

Model Comparisons: AM vs AMa

William Stockhausen

12 January, 2017

Population processes

Natural mortality




Figure 1. Estimated natural mortality rates, by year.

Probability of terminal molt




Figure 2. Probability of terminal molt.

Mean growth




Figure 3. Mean growth.

Growth matrices




Figure 4. Growth matrices for males during 1949-2016, page 1.




Figure 5. Growth matrices for males during 1949-2016, page 2.




Figure 6. Growth matrices for males during 1949-2016, page 3.

female growth: 1949–2016




Figure 7. Growth matrices for females during 1949-2016, page 1.




Figure 8. Growth matrices for females during 1949-2016, page 2.




Figure 9. Growth matrices for females during 1949-2016, page 3.

Size distribution for recruits




Figure 10. Size distribution for recruits.

Population results

Recruitment




Figure 11. Estimated annual recruitment.




Figure 12. Estimated recent recruitment.




Figure 13. Estimated annual recruitment, on ln-scale.



Figure 14. Estimated recent recruitment, on ln-scale.

Mature biomass




Figure 15. Estimated annual mature biomass.




Figure 16. Estimated recent mature biomass.




Figure 17. Estimated annual mature biomass, on ln-scale.




Figure 18. Estimated recent mature biomass, on ln-scale.

Population abundance




Figure 19. Population abundance trends.




Figure 20. Recent population abundance trends.




Figure 21. Ln-scale population abundance trends.



Figure 22. Recent ln-scale population abundance trends.

Biomass




Figure 23. Population biomass trends.



Figure 24. Recent population biomass trends.




Figure 25. Ln-scale population biomass trends.




Figure 26. Recent ln-scale population biomass trends.

Surveys

Survey catchability





Figure 27. Survey catchabilities for NMFS trawl survey.

Survey selectivity functions



NMFS trawl survey.1

Survey abundance




Figure 29. NMFS trawl survey catch abundance.

Survey biomass




Figure 30. NMFS trawl survey catch biomass.

Survey size compositions




Figure 31. NMFS trawl survey catch abundance for female all all, (1 of 5).




Figure 32. NMFS trawl survey catch abundance for female all all, (2 of 5).




Figure 33. NMFS trawl survey catch abundance for female all all, (3 of 5).




Figure 34. NMFS trawl survey catch abundance for female all all, (4 of 5).

NMFS trawl survey




Figure 35. NMFS trawl survey catch abundance for female all all, (5 of 5).




Figure 36. NMFS trawl survey catch abundance for male all all, (1 of 5).




Figure 37. NMFS trawl survey catch abundance for male all all, (2 of 5).




Figure 38. NMFS trawl survey catch abundance for male all all, (3 of 5).




Figure 39. NMFS trawl survey catch abundance for male all all, (4 of 5).




Figure 40. NMFS trawl survey catch abundance for male all all, (5 of 5).

Fisheries

Fishery catchability




Figure 41. Fishery catchabilities for TCF.




Figure 42. Fishery catchabilities for SCF.




Figure 43. Fishery catchabilities for RKF.




Figure 44. Fishery catchabilities for GTF.

Total selectivity functions




Figure 45. Selectivity functions for TCF(1 of 6).




Figure 46. Selectivity functions for TCF(2 of 6).




Figure 47. Selectivity functions for TCF(3 of 6).




Figure 48. Selectivity functions for TCF(4 of 6).




Figure 49. Selectivity functions for TCF(5 of 6).




Figure 50. Selectivity functions for TCF(6 of 6).




Figure 51. Selectivity functions for SCF(1 of 6).




Figure 52. Selectivity functions for SCF(2 of 6).




Figure 53. Selectivity functions for SCF(3 of 6).




Figure 54. Selectivity functions for SCF(4 of 6).




Figure 55. Selectivity functions for SCF(5 of 6).




Figure 56. Selectivity functions for SCF(6 of 6).




Figure 57. Selectivity functions for RKF(1 of 6).




Figure 58. Selectivity functions for RKF(2 of 6).




Figure 59. Selectivity functions for RKF(3 of 6).




Figure 60. Selectivity functions for RKF(4 of 6).




Figure 61. Selectivity functions for RKF(5 of 6).




Figure 62. Selectivity functions for RKF(6 of 6).




