ESTIMATED TIME

8 HOURS

(all D-1 items)

MEMORANDUM

TO:

Council, SSC and AP Members

FROM:

Chris Oliver

Executive Director

DATE:

February 1, 2005

SUBJECT:

Gulf of Alaska pollock trip limit

ACTION REQUIRED

Review discussion paper and provide direction.

BACKGROUND

In December 2004, the Council requested that staff develop a discussion paper (Item D-1(e)) of a proposal submitted by a representative of Western Alaska groundfish fishermen during that meeting. The proposal recommends eliminating the 300,000 lb pollock trip limit, and implementing a 300,000 lb limit of unprocessed pollock during a 24 hour period. It addresses a potential loophole in the regulations that pertains to trip limits in the Gulf of Alaska pollock fishery. It has been alledged that some vessels are delivering multiple 300,000 lb trips daily to tenders, up to the 600,000 lb tender limit in the Western Gulf, and that some fishermen are using multiple tenders and have harvested and delivered even more. While the regulations may not specifically prohibit this activity, the Council will consider whether this is consistent with its original intent to increase temporal dispersion of the fleet as part of the Steller sea lion mitigation measures, under which the trip limits were implemented in 1999. At its February 2005 meeting, the Council will review the paper and decide whether to initiate a regulatory amendment and set a timeline for action.

WESTERN GULF OF ALASKA 300,000 LB POLLOCK TRIP LIMIT DISCUSSION PAPER FEBRUARY 1, 2005

In December 2004, the Council requested that staff develop a discussion paper of a proposal submitted by a representative of Western Alaska groundfish fishermen during public testimony at that meeting. The proposal recommends implementing a 300,000 lb limit of unprocessed pollock during a 24 hour period in place of the current 300,000 lb trip limit. Some vessels are delivering multiple 300,000 lb trips daily to tenders, up to the 600,000 lb tender limit in the Western Gulf (Area 610). The proposer reported that some fishermen are using multiple tenders and have harvested and delivered as much as 1,500,000 lb in a single day. While the regulations do not prohibit this activity, the Council will consider whether this is consistent with its original intent to increase temporal dispersion of the fleet as part of the Steller sea lion mitigation measures, under which the trip limits were implemented in 1999. At its February 2005 meeting, the Council will review the paper and decide whether to initiate a regulatory amendment and set a timeline for action.

PROPOSED ACTION: Replace the 300,000 lb catcher vessel pollock trip limit with a 300,000 lb catcher vessel pollock daily limit in the western GOA (Area 610).

PROBLEM STATEMENT/OBJECTIVE: Section 679.7(b)(2) places a 300,000 lb trip limit for catcher vessels in the Gulf of Alaska, but places no limit on the amount of trips, or total amount of pollock, allowed on board a catcher vessel in a day. The trip limit was intended to slow down the race for fish in the pollock fishery by limiting harvests on catcher vessels to 300,000 lb of unprocessed pollock per fishing trip.

Non-resident catcher trawl vessels may be circumventing the intent of the trip limit by making multiple 300,000 deliveries in a day to tenders in the western GOA, which have a 600,000 lb limit [§679.7(b)(3)(ii)]. It was generally believed that only one trip per vessel would occur per day when the Council made its recommendation, but the regulations do not impose a daily limit. The higher tender trip limit would allow one vessel to offload twice and land its own trip limit or two vessels to offload once each and each land their trip limit. Multiple trips and offloading to tenders allow a faster catch rate by those vessels than if they were delivering to plants on shore or if only on etrip was allowed per day.

BACKGROUND: The Council recommended and NMFS implemented a variety of measures to slow the pace of the pollock fishery under Steller sea lion mitigation measures. The 1999 emergency rule contained a trip limit of 300,000 lb (136 mt) for all vessels fishing for pollock in the western and central (Areas 620 and 630) GOA management areas. This limit accommodated larger non-resident vessels, which have hold capacities exceeding 1 million lb, and the smaller catcher vessel fleet based in Sand Point and King Cove, which have hold capacities of less than 150,000 lb. In the past, the entry of large numbers of Bering Sea -based catcher vessels has led to short-term pulse fisheries in the GOA with attendant concerns about localized depletion of pollock populations and quota overages. The trip limit significantly slowed the pace of fishing by the larger BS-based catcher vessel fleet that has traditionally fished in the GOA when BS fishing seasons were closed.

