## Pacific ocean percf

Changes in projected biomass and catch for 2016

|  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Actual or estimated |  |  |  |
| 2014 catch | Estimated in 2014 (t) | in 2015 $(\mathrm{t})$ | Percent change |
| 2015 catch | 31,162 | 32,383 | 3.9 |
| 2016 Biomass | 30,029 | 32,029 | 6.7 |
| 2016 ABC | 561,090 | 557,886 | -0.6 |
| 2016 OFL | 33,550 | 33,320 | -0.7 |
|  | 40,809 | 40,529 | -0.7 |

Change between 2015 and 2016

|  | Percent <br> 2015 <br> change |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| ABC | 34,988 | 33,320 | -4.8 |
| OFL | 42,588 | 40,529 | -4.8 |
| Biomass (t) | 577,967 | 557,886 | -3.5 |

Methodology for estimating 2015 catch
$\left.\begin{array}{l}\begin{array}{l}2015 \text { catch } \\ \text { throughend of } \\ \text { September }\end{array}+\left[\begin{array}{lll}\text { Remaining } \mathcal{A B C} \\ \text { afterend of } \\ \text { September }\end{array}\right. \\ \begin{array}{l}\text { Xroportion of } \\ \text { remaining Oct }-\mathcal{D e c} \\ \text { ABC captured in } \\ \text { recent2 years }\end{array}\end{array}\right]$

Estimated 2016 catch $(30,579$ t) was assumed to be obtaine drom fisfing at the same rate as estimated for 2015 (0.082).

## $\mathcal{P O} \mathcal{P}$ summary table

| Quantity | As estimated or specified last year for: |  | As estimated or recommended this year for: |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2015 | 2016 | 2016 | 2017 |
| $M$ (natural mortality rate) | 0.062 | 0.062 | 0.062 | 0.062 |
| Tier | 3a | 3a | 3a | 3 a |
| Projected total (age 3+) biomass | 577,967 | 561,090 | 557,886 | 542,162 |
| Female spawning biomass (t) |  |  |  |  |
| Projected | 234,426 | 223,744 | 222,369 | 211,339 |
| $B_{100 \%}$ | 423,008 | 423,008 | 423,008 | 423,008 |
| $B_{40 \%}$ | 169,203 | 169,203 | 169,203 | 169,203 |
| $B_{35 \%}$ | 148,053 | 148,053 | 148,053 | 148,053 |
| $F_{\text {OFL }}$ | 0.109 | 0.109 | 0.109 | 0.109 |
| $\operatorname{maxF}_{A B C}$ | 0.089 | 0.089 | 0.089 | 0.089 |
| $F_{A B C}$ | 0.089 | 0.089 | 0.089 | 0.089 |
| OFL (t) | 42,558 | 40,809 | 40,529 | 38,589 |
| $\operatorname{maxABC}(\mathrm{t})$ | 34,988 | 33,550 | 33,320 | 31,724 |
| $\mathrm{ABC}(\mathrm{t})$ | 34,988 | 33,550 | 33,320 | 31,724 |
|  | As determined last year for: |  | As determined this year for: |  |
| Status | 2013 | 2014 | 2014 | 2015 |
| Overfishing | No | n/a | No | n/a |
| Overfished | n/a |  | n/a | No |
| Approaching overfished | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |  | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | No |

## Area apportionment

|  | WAI | CAI | EAI | EBS |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Estimated 2014 biomass |  |  |  |  |
| (from random effects model) | 311,678 | 236,416 | 254,448 | 268,506 |
| Proportion of biomass | $29.1 \%$ | $22.1 \%$ | $23.8 \%$ | $25.1 \%$ |

Recommended apportionment based on random effects model

## Northern rockfisk

Changes in projected biomass and catch for 2016

|  | Estimated in 2014 (t) | Actual or estimated in 2015 (t) | Percent change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2014 catch | 2,468 | 2,342 | -5.1 |
| 2015 catch | 2,201 | 7,589 | 244.8 |
| 2016 Biomass | 218,898 | 213,674 | -2.4 |
| 2016 ABC | 12,295 | 11,960 | -2.7 |
| 2016 OFL | 15,100 | 14,689 | -2.7 |

Change between 2015 and 2016
Percent

|  | 2015 | 2016 change |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| ABC | 12,488 | 11,960 | -4.2 |
| OFL | 15,337 | 14,689 | -4.2 |
| Biomass (t) | 218,901 | 213,674 | -2.4 |

