee (SSC) has endorsed using the disjoint time periods [1980-84, 1990-97] to calculate $B_{MSY_{proxy}}$ to avoid time periods of low abundance possibly caused by high fishing pressure. Alternative time periods (e.g., 1975 to 1979) have also been considered but rejected. Once $B_{MSY_{proxy}}$ has been calculated, overfished status is then determined by the ratio $B/B_{MSY_{proxy}}$: the stock is overfished if the ratio is less than 0.5, where B is taken as "current" MMB-at-mating.

MMB-at-mating

MMB-at-mating (MMB_m) is calculated from MMB at the time of the annual NMFS EBS bottom trawl survey (MMB_s) by accounting for natural and fishing mortality from the time of the survey to mating. MMB at the time of the survey in year y is calculated from survey data using:

$$MMB_{s_y} = \sum_z w_z \cdot P_z \cdot n_{z,y}$$

where w_z is male weight at size $z \pmod{\text{CL}}$, P_z is the probability of maturity at size z, and $n_{z,y}$ is survey-estimated male abundance at size z in year y.

For a year y prior to the assessment year, MMB_{m_y} is given by

1.
$$MMB_{f_y} = MMB_{s_y} \cdot e^{-M \cdot t_{sf}}$$

2. $MMB_{m_y} = \left[MMB_{f_y} - RM_y - DM_y \right] \cdot e^{-M \cdot t_{fm}}$

where MMB_{f_y} is the MMB in year y just prior to the fishery, M is natural mortality, RM_y is retained mortality on MMB in the directed fishery in year y, DM_y is discard mortality on MMB (**not** on all crab) in all fisheries in year y, t_{sf} is the time between the survey and the fishery, and t_{fm} is the time between the fishery and mating.

For the assessment year, the fishery has not yet occurred so RM and DM are unknown. The amount of fishing mortality presumably depends on the (as yet-to-be-determined) overfishing limit, so an iterative procedure is used to estimate MMB-at-mating for the fishery year. This procedure involves:

- 1. "guess" a value for F_{OFL} , the directed fishing mortality rate that yields OFL ($F_{OFL_{max}} = \gamma \cdot M$ is used)
- 2. determine the OFL corresponding to fishing at F_{OFL} using the following equations:
 - $MMB_f = MMB_s \cdot e^{-M \cdot t_{sf}}$

•
$$RM_{OFL} = \left(1 - e^{-F_{OFL}}\right) \cdot MMB_s \cdot e^{-M \cdot t_{sf}}$$

•
$$DM_{OFL} = \theta \cdot \frac{MMB_f}{n_{max}}$$

- $OFL = RM_{OFL} + DM_{OFL}$
- 3. project MMB-at-mating from the "current" survey MMB and the OFL:

•
$$MMB_m = \left\lfloor MMB_{f_y} - \left(RM_{OFL} + p_{male} \cdot DM_{OFL} \right) \right\rfloor \cdot e^{-M \cdot t_{fm}}$$

- 4. use the harvest control rule to determine the F_{OFL} corresponding to the projected MMB-atmating.
- 5. update the "guess" in 1. for the result in 4.
- 6. repeat steps 2-5 until the process has converged, yielding self-consistent values for F_{OFL} and MMB-at-mating.

where p_{male} is the assumed fraction of discard mortality on males. Note that this procedure determines the OFL for the assessment year as well as the current MMB-at-mating. Also note that, while the retained mortality RM_{OFL} is based on the F_{OFL} , the discard mortality DM_{OFL} is assumed to be proportional to the MMB at the time of the fishery, with proportionality constant $\frac{\theta}{p_{male}}$. The constant θ is determined by the average ratio of discard mortality on MMB (DM_{MMB}) to MMB at the time of the fishery (MMB_f) over a recent time interval:

$$\theta = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{y} \frac{DM_{MMB_y}}{MMB_{f_y}}$$

where the sum is over the last N years. In addition, DM_{MMB} is assumed to be propriated to total discard mortality, with that proportionality given by the percentage of males in the stock.

Data

Data from the following files were used in this assessment:

- fishery data: ./Data2019AM.Fisheries.csv
- survey data : ./Data2019AM.Surveys.csv

The following figures illustrate the time series of retained PIBKC in the directed fishery and PIBKC incidentally taken in the crab and groundfish fisheries (i.e., bycatch):



Figure 1: Time series of retained PIBKC catch in the directed fishery.



Figure 2: Time series of retained PIBKC catch in the directed fishery (recent time period).



Figure 3: Time series of PIBKC bycatch in the crab and groundfish fisheries.



Figure 4: Time series of PIBKC bycatch in the crab and groundfish fisheries (recent time period).

The following figures illustrate the time series of PIBKC survey biomass in the NMFS EBS bottom trawl survey:



Figure 5: Time series of NMFS EBS bottom trawl survey biomass for PIBKC. Confidence intervals shown are 80% CI's, assuming lognormal error distributions.



Figure 6: Time series of NMFS EBS bottom trawl survey biomass for PIBKC (recent time period). Confidence intervals shown are 80% CI's, assuming lognormal error distributions.



Figure 7: Log10-scale time series for the NMFS EBS bottom trawl survey biomass for PIBKC. Confidence intervals shown are 80% CI's, assuming lognormal error distributions.