The Council also recommended regulations that prohibit catcher vessels from fishing in both the GOA and BS during the same fishing season and prohibit vessels from operating as pollock tenders in central GOA to prevent the large scale use of tender vessels to avoid the trip limit restriction. Vessels operating as tenders in western GOA are prohibited from retaining on board more than 600,000 lb (272 mt) of unprocessed pollock. Tendering is allowed there, while prohibited from other Gulf management areas, because smaller vessels delivering to Sand Point and King Cove are more dependent on tenders than the larger vessels that operate in the central GOA and deliver primarily to Kodiak.

The American Fisheries Act placed additional (sideboard) restrictions on BS-based catcher vessels when fishing in the GOA. The combined effects of all of these measures were expected to significantly slow the pace of the GOA pollock fisheries in a manner consistent with the principle of temporal dispersion, by discouraging or preventing all but a few BS-based catcher vessels from continuing to fish in the GOA. During 1995-1997, BS-based catcher vessels accounted

for approximately 75 percent of the pollock landings in Areas 610 and 620, and more than 50 percent of pollock landings in Areas 630 and 640.

In-season management of 2005 fishery: NMFS staff reported that most catcher vessels do not exceed the trip limit. Twenty two catcher vessels participated in the 2005 "A" season. During the three day fishery, eight vessels made three deliveries, nine vessels made four deliveries and one vessel made eight deliveries. The remaining four vessels made two or fewer deliveries for a total of 76 deliveries for the fishery. Of those, eight (about 9 percent) exceeded the 300,000 lb trip limit, compared with one or two vessels in a typical season. While one vessel exceeded the limit by over 57,000 lb, the others exceeded the limit by 1,000-10,000 lb (the average of all eight was 14,396 lb). One vessel had overages on two deliveries in a row. The total of all catcher vessel trip limit overages was 115,170 lb or about 52 mt, which is approximately 1 percent of the TAC. The enforcement policy is to forfeit the value of an overage for the first infraction if the overage is small (approximately 10 percent). Subsequent violations carry a fine of up to \$5000. Fines are more substantial if there are more than three overages in a year.

Since there are no limits on the number of trips allowed each day for either catcher vessels or tenders in the WGOA, the pace of the fishery has accelerated in recent years. The pre-announced 2005 "A" season began on a Thursday and lasted three days. While overages of the catcher vessel trip limit were not significant and overages of the tender trip limit have not been determined at this time, the 5,000 mt "A" season pollock TAC was exceeded by 2,000 mt due to the fast pace of the fishery from the use of tenders. Season closures must be filed through NMFS headquarters, which is not possible on weekends. A pre-season announcement is a (not necessarily better) alternative to in-season management, in which NMFS announces the closing date of a fishery prior to its start. This may still result in either overages, as was the case in this most recent season, or underages based on the lack of precision by staff in projecting the daily harvest rate. Sufficient TAC must remain in an underage for a projected full day of fishing to allow for a reopening. Otherwise, the underage amount is rolled over to the next seasonal allocation. While pre-announced closures are sometimes necessary if the projected season length is too short to allow for inseason management, they eliminate the ability for inseason managers to react to unanticipated changes in weather conditions and or catch rates.

The four processing plants that traditionally participate in this fishery all have tender vessels operating on the grounds during the fishery. A few cod end transfers have occurred in the last few seasons, including the 2005 "A" season, but this has been more the exception than the rule (or just not documented by NMFS). There were nine tenders in the 2005 fishery, compared with four tenders in 2004. This year, one processor had two tenders operating on the grounds and an additional seven tenders tied to their dock to hold fish waiting for processing (or for transport to another processing facility). One plant is weighing the fish through their hopper scales and then pumping the fish onto the tender vessels for shipment to Akutan to get processed. In doing this, the tender is not really acting as a buying tender but more as a transporter of unprocessed fish that was already delivered and reported, and may not be subject to the tender trip limit. This allows the fleet to not be constrained by the processing capacity of the plant.

