



NOAA
FISHERIES

Eastern Bering Sea pollock stock assessment


Jim Ianelli, Taina Honkalehto, Sophia Wassermann,
Nathan Lauffenburger, Carey McGilliard, Elizabeth Siddon
Alaska Fisheries Science Center



This information is distributed solely for the purpose of pre-dissemination peer review under applicable information quality guidelines. It has not been formally disseminated by the National Marine Fisheries Service and should not be construed to represent any agency determination or policy.

Pollock whole genome no juveniles


Evidence of stock structure for walleye pollock




Pollock genetics

Thanks to Ingrid Spies and Sara Schaal

Fishing conditions




Eastern Bering Sea pollock catch




Fishing conditions


Pollock CPUE (by weight)




2021 A




2021 B




2022 A




2022 B




2023 A




2023 B



pollock A season



pollock B season



pollock

200
150
100
50
20

● 50
● 100
● 150
● 200

Fishery data on pollock “condition”

- Relative






Figure 28: EBS pollock body mass (given length) anomaly (standardized by overall mean body mass at each length) by year, 1991–2023.

Survey data on pollock condition...


- Relative (from **Ecosystem Status Report**)




Body weight relative to mean




Fishery weight-at-age




Body weight relative to mean




Fishery weight-at-age




Fishery weight- at-age by season and area



Fishery catch-at-age









Survey work



FV Alaska Knight
2010-present
12th year




FV Northwest Explorer
2023
1st year



Bottom-trawl survey


- Abundance at length





Survey abundance-at-age

- Eastern Bering Sea pollock

Vertical scale is population numbers at age








Acoustic survey-NOAA Ship






Most recent
in 2022



Last year's




Other acoustic data




Opportunistic acoustic survey results








Fishery age composition fits




NMFS Bottom trawl survey...




Bottom-trawl survey fits




Acoustic-trawl survey fits



EBS pollock survey age composition data
(2022 Assessment)






AVO Index





Acoustics collected opportunistically on bottom-trawl survey




Posterior predictive distributions

- Model check...




Retrospective patterns





Retrospective patterns by cohort

Age-1 recruitment estimates by cohort




Retrospective selectivities




Historical retrospectives (from past assessments)



Last year's estimated recruitment



This year's



Outlook for recruitment?

Pollock Length adjusted Weight




Figure 62: Annual length-weight residuals from log-transformed length-weight regressions for young-of-the-year walleye pollock. The horizontal line indicates the mean of all residuals, the significance of deviations from the mean are denoted by stars above the given year, and “ns” indicates not significant. Length is total length (mm) and mass is total body wet mass (grams). The asterisk above 2023 data indicates that these are preliminary results.

Spawning biomass outlook




Figure 63: The estimated EBS pollock spawning stock biomass for model 20 last year and this with projections equal to the estimated fishing mortality from 2023.

Risk table

Page 40

These results are summarized as:				
Assessment-related	Considerations			
	Population dynamics	Environmental ecosystem	Fisheries	
Level 1: No concern	Level 1: No concern	Level 1: No concern	Level 2: Major concern	Level 1: No concern

Having a score at level 2 suggests that adjustments to the ABC may be prudent. In the past, the SSC has considered factors similar to those presented above and selected an ABC based on Tier 3 estimates. Last year the SSC requested examining Tier 2 values as an alternative. Unlike Tier 3, using Tier 2 would have a constant buffer relative to the Tier 1 value (at about 11%). Setting the ABC to Tier 3 levels provides a very large buffer but one that could be warranted given that the impact on subsequent spawning biomass levels will be much more variable and have a high probability of dropping below the target stock size and result in much reduced future ABCs under the current FMP. It is worth noting that fishing at the full Tier 1 ABC would imply a more than doubling of effort and well exceed the 2 million t groundfish catch limit. Even fishing at a full Tier 3 ABC shows there is a relatively high probability of falling below B_{MSY} values or proxies thereof. Under our standard scenarios, Alternative 3 shows trajectories if fishing effort is held equal to the recent 5-year average. It is noteworthy