Survey smoothing

For PIBKC, the variances associated with annual survey estimates of MMB are so large that, prior to estimating B_{MSY} and "current" MMB-at-mating, the survey MMB time series is first smoothed to reduce overall variability. Starting with the 2015 assessment (Stockhausen, 2015), a random

effects (RE) model based on code developed by Jim Ianelli (NOAA/NMFS/AFSC) has been used to perform the smoothing. This is a statistical approach which models annual log-scale changes in "true" survey MMB as a random walk process using

$$\langle ln(MMB_s) \rangle_y = \langle ln(MMB_s) \rangle_{y-1} + \epsilon_y$$
, where $\epsilon_y \sim N(0, \phi^2)$

as the state equation and

$$ln(MMB_{s_y}) = \langle ln(MMB_s) \rangle_y + \eta_y$$
, where $\eta_y \sim N(0, \sigma_{s_y}^2)$

as the observation equation, where $\langle ln(MMB_s) \rangle_y$ is the estimated "true" log-scale survey MMB in year y, ϵ_y represents normally-distributed process error in year y with standard deviation ϕ , MMB_{sy} is the observed survey MMB in year y, η_y represents normally-distributed ln-scale observation error, and σ_{sy} is the log-scale survey MMB standard deviation in year y. The MMB_s 's and σ_s 's are observed quantities, the $\langle ln(MMB_s) \rangle$'s and ϕ are estimated parameters, and the ϵ 's are random effects (essentially nuisance parameters) that are integrated out in the solution.

Parameter estimates are obtained by minimizing the objective function

$$\Lambda = \sum_{y} \left[ln(2\pi\phi) + \left(\frac{\langle ln(MMB_{s}) \rangle_{y} - \langle ln(MMB_{s}) \rangle_{y-1}}{\phi} \right)^{2} \right] + \sum_{y} \left(\frac{ln(MMB_{s_{y}}) - \langle ln(MMB_{s}) \rangle_{y}}{\sigma_{s_{y}}} \right)^{2}$$

The model is coded in C++ and uses AD Model Builder C++ libraries (Fournier et al., 2012) to minimize the objective function.

Calculating the OFL for the upcoming 2019/20 fishing year requires a value of survey biomass for 2019. The NMFS EBS Bottom Trawl Survey is conducted June-August but the timing of the 2019 assessment was moved from September (after the 2019 NMFS EBS Bottom Trawl Survey) to May (before the survey) so the value for the 2019 survey biomass is based on a 1-step prediction from the RE-smoothed time series. For the random-walk model used here, the best 1-step prediction for the 2019 survey biomass is simply the estimated 2018 survey biomass (the uncertainty of the predicted 2019 value is larger, though, than that for the 2018 estimate).

Smoothing results

For comparison, the raw and RE-smoothed survey MMB time series are shown below in Figures 8-10, on both arithmetic and natural log scales:



Figure 8: Arithmetic-scale raw and smoothed survey MMB time series. Confidence intervals shown are 80% CIs, assuming lognormal error distributions. The final smoothed value is a 1-step prediction.



Figure 9: Arithmetic-scale raw and smoothed survey MMB time series, since 2000. Confidence intervals shown are 80% CIs, assuming lognormal error distributions. The final smoothed value is a 1-step prediction.



Figure 10: Log-scale raw and smoothed survey MMB time series. Confidence intervals shown are 80% CIs, assuming lognormal error distributions. The final smoothed value is a 1-step prediction.

Status determination

Overfishing status

For PIBKC, the total fishing mortality in 2018/19 was 0.4107838 t while the OFL was 1.16 t. Thus, overfishing did not occur in 2018/19.

Overfished status

As discussed previously, overfished status is determined by the ratio $B/B_{MSY_{proxy}}$: the stock is overfished if the ratio is less than 0.5, where *B* is taken as "current" MMB-at-mating. For PIBKC, $B_{MSY_{proxy}}$ is obtained by averaging estimated MMB-at-mating over the period [1980/81-1984/85,1990/91-1997/98]. Following recommendations made by the CPT and SSC in 2015 (CPT, 2015; SSC, 2015), *B* and $B_{MSY_{proxy}}$ are based on MMB-at-mating calculated using the RE-smoothed time series of survey biomass projected forward to mating time.

MMB-at-mating

The time series for MMB-at-mating using the RE-smoothed survey MMB time series is shown in the following figure. Note that because the fishery will not yet have been conducted in the year of the assessment, values for MMB at the time of the fishery and the time of mating are unavailable (a



predicted value for MMB-at-mating in the assessment year will be determined as part of the OFL calculation).

Figure 11: Estimated time series for MMB using the RE method at the time of the survey (the random effects time series), at the time of the fishery, and at the time of mating. The value for MMB at the time of the survey in the assessment year is a 1-step ahead prediction because the survey has not yet been conducted while values for MMB at the time of the fishery and the time of mating are unavailable (a predicted value for MMB-at-mating in the assessment year will be determined as part of the OFL calculation).

The value for $B_{MSY_{proxy}}$ and the estimated current (2019) MMB at the time of the survey from the RE-smoothed results are:

Table 1: Estimated $B_{MSY_{proxy}}$ and current MMB at the time of the survey using the RE-smoothed survey data.

	Current survey MMB (t)	$B_{MSY_{proxy}}$ (t)
RE-smoothed	194	4,106

Values for θ , used in the projected MMB calculations, based on averaging over the last three years, are:

Table 2: Estimated value for the θ coefficient.

	Estimation Type	theta
1	RE-smoothed	0.0008647

Results from the calculations for B ("current" MMB), overfished status, and an illustrative Tier

4-based OFL for 2019/20 (not used for PIBKC) are:

	quantity	units	RE.smoothed
1	B ("current" MMB)	t	174.67
2	B_{MSY}	\mathbf{t}	4,106.40
3	stock status	—	overfished
4	F_{OFL}	$y ear^{-1}$	0.00
5	RM_{OFL}	\mathbf{t}	0.00
6	DM_{OFL}	\mathbf{t}	0.32
7	OFL	\mathbf{t}	0.32

Table 3: More results from the OFL determination.

Because B/B_{MSY} using RE-smoothed MMB-at-mating from the Table above is 0.0425, the stock is overfished. Furthermore, because $B/B_{MSY} < \beta (= 0.25)$, directed fishing on PIBKC is prohibited.

