

Cost Recovery Process and Timing Draft Discussion Paper

Prepared for the North Pacific Fishery Management Council April 2025

Presented by Alicia M. Miller and Josh Keaton Alaska Regional Office

Introduction

(Section 1, pg 1)

December 2024 Council Motion:

"The Council requests a discussion paper to inform options to adjust the annual timing and processes for all of the Council's cost recovery and fee collection programs. The paper should identify inefficiencies and challenges faced by stakeholders and NMFS as well as opportunities to improve clarity, consistency, and efficiency."

- This is a DRAFT discussion paper because of limited staff availability and capacity.
- We welcome input on which challenges and potential solutions should continue to be analyzed.



Fee Collection Programs

(Section 2, pg 2)

8 Cost Recovery Programs:

- Crab Rationalization (CR) Program
- Rockfish Program (RP)
- Amendment 80 (A80)
- American Fisheries Act (AFA)
- Pacific halibut and sablefish Individual Fishing Quota (IFQ)
- Pacific Cod Trawl Cooperative (PCTC) Program
- Aleutian island Pollock (AIP)
- Community Development Quota (CDQ) Program

2 Monitoring Fee Collection Programs:

- Observer Fee
- Trawl Electronic Monitoring (EM) Fee



Summary of Fee Programs

(Table 2, page 4)

- Each program includes an administrative consequence for non-payment. For example: witholding a privilege typically a permit
- Each program uses a specific source of data for calculating the fishery volume and value and standard prices
- Most programs, the fee percentage is calculated annually (except the observer fee percentage is set is regulation)
- Most programs calculate the fishery value after the landings have occurred (retrospective), except Crab cost recovery and observer fees which establish standard prices and the fee percentage before fishing occurs (prospectively)



Summary of Fee Programs

Number of invoices, entities, and vessels in 2024

| Program | Entity Invoiced | # of Invoices | Vessels in program |
|-----------------|--|---------------|--------------------|
| IFQ | QS holders | 1,579 | 703 |
| CR | Processor representative | 14 | 38 |
| RP | Rockfish cooperative representative | 6 | 22 |
| CDQ | CDQ group representatives | 6 | 54 |
| AFA | AFA inshore cooperative representatives | 7 | 76 |
| AIP | Aleut Corporation authorized representative | 0 | 0 |
| A80 | Amendment 80 cooperative representative | 1 | 16 |
| РСТС | PCTC Program cooperative representative | 6 | 27 |
| Observer Fee | Processor representative | 102 | 873 |
| Trawl EM* | Owner of a Vessel in the trawl EM full coverage category | n/a | 69 |



Timing of Administrative Work

Administrative workload is distributed throughout the year but multiple programs overlap. The figure below shows timing starting with gathering costs and volume and value data and ends with publication of the notice of standard prices and fee percentage in the Federal Register. Payments are processed throughout the year.

| Administrative timing | April | Мау | June | July | Aug | Sept | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | April | May |
|-----------------------|-------|-----|------|------|-----|------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-------|-----|
| Crab | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| PCTC | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| AFA | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| A80 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| AIP | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| CDQ | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Trawl EM Fee | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| IFQ | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Observer Fee | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Rockfish | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |



Volume and Value (V & V) Reports (Table 1, pg 3)

NMFS uses data from six different required reports to calculate standard prices used to calculate fishery value:

- CR Registered Crab Receiver Ex-vessel V&V Report
- IFQ Registered Buyer Ex-vessel V&V Report
- Rockfish Ex-vessel V&V Report
- First Wholesale V&V Report (A80)
- Pacific Cod Ex-vessel V&V Report
- Commercial Operators Annual Report (COAR)



Challenge; IFQ Season Timing

(Section 3 pg 8)