The use of tenders speed the pace of fishing, whether they shorten the run time from the fishing grounds to the point of offload, thereby allowing the fleet to spend more time fishing and less time running between the processor and the fishing grounds, or provide additional holding capacity for the processing plant. Tenders typically haul the cod end on board, dump the pollock into their recirculated seawater tanks, and then transport the harvest in to a shore plant for processing. The use of tenders in the WGOA pollock fishery has been an evolving phenomenon, allowing catcher vessels to make multiple deliveries in a shorter period of time and contributing to quota overages by complicating inseason tracking of harvests. Having fish going to both shore plants and tenders makes it more difficult to track the entire catch in a manner timely enough to be useful for in-season management. If the Council chooses to reexamine the tender allowance (rather than the current trip limit), more local vessels with a 300,000 lb hold capacity could enter the fishery (now about 8 of the 22 boats have that capacity).

ANALYSIS: RIR/IRFA for a regulatory amendment; a categorical exclusion for NEPA would be requested; however, an EA may be required.

RANGE OF ALTERNATIVES:

- 1. No action: Limit catcher vessels to no more than 300,000 lb of pollock on board the vessel at any time during a trip in the WGOA
- 2. Limit catcher vessels to no more than 300,000 lb of pollock in a 24-hour period* in the WGOA *Staff recommends noon to noon to coincide with season openings

The Council may wish to consider whether to expand the proposed action to: (1) all or other areas of the GOA, and/or (2) 600,000 lb tender trip limit in the western GOA or (3) eliminate the use of tenders in the WGOA.

ESTIMATE OF STAFF RESOURCES: Likely no more than 4 weeks of total interagency staff time for analytical and regulatory writing and review, if limited to the proposed action in an RIR/IRFA.

TIMELINE TO IMPLEMENTATION: A regulatory amendments typically requires two Council meetings for initial review and final action, with an additional six months for rulemaking and implementation. If not controversial and the proposed action entails a clarification of Council intent to the original implementing regulations (Steller sea lion mitigation measures) without triggering re-initiation of Section 7 formal consultation, it may be possible to proceed straight to final action in one meeting. Rulemaking and implementation would still require at least six months. The Council would have to identify this as a high priority action and identify staff or contract resources to schedule action in 2005. Final action would be needed by June 2005, for the possibility of implementation in January 2006.

OTHER APPLICABLE LAWS: Endangered Species Act consultations may be necessary if the alternatives are expanded beyond those currently proposed.

Acknowledgements: Rance Morrison and Josh Keaton, NMFS SF

Public Testimony Sign-Up Sheet and

Handouts Received During the Meeting on this Agenda Item

Public Testimony Sign Up Sheet Agenda Item D-1(e) GOA Pollock

		V - 1
	NAME (PLEASE PRINT)	AFFILIATION
1	Toul Gronhold JOE Childers Kon topett Julie Brany	AFFILIATION AEB WGOAF AK. ROAT CO AGDB
2	JOE Childors	WGOAF
3	Kru t'opett	AK. BOAT CO
4	Julie Braney	16-DB
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15	·	
16		,
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24	/	
25	<u></u>	,
NOT		the Council Section 207(1)(I) of the Magnuson Stevens

NOTE to persons providing oral or written testimony to the Council: Section 307(1)(I) of the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act prohibits any person "to knowingly and willfully submit to a Council, the Secretary, or the Governor of a State false information (including, but not limited to, false information regarding the capacity and extent to which a United State fish processor, on an annual basis, will process a portion of the optimum yield of a fishery that will be harvested by fishing vessels of the United States) regarding any matter that the Council, Secretary, or Governor is considering in the course of carrying out this Act.