Tables

Fishery data

Table 4: Annual retained catch biomass and bycatch (not mortality; in t), as available, in the directed fishery, the other crab fisheries, and the groundfish fisheries.

	crab fisheries			directed fishery	groundfish	groundfish fisheries		
		pot		pot	pot	trawl		
		discard		retained	discard	discard		
	females	legal	sublegal	legal	all	all		
vear	t	8 t	t	8 t	t	t		
1966	0.00000	NA	NA	0.00000	0.00000	NA		
1967	NA	NA	NA	1,097.69285	NA	NA		
1968	NA	NA	NA	725.74734	NA	NA		
1969	NA	NA	NA	2,485.68463	NA	NA		
1970	NA	NA	NA	580.59787	NA	NA		
1971	NA	NA	NA	557.91827	NA	NA		
1972	NA	NA	NA	136.07763	NA	NA		
1973	NA	NA	NA	580.59787	NA	NA		
1974	NA	NA	NA	3,225.03973	NA	NA		
1975	NA	NA	NA	1,102.22877	NA	NA		
1976	NA	NA	NA	2,998.24369	NA	NA		
1977	NA	NA	NA	2,930.20488	NA	NA		
1978	NA	NA	NA	2,902.98935	NA	NA		
1979	NA	NA	NA	2,721.55252	NA	NA		
1980	NA	NA	NA	4,975.90519	NA	NA		
1981	NA	NA	NA	4,118.61614	NA	NA		
1982	NA	NA	NA	2,000.34110	NA	NA		
1983	NA	NA	NA	993.36667	NA	NA		
1984	NA	NA	NA	140.61355	NA	NA		
1985	NA	NA	NA	240.40381	NA	NA		
1986	NA	NA	NA	117.93394	NA	NA		
1987	NA	NA	NA	317.51446	NA	NA		
1988	NA	NA	NA	0.00000	NA	NA		
1989	NA	NA	NA	0.00000	NA	NA		
1990	NA	NA	NA	0.00000	NA	NA		
1991	NA	NA	NA	0.00000	0.06700	6.19900		
1992	NA	NA	NA	0.00000	0.87900	60.79100		
1993	NA	NA	NA	0.00000	0.00000	34.23200		
1994	NA	NA	NA	0.00000	0.03500	6.85600		
1995	NA	NA	NA	625.95708	0.10800	1.28400		
1996	0.00000	0.00000	0.80739	426.37656	0.03100	0.06700		
1997	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	231.33196	1.46200	0.13000		
1998	3.71492	2.29518	0.46720	235.86788	19.80000	0.07900		
1999	1.96859	3.49266	4.29098	0.00000	0.79500	0.02000		
2000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.11600	0.02300		
2001	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.83300	0.02900		
2002	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.07100	0.29700		
2003	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.34500	0.22700		
2004	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.81600	0.00200		
2005	0.04990	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.35300	1.33900		
2006	0.10433	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.13800	0.07400		
2007	0.13608	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	3.99300	0.13200		
2008	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.14100	0.47300		
2009	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.21563	0.20677		
2010	0.00000	0.00000	0.18597	0.00000	0.04434	0.05629		
2011	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.11175	0.00710		
2012	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.16994	0.66875		
2013	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.06464	0.00000		
2014	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.14430	0.00010		
2015	0.10281	0.00000	0.23013	0.00000	0.74427	0.80776		
2016	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.09043	0.45500		
2017	0.06400	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00025	0.39664		
2018	0.00000	0.00000	0.10104	0.00000	0.02613	0.48169		