Figure 63. Selectivity functions for GTF(1 of 6).




Figure 64. Selectivity functions for GTF(2 of 6).




Figure 65. Selectivity functions for GTF(3 of 6).




Figure 66. Selectivity functions for GTF(4 of 6).




Figure 67. Selectivity functions for GTF(5 of 6).




Figure 68. Selectivity functions for GTF(6 of 6).

Retention functions




Figure 69. Retention functions for TCF(1 of 1).

Total catch abundance




Figure 70. Predicted GTF captured catch abundance.




Figure 71. Predicted RKF captured catch abundance.




Figure 72. Predicted SCF captured catch abundance.




Figure 73. Predicted TCF captured catch abundance.

Total catch biomass




Figure 74. Predicted GTF captured catch biomass.




Figure 75. Predicted RKF captured catch biomass.




Figure 76. Predicted SCF captured catch biomass.




Figure 77. Predicted TCF captured catch biomass.

Retained catch abundance




Figure 78. Predicted TCF retained catch abundance.

Retained catch biomass




Figure 79. Predicted TCF retained catch biomass.

Total catch size compositions




Figure 80. Predicted GTF captured catch abundance for female all all, (1 of 5).

GTF captured catch for female all all




Figure 81. Predicted GTF captured catch abundance for female all all, (2 of 5).

GTF captured catch for female all all




Figure 82. Predicted GTF captured catch abundance for female all all, (3 of 5).

GTF captured catch for female all all




Figure 83. Predicted GTF captured catch abundance for female all all, (4 of 5).

GTF captured catch for female all all




Figure 84. Predicted GTF captured catch abundance for female all all, (5 of 5).

GTF captured catch for male all all




Figure 85. Predicted GTF captured catch abundance for male all all, (1 of 5).

GTF captured catch for male all all




Figure 86. Predicted GTF captured catch abundance for male all all, (2 of 5).

GTF captured catch for male all all




Figure 87. Predicted GTF captured catch abundance for male all all, (3 of 5).

GTF captured catch for male all all




Figure 88. Predicted GTF captured catch abundance for male all all, (4 of 5).

GTF captured catch for male all all




Figure 89. Predicted GTF captured catch abundance for male all all, (5 of 5).

RKF captured catch for female all all




Figure 90. Predicted RKF captured catch abundance for female all all, (1 of 5).

RKF captured catch for female all all




Figure 91. Predicted RKF captured catch abundance for female all all, (2 of 5).

RKF captured catch for female all all




Figure 92. Predicted RKF captured catch abundance for female all all, (3 of 5).

RKF captured catch for female all all




Figure 93. Predicted RKF captured catch abundance for female all all, (4 of 5).

RKF captured catch for female all all




Figure 94. Predicted RKF captured catch abundance for female all all, (5 of 5).

RKF captured catch for male all all




Figure 95. Predicted RKF captured catch abundance for male all all, (1 of 5).

RKF captured catch for male all all




Figure 96. Predicted RKF captured catch abundance for male all all, (2 of 5).

RKF captured catch for male all all




Figure 97. Predicted RKF captured catch abundance for male all all, (3 of 5).

RKF captured catch for male all all




Figure 98. Predicted RKF captured catch abundance for male all all, (4 of 5).

RKF captured catch for male all all




Figure 99. Predicted RKF captured catch abundance for male all all, (5 of 5).

SCF captured catch for female all all




Figure 100. Predicted SCF captured catch abundance for female all all, (1 of 5).

SCF captured catch for female all all




Figure 101. Predicted SCF captured catch abundance for female all all, (2 of 5).

SCF captured catch for female all all




Figure 102. Predicted SCF captured catch abundance for female all all, (3 of 5).

SCF captured catch for female all all




Figure 103. Predicted SCF captured catch abundance for female all all, (4 of 5).

SCF captured catch for female all all




Figure 104. Predicted SCF captured catch abundance for female all all, (5 of 5).

SCF captured catch for male all all




Figure 105. Predicted SCF captured catch abundance for male all all, (1 of 5).

SCF captured catch for male all all




Figure 106. Predicted SCF captured catch abundance for male all all, (2 of 5).

SCF captured catch for male all all




Figure 107. Predicted SCF captured catch abundance for male all all, (3 of 5).

