(12)

ADDRESS BY THE LOWER YUKON FISHERMEN'S ASSOCIATION AND THE KUSKOKWIM UNITED FISHERMEN TO THE NORTH PACIFIC FISHERIES MANAGEMENT COUNCIL CONCERNING JAPANESE INTERCEPTION OF WESTERN ALASKAN KING AND CHUM SALMON

Many months ago, Congressman Young and Senator Stevens asked that our fishermen be patient during the development and final passage of the Fisheries Conservation and Management Act of 1976. They stated that our concerns that Japense interception of our kings and chums would be reso lved through the development of United States management and conservation of its salmon throughout their migratory range. With the assurances of our Alaskan representative we put our own skepticism aside, and informed our fishermen that soon the Japanese high seas gill net fishery would pull off of our kings during their peak harvest in the mid-Bering Saea in mid June through early July. east of the abstention line. We informed both Congressman Young and Senator Stevens that we desired the Japense to cease their fishing in these months in Mothership Fishery Districts 9 and 10 east of the Abstention Line. High seas tagging had proven that the not so incidental interception of our 4 year old kings was occurring during these months in these fishing districts. We were assured by both Young and Stevens that the United States Government and the North Pacific Fisheries Management Council would take quick action to stop this clear violation of our nation's salmon.

In the last months, with the failure of the United States Government to take positive steps during the recent International North Pacific Fisheries Commission in Tokyo, and the preak-down in the GIFA talks, our worst fears have now become the truth. Western Alaskan salmon far out in the ocean are once again being offered up to a foreign nation as a trading item. A representative of Senator Stevens came to Bethel and informed us after passage of the Management Act of 1976 that United States Coast Guard ships and planes would be dispatched to the mid-Bering Sea to protect our salmon. We have recently learned that in the international market place, our salmon are still up for sale to foreign nations. No Coast Guard ships or planes will be in the mid-Bering Sea to protect our salmon, and our salmon will not be used to barter with the Japanese on bottom fish harvest. They are just going to keep on harvesting our fish.

The Council may not like what I am saying, but I have talked it over with our fishermen and they think that it is important for us to speak the truth. The U.S. Government and the Japanese Government never heard of us before this year. We were unorganized then, but we are organized now. Our fishermen organized because they have had many promises made to them by many people; when the people go away, there was no one among us to follow up on what these men had said would happen. Now, I am here because our fishermen do not want to watch their livelihood disappear. They are requesting at this time that the Council begin the development of its own management plan for Bering Sea harvest of kings. We realise that both Japanese aquaculture stocks and western Alaska chums are co-mingling in the Bering Sea's mothership area, and that more detailed analyses must take place on chums. We ask that the Council begin the development of an intensive research program on Western Alaskan chum migration routes to determine the points of Japanese interception. But we ask that the Council take the positive step of restricting Japanese interception of our king salmon

immediately. Both the United States Government and the Japanese Government know where the interception of the four year old western Alaskan kings is occurring, and we want this rincipal site of interception made off-limits to the Japanese high seas gill net fishery. This is the principal issue our fishermen want the Council to act on by March 1, 1977.