Survey data

immature		legal		mature		total		
vear	value	cv	value	cv	value	cv	value	cv
1975	8,475,780.89	0.57	9,051,485.73	0.50	28, 435, 755.89	1.11	36,911,536.79	1.07
1976	12, 328, 947.42	1.92	4,012,289.16	0.47	5, 551, 254.42	0.96	17,880,201.84	1.50
1977	5,067,465.88	1.28	11,768,927.37	0.77	26,924,033.45	1.60	31,991,499.33	1.48
1978	2, 482, 381.42	1.50	3,922,873.85	0.62	12,067,151.89	1.16	14, 549, 533.30	1.08
1979	221,771.00	1.42	3,017,118.91	0.31	5,276,802.27	1.14	5, 498, 573.27	1.09
1980	3,513,951.44	1.24	6,244,057.67	0.42	190,745,260.90	1.39	194, 259, 212.34	1.38
1981	2,925,999.23	0.73	3,245,951.07	0.18	9,267,921.40	0.62	12, 193, 920.63	0.63
1982	2,247,538.58	0.80	2,071,467.90	0.19	10, 190, 817.25	0.83	12, 438, 355.84	0.80
1983	1,494,458.75	0.90	1, 321, 394.69	0.17	11, 159, 269.86	0.97	12,653,728.61	0.98
1984	983,046.34	0.91	558, 226.46	0.25	3, 539, 833.29	0.60	4,522,879.63	0.58
1985	327,846.69	1.14	270, 241.72	0.29	914,260.33	0.72	1,242,107.02	0.63
1986	55, 588.48	1.70	460, 310.63	0.31	2,582,129.95	1.20	2,637,718.43	1.18
1987	1,023,070.70	1.58	830, 150.65	0.42	1,573,658.67	1.00	2,596,729.37	0.91
1988	2, 135, 682.52	1.71	237,867.82	0.51	703, 331.18	0.99	2,839,013.70	1.35
1989	6,150,862.84	1.33	239,947.52	0.62	1,381,703.37	1.28	7, 532, 566.21	1.16
1990	4,627,193.67	1.51	571,708.33	0.54	3,516,258.12	1.17	8, 143, 451.79	1.13
1991	2,725,893.73	0.84	1,237,558.37	0.44	4,781,533.72	0.78	7,507,427.45	0.70
1992	4,233,139.11	1.51	1,154,465.28	0.45	4,084,797.20	0.91	8, 317, 936.31	1.00
1993	2,364,196.25	1.13	1, 114, 300.52	0.30	3,658,157.09	0.76	6,022,353.33	0.72
1994	783, 283.02	0.95	935, 268.63	0.34	6, 341, 478.39	0.78	7, 124, 761.41	0.77
1995	1,805,281.89	1.81	2,186,408.91	0.62	7,140,267.33	1.12	8,945,549.23	1.17
1996	995, 165.22	1.04	1,269,274.66	0.26	6,757,837.30	0.77	7,753,002.53	0.80
1997	787, 577.26	1.19	932,852.28	0.28	3,815,669.55	0.72	4,603,246.80	0.73
1998	1,449,688.57	0.89	797, 187.26	0.25	2,796,606.53	0.69	4,246,295.10	0.67
1999	159, 535.74	0.37	452,740.30	0.34	3, 373, 234.05	0.82	3, 532, 769.79	0.82
2000	163, 834.62	0.56	527, 589.35	0.30	2,088,120.40	0.76	2,251,955.02	0.77
2001	111, 434.07	1.65	445,863.41	0.74	2,219,704.16	1.46	2, 331, 138.23	1.43
2002	18,729.46	1.00	207, 145.98	0.49	1,447,328.02	1.27	1,466,057.48	1.25
2003	112, 599.69	1.20	213, 572.37	0.40	1,349,151.10	1.15	1,461,750.78	1.06
2004	185, 710.36	1.22	15,583.88	1.00	117,939.32	1.17	303,649.68	0.93
2005	4,249,450.99	1.96	91,932.30	0.71	381, 129.58	1.28	4,630,580.58	1.81
2006	251, 165.41	1.04	38,242.00	0.70	485, 119.46	1.33	736, 284.87	1.04
2007	368, 647.45	1.45	54,402.91	0.75	275,842.91	1.75	644, 490.36	1.23
2008	576,037.92	1.83	18,255.62	1.00	455, 624.48	1.66	1,031,662.41	1.61
2009	420,006.90	1.24	68, 117.04	0.59	725, 721.22	1.55	1, 145, 728.13	1.43
2010	266, 783.19	1.40	64,702.83	0.48	379, 492.70	1.18	646, 275.89	1.23
2011	18,089.34	1.00	129,097.71	0.87	202,037.20	1.49	220, 126.54	1.36
2012	229, 204.82	2.00	164, 164.90	0.68	584, 327.37	1.56	813, 532.19	1.57
2013	121,694.76	1.70	68,726.09	0.80	254,660.86	1.49	376, 355.62	1.18
2014	118,710.86	1.59	91,855.85	0.71	166, 223.38	1.31	284,934.24	1.07
2015	75, 575.44	0.77	124, 591.54	0.45	436,094.37	1.02	511,669.81	1.06
2016	225,711.04	1.02	19,344.90	1.00	378, 612.24	1.08	604, 323.27	0.99
2017	256,098.21	1.52	71,937.24	0.59	252, 444.72	1.04	508, 542.93	0.99
2018	186, 266.58	1.17	55,775.69	0.56	113, 648.88	1.56	299,915.46	1.06

Table 5: Input ('raw') male survey abundance data (numbers of crab).

	immature		legal		mature		total	
year	value	cv	value	cv	value	cv	value	cv
1975	8,340.95	0.52	27,016.47	0.50	38,053.59	0.50	46,394.54	0.47
1976	4,128.67	0.94	12,648.94	0.47	14,058.93	0.45	18, 187.61	0.45
1977	3,713.34	0.44	40,365.94	0.78	42,618.32	0.77	46,331.66	0.73
1978	2,765.31	0.51	13,516.82	0.64	17,369.71	0.56	20, 135.02	0.51
1979	61.27	0.79	9,039.95	0.31	10,959.38	0.32	11,020.66	0.31
1980	2,083.76	0.49	20,678.62	0.45	23,552.92	0.43	25,636.68	0.42
1981	1,704.25	0.30	10,553.54	0.17	11,628.25	0.17	13, 332.49	0.18
1982	1,151.96	0.23	6,893.43	0.19	7,388.96	0.19	8,540.92	0.17
1983	962.34	0.36	4,474.40	0.17	5,408.73	0.18	6,371.08	0.19
1984	129.72	0.36	1,824.02	0.25	2,215.66	0.23	2,345.38	0.22
1985	39.02	0.73	755.50	0.28	1,054.79	0.27	1,093.81	0.26
1986	3.73	1.00	1,473.32	0.31	1,504.69	0.30	1,508.43	0.30
1987	191.45	0.78	2,781.34	0.41	2,923.38	0.41	3, 114.84	0.40
1988	170.05	0.71	842.43	0.53	842.43	0.53	1,012.48	0.46
1989	1,274.88	0.62	827.50	0.64	827.50	0.64	2,102.37	0.55
1990	2,004.14	0.66	1,514.33	0.52	3,077.51	0.60	5,081.65	0.61
1991	1,377.43	0.39	3, 325.77	0.45	4,689.67	0.39	6,067.10	0.37
1992	1,800.51	0.51	3,034.80	0.45	4,391.01	0.42	6, 191.52	0.43
1993	1,088.50	0.54	3,202.55	0.30	4,555.60	0.31	5,644.10	0.30
1994	618.98	0.39	2,805.73	0.35	3,410.36	0.34	4,029.34	0.34
1995	967.73	0.86	6,786.93	0.62	8,360.23	0.60	9,327.96	0.63
1996	744.89	0.61	3,873.06	0.27	4,640.62	0.27	5,385.51	0.28
1997	381.39	0.55	2,765.39	0.27	3,232.58	0.28	3,613.97	0.29
1998	692.25	0.41	2,509.92	0.25	2,797.93	0.25	3,490.19	0.25
1999	160.65	0.40	1,426.16	0.35	1,729.24	0.34	1,889.89	0.33
2000	113.32	0.68	1,745.75	0.31	2,091.34	0.30	2,204.66	0.30
2001	87.07	0.76	1,460.92	0.76	1,598.74	0.73	1,685.81	0.73
2002	0.00	0.00	647.07	0.52	679.80	0.51	679.80	0.51
2003	19.06	0.98	671.20	0.41	702.01	0.40	721.07	0.39
2004	36.01	0.65	48.43	1.00	106.88	0.58	142.89	0.46
2005	325.78	0.94	344.06	0.71	344.06	0.71	669.84	0.59
2006	86.89	0.58	139.22	0.70	165.89	0.60	252.77	0.46
2007	196.77	0.74	205.56	0.73	306.46	0.80	503.23	0.66
2008	211.71	0.95	45.98	1.00	45.98	1.00	257.69	0.80
2009	254.30	0.68	186.51	0.60	497.11	0.71	751.41	0.70
2010	91.64	0.85	190.05	0.48	302.93	0.46	394.57	0.52
2011	0.00	0.00	398.98	0.89	461.36	0.84	461.36	0.84
2012	164.71	1.00	458.98	0.64	643.94	0.74	808.65	0.79
2013	14.53	1.00	189.92	0.75	250.14	0.80	264.66	0.75
2014	83.15	0.62	233.39	0.70	233.39	0.70	316.54	0.57
2015	81.69	0.75	428.26	0.46	621.71	0.39	703.40	0.39
2016	70.34	0.49	67.74	1.00	128.55	0.61	198.89	0.52
2017	45.20	0.77	222.52	0.57	252.78	0.51	297.98	0.47
2018	95.57	0.54	153.55	0.57	153.55	0.57	249.12	0.52