SCF captured catch for
male all all




Figure 108. Predicted SCF captured catch abundance for male all all, (4 of 5).

SCF captured catch for male all all




Figure 109. Predicted SCF captured catch abundance for male all all, (5 of 5).

TCF captured catch for female all all




Figure 110. Predicted TCF captured catch abundance for female all all, (1 of 4).

TCF captured catch for female all all




Figure 111. Predicted TCF captured catch abundance for female all all, (2 of 4).

TCF captured catch for female all all




Figure 112. Predicted TCF captured catch abundance for female all all, (3 of 4).

TCF captured catch for female all all




Figure 113. Predicted TCF captured catch abundance for female all all, (4 of 4).

TCF captured catch for male all all




Figure 114. Predicted TCF captured catch abundance for male all all, (1 of 4).

TCF captured catch for male all all




Figure 115. Predicted TCF captured catch abundance for male all all, (2 of 4).

TCF captured catch for male all all




Figure 116. Predicted TCF captured catch abundance for male all all, (3 of 4).

TCF captured catch for male all all




Figure 117. Predicted TCF captured catch abundance for male all all, (4 of 4).

Retained catch size compositions




Figure 118. Predicted TCF retained catch abundance for male all all, (1 of 4).

TCF retained catch for male all all




Figure 119. Predicted TCF retained catch abundance for male all all, (2 of 4).

TCF retained catch for male all all




Figure 120. Predicted TCF retained catch abundance for male all all, (3 of 4).

TCF retained catch for male all all




Figure 121. Predicted TCF retained catch abundance for male all all, (4 of 4).

Model fits

Survey biomass




Figure 122. Comparison of observed and predicted survey biomass for NMFS trawl survey.




Figure 123. Comparison of observed and predicted survey biomass for NMFS trawl survey. Recent time period.

Mean survey size compositions




Figure 124. Comparison of observed and predicted &&xms mean survey size comps for NMFS trawl survey.

Survey size compositions




Figure 125. Comparison of observed and predicted male, immature, all shell survey size comps for NMFS trawl survey. Page 1 of 3.

NMFS trawl survey: male, immature, all shell




Figure 126. Comparison of observed and predicted male, immature, all shell survey size comps for NMFS trawl survey. Page 2 of 3.

NMFS trawl survey: male, immature, all shell



Figure 127. Comparison of observed and predicted male, immature, all shell survey size comps for NMFS trawl survey. Page 3 of 3.




Figure 128. Comparison of observed and predicted male, mature, all shell survey size comps for NMFS trawl survey. Page 1 of 3.




Figure 129. Comparison of observed and predicted male, mature, all shell survey size comps for NMFS trawl survey. Page 2 of 3.

NMFS trawl survey: male, mature, all shell




Figure 130. Comparison of observed and predicted male, mature, all shell survey size comps for NMFS trawl survey. Page 3 of 3.

NMFS trawl survey: female, immature, all shell




Figure 131. Comparison of observed and predicted female, immature, all shell survey size comps for NMFS trawl survey. Page 1 of 3.

NMFS trawl survey: female, immature, all shell




Figure 132. Comparison of observed and predicted female, immature, all shell survey size comps for NMFS trawl survey. Page 2 of 3.

NMFS trawl survey: female, immature, all shell




Figure 133. Comparison of observed and predicted female, immature, all shell survey size comps for NMFS trawl survey. Page 3 of 3.




Figure 134. Comparison of observed and predicted female, mature, all shell survey size comps for NMFS trawl survey. Page 1 of 3.




Figure 135. Comparison of observed and predicted female, mature, all shell survey size comps for NMFS trawl survey. Page 2 of 3.




Figure 136. Comparison of observed and predicted female, mature, all shell survey size comps for NMFS trawl survey. Page 3 of 3.

Total fishery catch biomass

NOTE: Predicted and “observed” catch biomass for TCSAM2013 model results in the following plots always reflect “total catch mortality” biomass (even when “total capture” biomass was fit in the model), while TCSAM02 model results always reflect “total capture” biomass.




Figure 137. Comparison of observed and predicted total catch for TCF.




Figure 138. Comparison of observed and predicted total catch for TCF. Recent time period.




Figure 139. Comparison of observed and predicted total catch for SCF.




Figure 140. Comparison of observed and predicted total catch for SCF. Recent time period.




