MEMORANDUM

DATE: July 14, 1980

TO: Council, SSC & AP Members

FROM: Jim H. Branson, Executive Director

SUBJECT: Foreign Fee Schedule Increase

ACTION REQUIRED

Comments from the council on alternative methods of assessing foreign fishing fees.

Background

NMFS has proposed two alternative methods with which to recover the cost of foreign fishing to the U.S. These costs include enforcement, administration, fisheries research, conservation and management. The present fee structure is based on a permit fee and a poundage fee, assessed at 3.5 percent of the U.S. ex-vessel value of the foreign catch. The two proposed alternatives are:

- 1. An effort fee. This option identifies a set daily fee to be levied for each day a foreign fishing vessel spends on the fishing grounds. It was calculated by NMFS using two methods (a) to recover the NOAA and State Department costs by region (Alaska's rate is calculated to be \$214 per day on the grounds) and (b) to recover all costs to the Federal government of foreign fishing in the FCZ (calculated on a nationwide basis to be \$894 per day on the grounds).
- 2. A poundage fee. The second option is to charge a percentage levy based on the U.S. ex-vessel value of the foreign catch, increased from the present rate of 3.5 percent to recover all costs. The percentage calculated to recover the NOAA and state costs for the Alaska region is 3.8 percent of U.S. ex-vessel value. To recover all costs associated with foreign fishing, a nationwide charge would be 16.8 percent.

The attached pages were provided to the Council by Susan Jelly of NMFS and are current as of June 13, 1980. They identify the figures from which the above cost recovery alternatives were calculated. These figures are an update from those listed in the Federal Register notice which went out with the July 3rd mailing.

Attachments

AGENDA F-2(a) JULY, 1980

Proposed 1981 fees are derived in the following manner:

Effort fee

Costs to be recovered divided by the number of fishing vessel (class 1 permits) days on ground 1979.

To recover NOAA and State Department costs only:

Alaska:
$$\frac{$12,601,000}{58,940 \text{ days}} = $214/\text{day on ground}$$

New England:
$$\frac{\$4,336,000}{7,336 \text{ days}} = \$591/\text{day on ground}$$

Pacific:
$$\frac{$3,390,000}{4,033 \text{ days}} = $841/\text{day on ground}$$

Nationwide:
$$\frac{\$20,501,000}{70,309}$$
 = $\$292/\text{day}$ on ground

To recover all costs to the Federal Government of foreign fishing in the fishery conservation zone:

Nationwide**:
$$\frac{$62,836,000}{70,309}$$
 = \$894/day on ground

Poundage fee

Costs to be recovered divided by the value of the fish caught, then multiplied by 100.

To recover NOAA/costs only:

Alaska:
$$\frac{$12,601,000}{$328,304,853} \times 100 = 3.8\%$$

New England:
$$\frac{$4,336,000}{$22,748,244} \times 100 = 19\%$$

Pacific:
$$\frac{$3,390,000}{$20,795,387} \times 100 = 16\%$$

Nationwide:
$$\frac{$20,501,000}{$371,848,483} \times 100 = 5.5\%$$

To recover all costs to the Federal Government of foreign fishing in the fishery conservation zone:

Nationwide**:
$$\frac{$62,836,000}{$371,848,483} \times 100 = 16.8\%$$

**Regional fees to recover all costs to the Federal Government cannot be determined unless Coast Guard costs are apportioned among regions by an arbitrary formula.

^{*}Includes all regions.

Summary of Estimated 1980 Costs to

Federal Government of Foreign Fishing

1.	DIREC 1/	CT COSTS	. '
	<i>±</i> ′	NMFS	1,946,000
•		NOAA (General Counsel)	$\frac{47,000}{2/1,993,000}$
2.		RECT COSTS	
	<u>3</u> /	NMFS	36,899,000
	<u>4</u> /	NOAA	10,839,000
		Coast Guard	98,000,000
		State Department	$\frac{236,000}{2/45,974,000}$
			$\frac{21}{145,974,000}$
3.		ign Fishing Portion otal Indirect Costs	18,508,000

- 4. Total of Direct and Indirect
 Costs for Foreign Fishing 62,836,000
 - Includes Fisheries Enforcement, Enforcement Information System, International Office, and Statistics
 - 2/ Includes Headquarter's costs and 10% overhead charge
 - Includes Fishery Management Councils, Office of Conservation and Management, Management Plan Review, Permits and Regulations, Regional Office Fishery Management, and Marine Resources Monitoring Assessment and Prediction (MARMAP)
 - 4/ Includes Headquarter's costs and 10% overhead charge