Table 6: Input ('raw') male survey biomass data, in t.
	immature	9	matur	re	tota	l
year	value	cv	value	CV	value	cv
1975	0.00	0.00	13, 147, 586.68	0.61	13, 147, 586.68	0.61
1976	7,369,388.06	0.97	769, 149.65	0.51	8,138,537.71	0.91
1977	851,600.68	0.82	13,880,050.65	0.86	14,731,651.34	0.86
1978	60,923.05	1.00	5,926,514.32	0.66	5,987,437.37	0.66
1979	142, 416.25	0.72	1,168,934.53	0.81	1,311,350.78	0.77
1980	781,223.69	0.77	182,902,918.90	0.98	183,684,142.60	0.98
1981	826, 523.82	0.41	5,433,490.77	0.44	6,260,014.59	0.42
1982	876, 255.79	0.51	7,837,003.99	0.65	8,713,259.78	0.63
1983	463,726.39	0.54	9,307,968.75	0.78	9,771,695.14	0.76
1984	465, 472.58	0.52	2,769,190.35	0.38	3,234,662.94	0.37
1985	260,081.29	0.54	486, 184.43	0.44	746, 265.72	0.36
1986	36,684.23	0.70	2,101,931.80	0.90	2,138,616.03	0.88
1987	401,529.77	0.74	670,478.72	0.58	1,072,008.49	0.48
1988	897, 629.21	0.87	465, 463.37	0.48	1,363,092.58	0.64
1989	2,636,098.81	0.74	1, 141, 755.85	0.66	3,777,854.65	0.58
1990	2,177,329.21	0.91	2,045,839.41	0.55	4,223,168.62	0.56
1991	805, 450.59	0.46	2,767,448.02	0.42	3,572,898.61	0.35
1992	1,797,343.33	0.93	2, 149, 519.20	0.49	3,946,862.54	0.52
1993	880,672.33	0.61	1,782,656.74	0.45	2,663,329.07	0.38
1994	144,763.08	0.57	5,047,215.18	0.44	5,191,978.25	0.44
1995	658,479.28	0.92	4,038,555.59	0.52	4,697,034.87	0.49
1996	275,735.14	0.42	5,045,822.06	0.48	5,321,557.20	0.46
1997	320, 343.56	0.67	2,614,373.74	0.42	2,934,717.30	0.39
1998	500, 241.34	0.43	1,829,509.02	0.44	2,329,750.36	0.37
1999	0.00	0.00	2,755,975.76	0.49	2,755,975.76	0.49
2000	0.00	0.00	1,363,069.69	0.46	1,363,069.69	0.46
2001	18,516.37	1.00	1,697,465.09	0.75	1,715,981.46	0.74
2002	18,729.46	1.00	1,221,852.43	0.79	1,240,581.89	0.78
2003	67,328.63	0.48	1,120,254.01	0.76	1,187,582.64	0.72
2004	98,059.03	0.63	70,034.56	0.60	168,093.59	0.51
2005	2,268,112.83	1.00	289, 197.28	0.56	2,557,310.11	0.89
2006	113,047.12	0.55	429,540.72	0.77	542, 587.84	0.62
2007	122,482.70	0.73	165,762.60	0.90	288, 245.30	0.59
2008	342, 119.25	0.90	437,368.86	0.66	779,488.11	0.75
2009	152,290.08	0.61	477,095.11	0.82	629,385.19	0.76
2010	165, 632.29	0.56	249,027.32	0.69	414,659.61	0.62
2011	18,089.34	1.00	36,511.72	0.70	54,601.06	0.56
2012	34,682.61	1.00	312,094.57	0.76	346,777.18	0.70
2013	45,343.64	0.70	150,299.88	0.63	195, 643.52	0.53
2014	27,720.50	1.00	74,367.54	0.60	102,088.04	0.51
2015	0.00	0.00	202,464.39	0.65	202, 464.39	0.65
2016	131,689.04	0.50	322,760.45	0.52	454, 449.50	0.50
2017	187,859.97	0.75	161,799.38	0.53	349,659.35	0.54
2018	75,905.77	0.59	57,873.19	1.00	133,778.96	0.54