We believe that the Council holds our only hope to settle this foreign interception. You must understand that I come from an area where the only industry is fishing. Although the Alaska Department of Fish and Game is very familiar with our fishery the Council is not. We have 15,000 people in our region. The only large business is fishing, both subsistance and commercial. The majority of our village people hunt and fish all year to support their families. Our median income is\$1,042 to \$1,340 per person depending on whose statistics you want to believe, so we are not cash rich. The only major source of income for our 56 widely scattered villages is commercial fishing. I am submitting to the council the compilation of our villages subsistence harvest in 1974 to go along with your Alaska Department of Fish and Game data to show the council that fisheries make up 81% of our food harvest of 14.2 million pounds. Salmon is included and accounts for 4 million pounds of subsistsnce food. As we stated in our herring paper, the United States Public Health Service has calculated that a gram of replacement protein delivered to one of our villages is worth 18¢/gram, which makes buying food very expensive. The king is the mainstay of our subsistence salmon fishery. The king is also the mainstay of our commercial fishery. Since '968, we have been having a steady decline on our kings. We have already asked the Council to assist the Alaska D partment of Fish and Game to find our how many fish we have in the Yukon and Kuskokokwim Rivers. We are unsure even to this date how many fish we have. Every year, we gamble with our future on the Japanese harvest and our own commercial and subsistence harvest of kings. Each year, we take more fish, and allow fewer to escape, and we know that we are in trouble on the kings. Our fishermen have agreed to hold off on any further harvest requests on the Yukon and Kuskokwim for kings for commercial fishing until we get the japanese off our stocks, and find out how many king salmon we have. We have come out of our little villages to let you people know about our lives, and our fisheries. very important, and they are our future. We plan to put our funds into enhancement of our stocks to increase our local economy, but not until the Japanese are off of our stocks. We can harvest everything allowable already, yet we have to wait until the Japanese are finished before we can start fishing. We don't want this very dangerous game to continue. We are requesting that the Council act now.