Estimated Direct Costs to U.S. Foreign Fishing Relating to FCMA

(1000's of dollars)

(1000 5 01 dollars)									
			REGIONAL E	CMA DIREC	CT COSTS	BASE WASHINGTON			
No.	AGENCY/PROGRAM	NE	SE SW		NW	ALASKA	HQS COSTS OVERHEAD TOTAL		
1. 2. 3. 4.	NMFS Fisheries Enforcement Enforcement Info. System International Office Statistics	60 80 105	0 13 0	0 9 14	172 26 21	845 80 67	40 108 1,225 140 35 383 100 10 110 0 21 228		
5.	NOAA General Counsel	2	2	2	6	30	5 0 47		
6. 7. 8.	Subtotal Headquarters and Overhead TOTAL Direct Costs	247 _106 _353	15 <u>13</u> 28	25 _12 _37	225 63 288	1,022 265 1,287	(459) 1,534 <u>459</u> 1,993		

Estimated Indirect Costs to U.S.

Foreign Fishing Relating to FCMA

(1000's of dollars)

			REGIONAL I	CMA INDIR	ECT COSTS	BASE WA	SHINGTON		
No.	AGENCY/PROGRAM	NE	SE	SW	MM	ALASKA	HQS COST	OVERHEAD	TOTAL
9.	NMFS Committee	1 015	2 (02	762	1 500	1 001		000	0.000
10.	Fishery Mgmt. Councils Office of Conservation and Management	1,915	2,693	763	1,583	1,081	484	803	8,838 532
11. 12. 13.	Management Plan Review Permits and Regulations Regional Office					·	454 287	45 29	499 316
14.	Fishery Management	- 190 <u>5,542</u>	295 _5,613	85 _ <u>4,011</u>	145 _1,725	130 _6,114	518	85 2,261	930 25,784
15.	Subtota1	7,647	8,601	4,859	3,453	7,325			
16. 17.	NOAA NOSship operations Sea Grantresearch	2,750	1,241	2,728	1,108	2,355	555	102	10,284 555
18.	STATE DEPARTMENT						236		236
19.	NOAA HQS and Overhead $\frac{2}{}$	514	220	220	248	2,343	. (3	$544.2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$	
20.	Total Indirect Costs (of NOAA/State Dept.) for U.S. and Foreign FCZ Fishing	10,911	10,062	7,807	4,809	12,023			45,612
21.	Percent of Foreign Catch in FCZ for each region	36%	0%	1.4%	<u>64.5%</u>	94.1%			·
22.	TOTAL Indirect Costs based on % of Foreign Catch in FCZ in each								
.	region	3,983	0	. 109	3,102	11,314			 18,508

 $[\]frac{1}{2}$ Total HQS and Overhead costs, \$5907, were reduced by 40% for indirect costs related to U.S. fishing in territorial waters.

Balance of reduced HQS and Overhead costs apport ed regionally by ratios of FCZ catch in region t otal FCZ catch. Catch ratios throughout are based on 1977 and 1978 data.

Estimated Costs to U.S. of Foreign Fishing--FCMA

(1000's of dollars)

						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·		
No.	AGENCY/PROGRAM	NE	SE	SW	NW	ALASKA	TOTAL		
23.	Direct Costs	353	28	37	288	1,287	 1,993		5 57 40
24.	Prorated Indirect Costs for Foreign Fishing (FF)	_3,983	0	_109	<u>3,102</u>	_11,314	<u>18,508</u>		
25.	Total	4,336	28	146	3,390	/12,601	 20,501	,	
26.	Total Coast Guard (CO						98,000 ²		
27.	Total CG Costs in FC2	f					58,800 ³		
28.	Total CG Costs for FF in FCZ			,			42, 336 ⁴	,	
29.	Apportioned CG Costs	8,467	8,467	8,467	8,467	8,467			
30.	TOTAL USG Costs for FF	12,803	8,495	8,613	11,857	21,068	62,836 ⁶	,	,
								·	,
		,							

 $[\]frac{1}{2}$ Same as line 22. Does not include Coast Guard costs.

 $[\]frac{2}{2}$ Telephone estimate by Coast Guard

 $[\]frac{3}{2}$ Reduction based on proportionate share of total catch off U.S. caught in FCZ, 60%.

 $[\]frac{4}{}$ Cost for foreign fishing in FCZ based on ratio of foreign catch in FCZ to total catch in FCZ, 72%.

 $[\]frac{5}{4}$ Apportioned equally between regions.

 $[\]frac{6}{1}$ may differ from total estimated without regional breakdown.