Table 7: Input ('raw') female survey abundance data (numbers of crab).

	immatu	ıre	mature		total	
year	value	cv	value	cv	value	CV
1975	0.00	0.00	12,442.27	0.64	12,442.27	0.64
1976	4,967.70	0.97	823.80	0.53	5,791.50	0.89
1977	418.58	0.83	13, 153.87	0.88	13,572.45	0.87
1978	76.40	1.00	6,415.74	0.72	6,492.14	0.72
1979	91.67	0.73	1,097.29	0.79	1,188.96	0.76
1980	699.46	0.86	211,603.71	0.98	212,303.16	0.98
1981	497.16	0.41	5,986.82	0.47	6,483.97	0.46
1982	553.17	0.57	8,823.72	0.68	9,376.89	0.67
1983	258.05	0.61	9,989.87	0.79	10,247.93	0.78
1984	15.35	0.69	3,069.56	0.38	3,084.90	0.38
1985	4.87	0.46	519.81	0.45	524.67	0.44
1986	11.02	0.73	2,419.78	0.90	2,430.80	0.90
1987	118.72	0.86	794.61	0.58	913.33	0.53
1988	190.14	0.79	527.64	0.49	717.78	0.47
1989	800.78	0.67	944.75	0.58	1,745.53	0.50
1990	1,118.45	0.93	1,810.45	0.51	2,928.89	0.49
1991	342.70	0.48	2,433.24	0.41	2,775.93	0.38
1992	801.57	0.96	1,847.65	0.48	2,649.23	0.46
1993	444.39	0.62	1,647.13	0.46	2,091.51	0.40
1994	87.01	0.57	4,805.95	0.45	4,892.96	0.44
1995	331.03	0.90	3,947.94	0.52	4,278.97	0.50
1996	176.52	0.42	5,408.25	0.50	5,584.77	0.49
1997	193.64	0.66	2,834.78	0.43	3,028.42	0.41
1998	267.35	0.42	1,914.46	0.44	2,181.81	0.39
1999	0.00	0.00	2,868.27	0.47	2,868.27	0.47
2000	0.00	0.00	1,461.82	0.46	1,461.82	0.46
2001	0.34	1.00	1,816.35	0.72	1,816.69	0.72
2002	0.24	1.00	1,400.74	0.78	1,400.98	0.78
2003	20.94	0.67	1,286.42	0.75	1,307.36	0.73
2004	25.20	0.82	97.71	0.60	122.91	0.50
2005	477.27	1.00	369.83	0.57	847.10	0.61
2006	38.16	0.60	537.85	0.76	576.01	0.71
2007	58.77	0.79	223.43	0.88	282.19	0.71
2008	222.03	0.90	449.54	0.64	671.57	0.70
2009	80.22	0.66	544.69	0.85	624.91	0.82
2010	84.08	0.58	310.16	0.66	394.24	0.63
2011	2.69	1.00	34.14	0.73	36.83	0.67
2012	8.70	1.00	228.76	0.66	237.46	0.64
2013	12.06	0.72	153.85	0.70	165.91	0.65
2014	16.43	1.00	91.11	0.60	107.54	0.53
2015	0.00	0.00	159.65	0.66	159.65	0.66
2016	72.47	0.47	328.67	0.50	401.14	0.48
2017	106.89	0.81	152.11	0.56	259.01	0.53
2018	45.28	0.58	76.01	1.00	121.29	0.65

Table 8: Input ('raw') female survey biomass data, in t.

		raw			RE	
year	value	lci	uci	value	lci	uci
1975	38,053.59	20,759.61	69,754.48	26,881.80	16,821.13	42,959.73
1976	14,058.93	8,103.53	24,391.05	19,930.10	13,395.23	29,653.00
1977	42,618.32	17,814.39	101,958.08	21,252.30	13,592.39	33,228.91
1978	17,369.71	8,912.49	33,852.16	16,972.20	11,337.17	25,408.07
1979	10,959.38	7,385.67	16,262.32	13, 333.10	9,748.29	18,236.18
1980	23,552.92	13,894.39	39,925.46	15,594.10	11,030.66	22,045.46
1981	11,628.25	9,320.75	14,507.00	11,421.30	9,354.86	13,944.20
1982	7,388.96	5,824.58	9,373.50	7,448.42	6,052.31	9,166.58
1983	5,408.73	4,315.80	6,778.45	5,079.98	4,154.76	6,211.24
1984	2,215.66	1,659.01	2,959.08	2,347.94	1,841.79	2,993.18
1985	1,054.79	753.94	1,475.68	1,350.90	1,021.27	1,786.92
1986	1,504.69	1,029.62	2,198.96	1,555.54	1,157.15	2,091.09
1987	2,923.38	1,761.10	4,852.75	1,926.81	1,351.61	2,746.79
1988	842.43	445.93	1,591.49	1,428.72	947.70	2,153.88
1989	827.50	391.56	1,748.76	1,600.62	1,029.53	2,488.50
1990	3,077.51	1,512.59	6,261.49	2,602.68	1,718.45	3,941.88
1991	4,689.67	2,910.49	7,556.46	3,810.19	2,677.11	5,422.85
1992	4,391.01	2,612.05	7,381.55	4,179.89	2,939.92	5,942.85
1993	4,555.60	3,100.43	6,693.73	4,328.19	3,200.38	5,853.45
1994	3,410.36	2,219.61	5,239.91	4,017.60	2,908.18	5,550.24
1995	8,360.23	4,090.73	17,085.84	4,938.60	3,335.75	7,311.64
1996	4,640.62	3,308.54	6,509.03	4,382.94	3,315.98	5,793.22
1997	3,232.58	2,284.30	4,574.53	3,322.04	2,523.97	4,372.45
1998	2,797.93	2,042.57	3,832.65	2,704.77	2,085.68	3,507.62
1999	1,729.24	1,136.48	2,631.17	1,976.51	1,451.63	2,691.17
2000	2,091.34	1,442.89	3,031.19	1,835.78	1,358.03	2,481.61
2001	1,598.74	688.93	3,710.05	1,264.25	830.09	1,925.49
2002	679.80	368.60	1,253.75	784.09	528.68	1,162.87
2003	702.01	428.47	1,150.19	548.53	381.99	787.67
2004	106.88	53.46	213.67	278.66	179.67	432.19
2005	344.06	151.76	780.00	266.14	168.86	419.48
2006	165.89	81.25	338.67	225.18	143.05	354.47
2007	306.46	124.64	753.49	230.31	141.81	374.03
2008	45.98	15.82	133.66	210.68	126.46	350.98
2009	497.11	218.63	1,130.34	294.11	185.61	466.03
2010	302.93	172.57	531.78	321.07	214.15	481.35
2011	461.36	180.34	1,180.27	371.44	231.84	595.10
2012	643.94	277.26	1,495.58	397.61	246.94	640.21
2013	250.14	101.79	614.66	343.39	213.72	551.75
2014	233.39	103.97	523.89	335.70	215.28	523.48
2015	621.71	382.23	1,011.25	391.25	269.61	567.77
2016	128.55	62.34	265.09	245.61	160.99	374.71