Agricant Marie Control	* }*		a Commence		THE STATE OF THE STATE OF		36							No.
•.•	SURTINRY, 1974 SUBSISTENCE CALENDAR IN 41			1 HEFBER VILLAGIS, ASSOCIATION OF VI			H OF VILLA	AGE COUNCIL PRESIDENT		rts, by numba kitlu Vegetation		ITSISTI BIRDS ACCURACY		CURACY
village Hame	PER, LBS. He	ead of ouseholds	Harvest Total, 1bs,	FISH	· Pounds		Pounds	ž	Pounds	7 P	ounds	% PC	ounds	<u>*</u>
(Regions)	14,516	32, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1,	550,026	``	525, 231	15.68	7 13,533	.97.	6,020	1.54	12,485	.23	2,767 33,247	3C.00 2C.00
Kotlik	3,712	71	263,562	65.05	171,423	7.84	20,662	11.58	30,530	2.69 5.98	7,100 21,350	12.84 5.55	19.250	75.00
Eigeonak Alakanuk	4,356	82	357,200	59.59	212,840	6.60	23,560	22.28 .55	79,600 24,840	93	35,226		94,289	10.25
Hountain Village	18,593	69	,282 ,870		,071,363	1.59	57,152		24,040	.44	18.150	.11	4.385	30.40,
Saint Hary's	10,642	41	411,746	99.07	385.465	.38	3.745			7.59	1,600	5.16	1,089	12.50
Andreafski	1,756	12	21,072	57.60	12 136	29.65	6,248 106,999	.03	459	.15	2,244	1.50	17,648	33.70
Pilot Station ·	15,676	15.	799,476	94.23	672 126 329,677	4.09 3.99	27,565	27	1.995	.28	2,205	.38	2,835	40,50
Marshall	10,408	35	364,277 109,835: :	95.08 <u>98.51</u> .	_ <u>104</u> . 789 _	1.20	2,842 _		,		· · ·	7:29-	2,21;_ _178-013	52.30 -31.16.
<u>Russian Mission</u> Subtotal	3,787 _	. 294 3934	170,074	- 23.58	7,485.051	-1.20	262,306	3.43	143 141	7.47	100,360	3.16	3,285	16.60
Kengiganak	6,953	15	104,745	47.74	50,010		· •	49.12	51,450	7 10	12,450	11.10	19,245	44.90
Eek	4,682	37	173,241	58,62	101,543	4.76	8,240	18.34	31,763	7.19	7,8CO	4.82	15,532	ē. 20
Tuntatuliak	10,334	34 .	351,379	88.11	309 . 605	.92	3,242 6,342	3.93	13,800	14.11.	56,600	1.82	7,318	17.80
Kasigluk	9,535	42	400,985	82.49 90.01	330,725 201.519	1.58	5,198	1 .		5.02	11,230	2.65	5,937	50.20
ilunapi tchuk	4,224	53	223,884 [.] 108,619	81.27	88,275	16.19	17,588			.60	650	1.94	2,106	42.70
Atmouthluak	4,178 5,572	26 40	222,867	63.64	141,850	5.22	11,643	'	•	15.37	34,250	15.76	35,124	81.70
Hapaskiak	7,893	. 40	315,706	92.98	293,546	3.20	10,440	2.02	6,400	1.20	4,000	.60	1,320.	59.60 51.70
Hapakiak Oscarville	5,853	15	87,799	100.00	87,799				•	•		1.60	13,526	32.90
2cthe1	1,999	422	843,741	97.30	820.931	1.10	9,284			1.36	6,806	4.10	20,501	71.90
K.cthluk	6,083	28	490,180	86.54	431,939	8.00	39,934 27.759	1.		} '''	. 0,000	.87	7,859	13.10
Akiacnuk	15,916	57	907.220	96.08 87.22	871,652 165,720	9.60	18,235					3.18	6.047	65.30
Akiak	7,308	26	190,002 251,463	91.96	231,234	3,98	10,014	1	•	2.52	6,328	1.54	3,892	57.20 57.10
Tuluksak	8,623 5,046	28 • 42	211,947	78,10	165,527	17.58	37,274			2.48	5,250	1,84 2.96	3,896 4,001	1 57 . 10 1 59 . 70
: Lower Kalskag Uppar Kalskag	4,825	28	135,039	90.04	121,634	7.00	9,454			· .		1.11	. 160	70.20
inper nerskog Aniak	3,577	40	143,079	94.13	134,679	5.76	8,240			i	•	3.64	2,655	59.30
Chuathbaluk	3,475	21	72,967	56.83	41,467	39,53	28,845 46,467	.		6.16	6,750_	1,31	1.437	36.30
Sleatmute	4,057	_27	109,539	$-\frac{50.11}{86.76}$	<u> 54,985</u> 4,644,540	42.42	- 298, 199_	T. 73	703.413	2.84	752714	2.30	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Subtotal		075	,353,457	74.28	317.975	3.70	11.703	114.20	67,977	1.22	6,081	6.60	32,148	13.02
llunper day		· 79	435,884	67.92	345,480	1.37	6,990	16.00	81,374	3.60	19,320	111.11	£5,424	37.92 1 6.85
Chevak	8,338	61	508,648 70,485	71.85	50 636	6.10	4,300	4.77	3,360	١		17.28	12,139 26,675	16.19
Martek	3,356	21 50	609,430	86.79	528 960	2.54	15,500	6.01	_ 36,600	.41	2,500	5.3ć	7,413	3,98
Tununak • Tokscok 3ay	12,188 2,750	46	126,407	68.87	87 032	4.36	.5,512	20.91	26,450 21,800		•	6.31	5,566	2.52
; Hightmute	3,492	25	87,306	53.74	46 925	14.98	13,075	24.97	42,116	10.26	18,670	10.49	18,257	4.49
Makoryuk	3,500	52	181,003	39.38	71 500	16.73	30,450	20.42	15,225	13.92	10,375	3.69	2.751	30.92
Gnefurnak	2.980	25	74,551	52.00	38 900 247 413	9.97 8.14	7,300 20,539	23.06	92,120	4.61	18,435	5.26	21,013	13.61
! Kipnuk	8,501	47	300,820	61.03	381 053	3.77	19.667	119,41	101,388			3.87	20,231	82.30
Quinhagak .	7,357	71	522,349	90.40	252 876	1.43	3,990 1,349	11.33	2,800 1,700			7.17	20,059	
Goodnews Bay Platinum	7,998 3,000	35 5	279,724 15,000	75.93		8.99	1,349	112.48	492,910	T.89	74,381	5.65	272,494	16.99
	$-\frac{3}{7.624}$		3,310,307	76.47	2,380 137	3.51	140.385	12,40			36,433	 ;	62,151	1-111-12-
Subtotal		221	1,428,765		1,170,015	- -;-;=	77,868	5,76	82,297 822,064	2.55	-363,288	† 4.35	618.739	34.13
Other Villages	6,465 2	2206	4,262,603	81,89	11,679,743	5.45	778,758	1.22		1	, r			•
	• • •	•		. •	-						•			