

Hello everyone;

I would like to have the Sub Area method reviewed for data analysis in area 2C for a short-term or long-term management option. This would give each sub area the opportunity to choose the best harvest option for the business model in their area. Using the total GHL, have data analysis done for all of the different options available, then each sub area would choose the option that works best for that sub area. Total harvestable pounds would remain the same area wide, just the harvest method from sub area to sub area would change. Methods of harvest could be:

- Reverse Slot Limit
- Days of the Week Closure
- One Fish Maximum Size (Hybrid method)
- Annual limits
- Two Fish 32" and under
- Slot Limits
- Trip Limits
- Two or Three fish any size Annual

And any other method that works best for a business model in each of the sub areas.

Divide area 2C into seven sub areas.

The sub areas would look like this:

<u>Sub Area</u>	<u>Percentage of Allocation</u>	<u>Yield lbs</u>
Ketchikan	.065%	51,220
Prince of Wales Island	.129%	101,652
Petersburg/Wrangell	.119%	93,772
Sitka	.344%	271,072
Juneau	.049%	38,612
Haines/Skagway	.00076%	600
Glacier Bay	.292%	230,096

Percentages and pound yield were based on 2010 harvest numbers.

Pounds based on GHL of 788,000 pounds.