Table 9: A comparison of estimates for MMB (in t) at the time of the survey. Note that, for the assessment year, the survey has not yet been conducted so the 'raw' value is unavailable and the smoothed value is a 1-step ahead prediction.

2017	252.78	135.99	469.85	227.90	149.47	347.47
2018	153.55	77.73	303.35	194.18	117.29	321.48
2019	0.00	0.00	0.00	194.18	67.56	558.12

References

CPT. 2015. Introduction. In: Stock Assessment and Fishery Evaluation Report for the KING AND TANNER CRAB FISHERIES of the Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands Regions 2015 Final Crab SAFE. North Pacific Fishery Management Council, 605 W. 4th Avenue, #306, Anchorage, AK 99501. 35 pp.

Fournier, D.A., H.J. Skaug, J. Ancheta, J. Ianelli, A. Magnusson, M.N. Maunder, A. Nielsen, and J. Sibert. 2012. AD Model Builder: using automatic differentiation for statistical inference of highly parameterized complex nonlinear models. Optim. Methods Softw. 27:233-249.

SSC. 2015. SCIENTIFIC AND STATISTICAL COMMITTEE to the NORTH PACIFIC FISHERY MANAGEMENT COUNCIL. October 5th – 7th, 2015. 33 pp. http://npfmc.legistar.com/gateway. aspx?M=F&ID=acaba646-c746-4ad5-acb4-f6d70d72b06f.pdf

Stockhausen, W. 2015. 2015 stock assessment for the Pribilof Islands blue king crab fisheries of the Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands Regions. In: Stock Assessment and Fishery Evaluation Report for the KING AND TANNER CRAB FISHERIES of the Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands Regions 2015 Final Crab SAFE. North Pacific Fishery Management Council, 605 W. 4th Avenue, #306, Anchorage, AK 99501. p. 511-561.

Update to the 2019 SAFE Report for the Pribilof Islands Blue King Crab Fisheries of the Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands Regions

William T. Stockhausen Alaska Fisheries Science Center September 2020

THIS INFORMATION IS DISTRIBUTED SOLELY FOR THE PURPOSE OF PREDISSEMINATION PEER REVIEW UNDER APPLICABLE INFORMATION QUALITY GUIDELINES. IT HAS NOT BEEN FORMALLY DISSEMINATED BY NOAA FISHERIES/ALASKA FISHERIES SCIENCE CENTER AND SHOULD NOT BE CONSTRUED TO REPRESENT ANY AGENCY DETERMINATION OR POLICY

Summary

The Pribilof Islands blue king crab (PIBKC) assessment is on a biennial cycle. 2020 is the "off "year in the cycle, so only an update to determine whether or not overfishing occurred in 2019/20 is presented here. The next full assessment will occur in 2021.

The most recent full assessment was conducted in May 2019 (Stockhausen, 2019). This report updates that assessment with final retained catch and bycatch mortality estimates in the directed fishery, other crab fisheries, and the groundfish fisheries to determine the final status of whether or not overfishing occurred during the 2019/20 crab fishery year (July 1, 2019-June 30, 2020). The 2019 SAFE Report determined the overfishing limit (OFL) for PIBKC to be 1.16 t, with an acceptable biological catch (ABC) of 0.87.

Following completion of the 2019/20 crab fishery year, data on retained catch and bycatch was obtained from the Alaska Department of Fish and Game (ADFG) and the NMFS Alaska Regional Office (via the Alaska Fisheries Information Network [AKFIN]) for crab fisheries and groundfish fisheries, respectively. No retained catch or bycatch was taken by the directed fishery in 2019/20 because it was closed due to its overfished status (Table 3). Also, no bycatch of PIKBC was observed in other crab fisheries (i.e., snow crab; Table 4). Bycatch in the groundfish fisheries totaled 0.527 t across all gear types in 2019/20 (Table 5). After applying gear-specific discard mortality rates, this amounted to 0.416 t total catch mortality (Table 5). Because this was less than the OFL for 2019/20 (1.16 t), **overfishing did not occur on this stock in 2019/20**.