- IFQ fishery has ended on Dec 7 each year since 2021
- Calculation of fishery value cannot happen until all landings are recorded ~1 week after season end (around Dec 15).
- Must publish the fee notice and issue invoices by Dec 31
- 1,579 IFQ Cost recovery invoices issued in 2024

| Pacific Halibut and Sablefish IFQ Program | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | April | May | June | July | Aug | Sept | Oct | Non | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | April | May | June |
|--|-----|----------------------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----------------------------------|-------|-----|------|-------|-------|--------------------|-------|-----|-------------------|-----|------|------|-------|------|------|
| Fishing Year | | | | | | | | | | 15 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Landings - Standard prices | | Volume and Value: Oct 1 - Sep 30 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Landings - Fishery value | | | | | | Fishery Value: ~ March 15 - Dec 7 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Landings - Fee assessed | | | | | | Fee | As | ses | sed | : ~ N | /larc | h 1 | 5 - 1 | Dec | 7 | | | | | | |
| Notice | | | | | | No | | | | | | | | Not | otice: By Dec 31, | | | | | | |
| Invoice | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Inv | ice | s: E | By D | ec 3 | 31 | |
| Payment Due | | | | | | | | | | | | Payment Due: Jan 3 | | | | | 31 | | | | |
| Permit Issuance | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Per | mit | s: M | ar |



Potential Solutions: IFQ Timing (Section 3.1 pg 9)

- Change or remove dates set in regulation for cost recovery process to be responsive to fishing season (flexible, potential to vary from year to year)
- Shift the timing of publishing the notice and subsequent due date for fee payments - this would maintain regulatory structure, and just shift the dates
- Offset the landings used to calculate the fee liabilities Landings occurring after a set date (Nov 15) would be billed along with landings made in the next fishing year.



Potential Solutions: IFQ Timing (Section 3.1 pg 9)

Shift the timing of publishing the notice and subsequent due date for fee payments - this would maintain regulatory structure, and just shift the dates.

Could look like:

| Pacific Halibut and Sablefish IFQ Program; later due dates | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | April | May | June | July | Aug | Sept | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | April | May | June | July | |
|--|-----|-----|------|------|------|--|---|------|------|------|-------|------|-------|-----|-----|-----|------|------|-------|------|------|------|---|
| Fishing Year | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Landings - Standard prices | | Vo | olum | e ar | nd \ | /alu | e: 0 | ct 1 | - S | ер 3 | 30 | | | | Ī | | | | | | | | |
| Landings - Fishery value | | | | | | Fis | Fishery Value: ~ March 15 - Dec 7 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Landings - Fee assessed | | | | | | Fe | e As | ses | sed | ~ N | /lard | ch 1 | 5 - 1 | Dec | 7 | | | | | | | | _ |
| Notice | | | | | | | | | | | ** * | | | | | Not | ice: | Ву | Jan | 31 | | | |
| Invoice | | | | | | Notice: By Jan 31 Invoices: By Jan 31 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Payment Due | | | | | | | Assessed: ~ March 15 - Dec 7 Notice: By Jan 31 | | | | | | | | | | | nt D | ue: | Marc | h 2 | | |
| Permit Issuance | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Per | mits | : M | ar | | |



Potential Solutions: IFQ Timing (Section 3.1 pg 9)

Offset the landings used to calculate the fee liabilities - Landings occurring after a set date (*e.g.* Nov 15 or other date) would be billed along with landings made in the next fishing year.

Could look like:

| Pacific Halibut and Sablefish IFQ Program; Officet Landings | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Mar | April | May | June | July | Aug | Sept | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | April | May | June | July |
|---|-----|--|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|------|------|------|------|-------|-----|------|--------------|------|-----------|-------|-----|------|------|
| Fishing Year | | | | | Fis | hing | j: ~ | Mar | 15 | - De | ec 7 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Landings - Standard prices | | Fishing: ~ Mar 15 - Dec 7 Volume and Value: Oct 1 - Sep 30 Fishery Value: ~ March 15 - Dec 7 Fee Assessed: Nov 15 - Dec 7, and ~ March 15 - Nov Notice Invoice in the property of the prope | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Landings - Fishery value | | | | | Fis | her | y Va | lue: | ~ N | larc | h 15 | 5 - D |)ec | 7 | | | | | | | |
| Landings - Fee assessed | | Fee | e As | sesse | ed: N | ov 1 | 5 - E |)ec | 7, a | nd - | - Ma | arch | 15 | - No | v 1 | 5 | | | | | |
| Notice | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Not | ice: | Ву | Dec | 31 | , | | |
| Invoice | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Invo | oice | s: E | By Dec 31 | | | | |
| Payment Due | | | | | | | | | | | | | - | Pay | me | nt D | ue: | Jar | 31 | | |
| Permit Issuance | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Permits: Mar | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | - | - | |



Challenge; Incomplete V&V data (Section 3.2 pg 11)

- IFQ buyers report is due Oct 15.
- Each year there is some level of non-compliance with this reporting requirement.
- Incorrect or missing information can affect standard price calculations as well as confidentiality of port specific prices.
- This creates additional administrative burden for AKR staff and/or NOAA Office for Law Enforcement to conduct outreach to assist in compliance or investigate non-compliance.
- Can delay steps in the process until a decision is made that we cannot address the issue and must move forward.
 - Some errors have greater impacts to V&V



Potential Solutions: Incomplete V&V data

(Section 3.2 pg 11)

Create an administrative consequence for failure to submit required V&V data

Modify the submission of V&V reports

- a. Timing of the reporting period and/or due dates
- b. Increase the frequency of data submission (e.g. quarterly V&V reports)



Challenge; Port Specific Standard Prices

(Section 3.3 pg 12)

- Consolidation of IFQ registered buying capacity has made it increasingly difficult to calculate monthly port-specific standard prices that are not confidential.
- Evaluating whether a price meets the confidentiality standard and subsequently adjusting price calculations is a complex programming task requiring staff time and effort during the cost recovery process.

Potential Solutions:

- 1. Calculate quarterly or annual standard prices rather than monthly
- 2. Consolidate landing value by region instead of specific port



Challenge; Use of actual prices (Section 3.4 pg 13)

- This allows individuals to adjust their fee liability calculations to account for when the standard price used for cost recovery may be different from and not reflect the exact value they received as payment for landed harvests. (Almost exclusively to adjust fee liability downward)
- Increasing use of this option (50 requests submitted for landings in 2024).
 - Depending on the request; ranges from 2 hours to multiple days. Complicated request include where permit holders select only certain landings for adjustment

Potential Solutions:

- 1. Remove this feature of the program.
- 2. Change the process to require full audit of all landings rather than specific landings as requested.



Challenge; A80 and CDQ Projected Catch (Section 3.7 pg 15)

- The catch and ex-vessel value for landings in the last two months of the fishing year are estimated.
- Key staff necessary for these estimates are busy with annual harvest specification processes.
- Increased complexity in programming and additional workload for the issuance of estimated fee liabilities.

Potential Solutions:

 Change or remove the dates set in regulations similar to changes considered to address IFQ season timing.



Challenge; BSAI Crab & AFA

(Section 3.5 and 3.6 pg 14 & 15)

- Crab season dates can vary year to year creating timing challenges with the cost recovery administrative tasks similar to the IFQ Program.
- Since 2018, NMFS has not collected cost recovery fees from the AFA Catcher/Processor and Mothership sectors due to lawsuits.

Changes are necessary to address these challenges, however additional work is needed develop solutions that address these challenges.



Process Streamlining

With 10 fee collection programs, the annual administrative burden is substantive.

Potential Solutions:

- Set cost recovery fee percentages in regulation rather than published annually
 - Could reduce time constraints for annual administrative processes and could provide advance notice to the fleets and Agency about anticipated fee liabilities.
 - Maintain the public process for clarifying overall costs and evaluating program performance through the current annual reporting process.
- Consolidate cost recovery regulations within part 679



Summary and Next Steps

- NMFS will continue reviewing and evaluating options to streamline and improve existing cost recovery and fee programs.
- NMFS requests input and feedback on the challenges and potential solutions that should continue to be analyzed.
- At a minimum, NMFS recommends continuing to analyze changes to the IFQ Program cost recovery process to address the extended seasons and timing of the end of year process as discussed in section 3.1.