Decision table description

Term	Description	Rationale
$P[F_{2024} > F_{MSY}]$	Probability that the fishing mortality in 2024 exceeds F_{MSY}	OFL definition is based on F_{MSY}
$P[B_{2025} < B_{MSY}]$	Probability that the spawning biomass in 2025 is less than B_{MSY}	B_{MSY} is a reference point target and biomass in 2021 provides an indication of the impact of 2024 fishing
$P[B_{2026} < B_{MSY}]$	Probability that the spawning biomass in 2026 is less than B_{MSY}	B_{MSY} is a reference point target and biomass in 2024 provides an indication of the impact of fishing in 2024 and 2025
$P[B_{2026} < \bar{B}]$	Probability that the spawning biomass in 2025 is less than the 1978–2023 mean	To provide some perspective of what the stock condition might be relative to historical estimates after fishing in 2024.
$P[B_{2028} < \bar{B}]$	Probability that the spawning biomass in 2028 is less than the long term mean	To provide some perspective of what the stock condition might be relative to historical estimates after fishing in 2024.
$P[B_{2028} < B_{2024}]$	Probability that the spawning biomass in 2028 is less than that estimated for 2024	To provide a medium term expectation of stock status relative to 2024 levels
$P[B_{2026} < B_{20\%}]$	Probability that the spawning biomass in 2026 is less than $B_{20\%}$	$B_{20\%}$ had been selected as a Steller Sea Lion lower limit for allowing directed fishing
$P[p_{a_5,2026} > \bar{p}_{a_5}]$	Probability that in 2026 the proportion of age 1–5 pollock in the population exceeds the long-term mean	To provide some relative indication of the age composition of the population relative to the long term mean.
$P[D_{2025} < D_{1994}]$	Probability that the diversity of ages represented in the spawning biomass (by weight) in 2025 is less than the value estimated for 1994	To provide a relative index on the abundance of different age classes in the 2025 population relative to 1994 (a year identified as having low age composition diversity)
$P[D_{2028} < D_{1994}]$	Probability that the diversity of ages represented in the spawning biomass (by weight) in 2028 is less than the value estimated for 1994	To provide a medium-term relative index on the abundance of different age classes in the population relative to 1994 (a year identified as having low age composition diversity)
$P[E_{2024} > E_{2023}]$	Probability that the theoretical fishing effort in 2024 will be greater than that estimated in 2023.	To provide the relative effort that is expected (and hence some idea of costs).

Decision table estimates



Table 34: Outcomes of decision (expressed as chances out of 100) given different 2024 catches (first row, in kt). Note that for the 2021 and later year-classes average values were assumed. Constant Fs based on the 2024 catches were used for subsequent years.

	10	850	1000	1260	1150	1300	1450	1600	Catch in kt for 2024
$P [F_{2024} > F_{MSY}]$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
$P [B_{2024} < B_{MSY}]$	9	17	20	24	22	25	28	31	
$P [B_{2025} < B_{MSY}]$	5	17	21	28	25	30	35	40	
$P [B_{2024} < \bar{B}]$	0	2	3	5	4	6	8	11	
$P [B_{2027} < \bar{B}]$	0	6	9	14	12	15	18	22	
$P [B_{2027} < B_{2023}]$	6	30	36	46	42	48	53	58	
$P [B_{2025} < B_{20\%}]$	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	1	
$P [p_{a_5,2024} > \bar{p}_{a_5}]$	7	42	48	58	54	60	64	68	
$P [D_{2024} < D_{1994}]$	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	
$P [D_{2027} < D_{1994}]$	0	1	2	4	3	4	6	8	
$P [E_{2024} > E_{2023}]$	0	4	15	43	31	47	61	71	

Some simple
projections



Tier 3-max permissible ABC

- Projections



Fishing at recent average F

- Projections



EBS pollock summary

Quantity	As estimated or specified last year for:		As estimated or recommended this year for:	
	2023	2024	2024	2025
M (natural mortality rate, ages 3+)	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3
Tier	1a	1a	1a	1a
Projected total (age 3+) biomass (t)	12,389,000 t	11,445,000 t	10,184,000 t	9,437,000 t
Projected female spawning biomass (t)	4,171,000 t	3,944,000 t	3,518,000 t	3,255,000 t
B_0	6,653,000 t	6,653,000 t	6,728,000 t	6,728,000 t
B_{msy}	2,674,000 t	2,674,000 t	2,689,000 t	2,689,000 t
F_{OFL}	0.491	0.491	0.422	0.422
$maxF_{ABC}$	0.434	0.434	0.379	0.379
F_{ABC}	0.365	0.365	0.33	0.33
OFL	3,381,000 t	4,639,000 t	3,162,000 t	3,449,000 t
$maxABC$	2,987,000 t	4,099,000 t	2,837,000 t	3,095,000 t
ABC	1,910,000 t	2,275,000 t	2,313,000 t	2,401,000 t
Status	2021	2022	2022	2023
Overfishing	No	n/a	No	n/a
Overfished	n/a	No	n/a	No
Approaching overfished	n/a	No	n/a	No