Figure 141. Comparison of observed and predicted total catch for RKF.




Figure 142. Comparison of observed and predicted total catch for RKF. Recent time period.




Figure 143. Comparison of observed and predicted total catch for GTF.




Figure 144. Comparison of observed and predicted total catch for GTF. Recent time period.

Total fishery mean size comps




Figure 145. Comparison of observed and predicted &&xms mean total catch size comps for GTF.




Figure 146. Comparison of observed and predicted &&xms mean total catch size comps for RKF.




Figure 147. Comparison of observed and predicted × mean total catch size comps for SCF.




Figure 148. Comparison of observed and predicted × mean total catch size comps for TCF.

Total fishery catch size comps




Figure 149. Comparison of observed and predicted male, all maturity, all shell total catch size comps for TCF. Page 1 of 2.

TCF: male, all maturity, all shell




Figure 150. Comparison of observed and predicted male, all maturity, all shell total catch size comps for TCF. Page 2 of 2.




Figure 151. Comparison of observed and predicted female, all maturity, all shell total catch size comps for TCF. Page 1 of 2.




Figure 152. Comparison of observed and predicted female, all maturity, all shell total catch size comps for TCF. Page 2 of 2.

SCF: male, all maturity, all shell




Figure 153. Comparison of observed and predicted male, all maturity, all shell total catch size comps for SCF. Page 1 of 2.

SCF: male, all maturity, all shell




Figure 154. Comparison of observed and predicted male, all maturity, all shell total catch size comps for SCF. Page 2 of 2.




Figure 155. Comparison of observed and predicted female, all maturity, all shell total catch size comps for SCF. Page 1 of 2.




Figure 156. Comparison of observed and predicted female, all maturity, all shell total catch size comps for SCF. Page 2 of 2.

RKF: male, all maturity, all shell




Figure 157. Comparison of observed and predicted male, all maturity, all shell total catch size comps for RKF. Page 1 of 2.




Figure 158. Comparison of observed and predicted male, all maturity, all shell total catch size comps for RKF. Page 2 of 2.




Figure 159. Comparison of observed and predicted female, all maturity, all shell total catch size comps for RKF. Page 1 of 2.




Figure 160. Comparison of observed and predicted female, all maturity, all shell total catch size comps for RKF. Page 2 of 2.

GTF: male, all maturity, all shell




Figure 161. Comparison of observed and predicted male, all maturity, all shell total catch size comps for GTF. Page 1 of 4.

GTF: male, all maturity, all shell




Figure 162. Comparison of observed and predicted male, all maturity, all shell total catch size comps for GTF. Page 2 of 4.

GTF: male, all maturity, all shell




Figure 163. Comparison of observed and predicted male, all maturity, all shell total catch size comps for GTF. Page 3 of 4.




Figure 164. Comparison of observed and predicted male, all maturity, all shell total catch size comps for GTF. Page 4 of 4.

GTF: female, all maturity, all shell




Figure 165. Comparison of observed and predicted female, all maturity, all shell total catch size comps for GTF. Page 1 of 4.

GTF: female, all maturity, all shell




Figure 166. Comparison of observed and predicted female, all maturity, all shell total catch size comps for GTF. Page 2 of 4.

GTF: female, all maturity, all shell




Figure 167. Comparison of observed and predicted female, all maturity, all shell total catch size comps for GTF. Page 3 of 4.




Figure 168. Comparison of observed and predicted female, all maturity, all shell total catch size comps for GTF. Page 4 of 4.

Retained fishery catch biomass




Figure 169. Comparison of observed and predicted retained catch mortality for TCF.




Figure 170. Comparison of observed and predicted retained catch mortality for TCF. Recent time period.

Mean retained fishery size compositions




Figure 171. Comparison of observed and predicted &xms mean retained catch size comps for TCF.

Retained fishery size compositions




Figure 172. Comparison of observed and predicted male, all maturity, all shell retained catch size comps for TCF. Page 1 of 3.

TCF: male, all maturity, all shell




Figure 173. Comparison of observed and predicted male, all maturity, all shell retained catch size comps for TCF. Page 2 of 3.




Figure 174. Comparison of observed and predicted male, all maturity, all shell retained catch size comps for TCF. Page 3 of 3.