The following two tables update the management performance tables presented in the 2019 SAFE Report with the final fishing mortality estimates for 2019/20:

Year	MSST	Biomass (MMB _{mating})	TAC	Retained Catch	Total Catch Mortality	OFL	ABC
2016/17	2,053	232	closed	0	0.38	1.16	0.87
2017/18	2,053	230	closed	0	0.33	1.16	0.87
2018/19	2,053	230	closed	0	0.41	1.16	0.87
2019/20		175	closed	0	0.42	1.16	0.87
2020/21		175				1.16	0.87

Table 1. Management performance; all units in metric tons.

Year	MSST	Biomass (MMB _{mating})	TAC	Retained Catch	Total Catch Mortality	OFL	ABC
2016/17	4.526	0.511	closed	0	0.0008	0.0026	0.002
2017/18	4.526	0.507	closed	0	0.0007	0.0026	0.002
2018/19	4.526	0.507	closed	0	0.0009	0.0026	0.002
2019/20		0.386	closed	0	0.0009	0.0026	0.002
2020/21		0.386				0.0026	0.002

Table 2. Management performance; all units in millions of pounds.

Shaded values - Based on data available to the Crab Plan Team at the time of the assessment

References

Stockhausen, W.T. 2019. 2019 Stock Assessment and Fishery Evaluation Report for the Pribilof Islands Blue King Crab Fisheries of the Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands. North Pacific Fishery Management Council, 605 W. 4th Avenue, Suite 306, Anchorage, AK 99501-2252.

Table 3. Retained catch in the directed PIBKC fishery.

Var	Retained Catch				
i cai	Abundance	Biomass (t)			
1973/1974	174,420	579			
1974/1975	908,072	3,224			
1975/1976	314,931	1,104			
1976/1977	855,505	2,999			
1977/1978	807,092	2,929			
1978/1979	797,364	2,901			
1979/1980	815,557	2,719			
1980/1981	1,497,101	4,976			
1981/1982	1,202,499	4,119			
1982/1983	587,908	1,998			
1983/1984	276,364	995			
1984/1985	40,427	139			
1985/1986	76,945	240			
1986/1987	36,988	117			
1987/1988	95,130	318			
1988/1989	0	0			
1989/1990	0	0			
1990/1991	0	0			
1991/1992	0	0			
1992/1993	0	0			
1993/1994	0	0			
1994/1995	0	0			
1995/1996	190,951	628			
1996/1997	127,712	425			
1997/1998	68,603	232			
1998/1999	68,419	234			
1999/2000 - 2019/2020	0	0			

fishery	crab	(pot) fisheries	groundfish fisheries (t)		
year	females	legal males	sublegal males	fixed gear	trawl gear
1991/92				0.067	6.199
1992/93				0.879	60.791
1993/94				0.000	34.232
1994/95				0.035	6.856
1995/96				0.108	1.284
1996/97	0.000	0.000	0.807	0.031	0.067
1997/98	0.000	0.000	0.000	1.462	0.130
1998/99	3.715	2.295	0.467	19.800	0.079
1999/00	1.969	3.493	4.291	0.795	0.020
2000/01	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.116	0.023
2001/02	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.833	0.029
2002/03	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.071	0.297
2003/04	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.345	0.227
2004/05	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.816	0.002
2005/06	0.050	0.000	0.000	0.353	1.339
2006/07	0.104	0.000	0.000	0.138	0.074
2007/08	0.136	0.000	0.000	3.993	0.132
2008/09	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.141	0.473
2009/10	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.216	0.207
2010/11	0.000	0.000	0.186	0.044	0.056
2011/12	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.112	0.007
2012/13	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.170	0.669
2013/14	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.065	0.000
2014/15	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.144	0.000
2015/16	0.103	0.000	0.230	0.744	0.808
2016/17	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.077	0.455
2017/18	0.064	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.378
2018/19	0.000	0.000	0.101	0.020	0.466
2019/20	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.009	0.518

Table 4. Estimated bycatch of PIBKC in the crab and groundfish fisheries. These values do not include discard mortality rates.

Table 5. Estimated bycatch mortality of PIBKC in the crab and groundfish fisheries. A discard mortality rate of 0.2 has been applied to PIBKC taken with crab pots or groundfish fixed gear; a rate of 0.8 has been applied to PIBKC taken with groundfish trawl gear.

fishery year	crab (pot) fisheries (t)			groundfish	total bycatch mortality (t)	
	females	legal males	males	fixed gear	trawl gear	
1991/92				0.013	4.959	4.973
1992/93				0.176	48.633	48.809
1993/94				0.000	27.386	27.386
1994/95				0.007	5.485	5.492
1995/96				0.022	1.027	1.049
1996/97	0.000	0.000	0.161	0.006	0.054	0.221
1997/98	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.292	0.104	0.396
1998/99	0.743	0.459	0.093	3.960	0.063	5.319
1999/00	0.394	0.699	0.858	0.159	0.016	2.125
2000/01	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.023	0.018	0.042
2001/02	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.167	0.023	0.190
2002/03	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.014	0.238	0.252
2003/04	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.069	0.182	0.251
2004/05	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.163	0.002	0.165
2005/06	0.010	0.000	0.000	0.071	1.071	1.152
2006/07	0.021	0.000	0.000	0.028	0.059	0.108
2007/08	0.027	0.000	0.000	0.799	0.106	0.931
2008/09	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.028	0.378	0.407
2009/10	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.043	0.165	0.209
2010/11	0.000	0.000	0.037	0.009	0.045	0.091
2011/12	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.022	0.006	0.028
2012/13	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.034	0.535	0.569
2013/14	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.013	0.000	0.013
2014/15	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.029	0.000	0.029
2015/16	0.021	0.000	0.046	0.149	0.646	0.862
2016/17	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.015	0.364	0.379
2017/18	0.013	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.303	0.315
2018/19	0.000	0.000	0.020	0.004	0.373	0.397
2019/20	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.002	0.415	0.416