Recent catch of $\mathcal{B S} \mathcal{A}$ I northern rockfish (2015 is through Sept 30)


Methodology for estimating 2015 catch
$\left.\begin{array}{l}\begin{array}{l}2015 \text { catch } \\ \begin{array}{l}\text { throughend of } \\ \text { September }\end{array}\end{array}+\left[\begin{array}{lll}\text { Catch from Oct } \\ 1-17 & \begin{array}{l}\text { Estimate of length } \\ \text { of time catch will } \\ \text { continue (relative to } \\ \text { the period from Oct } \\ 1-17)\end{array}\end{array}\right] \\ 6,491 t\end{array}+\left[\begin{array}{lll}549 t & X & 2\end{array}\right]=7,589 t\right]$

Estimated 2016 catch $(7,263$ t) was assumed to be obtaine d from fisfing at the same rate as estimated for 2015 (0.042).

## $\mathcal{N}$ orthern rockfisf summary table

| Quantity | As estimated or specified last year for: |  | As estimated or recommended this year for: |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2015 | 2016 | 2016 | 2017 |
| $M$ (natural mortality rate) | 0.049 | 0.049 | 0.049 | 0.049 |
| Tier | 3a | 3a | 3a | 3a |
| Projected total (age 3+) biomass | 218,901 | 218,898 | 213,674 | 209,369 |
| Female spawning biomass (t) |  |  |  |  |
| Projected | 94,873 | 93,540 | 91,648 | 88,326 |
| $B_{100 \%}$ | 144,420 | 144,420 | 144,420 | 144,420 |
| $B_{40 \%}$ | 57,768 | 57,768 | 57,768 | 57,768 |
| $B_{35 \%}$ | 50,547 | 50,547 | 50,547 | 50,547 |
| $F_{\text {OFL }}$ | 0.087 | 0.088 | 0.087 | 0.087 |
| $\operatorname{maxF}_{A B C}$ | 0.070 | 0.070 | 0.070 | 0.070 |
| $F_{A B C}$ | 0.070 | 0.070 | 0.070 | 0.070 |
| OFL (t) | 15,337 | 15,100 | 14,689 | 14,085 |
| $\operatorname{maxABC}(\mathrm{t})$ | 12,488 | 12,295 | 11,960 | 11,468 |
| $\mathrm{ABC}(\mathrm{t})$ | 12,488 | 12,295 | 11,960 | 11,468 |
|  | As determine | year for: | As determi | year for: |
| Status | 2013 | 2014 | 2014 | 2015 |
| Overfishing | No | n/a | No | n/a |
| Overfished | n/a | No | n/a | No |
| Approaching overfished | n/a | No | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | No |

Increased 2015 catch fias occurred in the east $\mathcal{A} I$, and we st $\mathcal{A} I$

$\mathcal{N}$ orthern rockfish catch by target fishery (from observer tows)


Spatialdistribution of northernsurvey rockfish biomass


## This story should sound familiar . . .


"It's like deja-vu, all over again"

Yogi Berra,
1925-2015

Fits of random effects model to survey biomass estimates






Comparison of subarea biomass from random effects model and weighted average

Smoothed survey biomass estimates

|  | WAI | CAI | EAI | SBS |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | EBS slope

Proportion of smoothed biomass

| weighted average | 0.73 | 0.14 | 0.13 | 0.0028 | 0.0000 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Re model | 0.71 | 0.16 | 0.12 | 0.0048 | 0.0000 |

Area specific exploitation rates for $\mathcal{B S} \mathcal{A I} \mathcal{N}$ Northern rockfish maybe we should revisit this


## From $2012 \mathcal{B S} \mathcal{A I}$ Plan Team minutes

"The Team discussed whe ther setting are a $\mathcal{A B C s}$ would be likely to change the amount actually caught. Mary Furuness said that it would not, but it would complicate management. S he also suggested that there might be other ways to make area exploitation rates less disproportionate (e.g., getting industry to agree on voluntary measures).

We would like to incorporate management considerations more explicitly in the process, to be able to weigh more effectively the costs and benefits of management outcomes.

Comparison of subarea biomass from random effects model and weighted ave rage, with potential $\mathcal{A B C s}$

Smoothed survey biomass estimates

|  | WAI | CAI | EAI | SBS |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | EBS slope

Proportion of smoothed biomass

| weighted average | 0.73 | 0.14 | 0.13 | 0.0028 | 0.0000 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Re model | 0.71 | 0.16 | 0.12 | 0.0048 | 0.0000 |



## $\mathcal{B S} \mathcal{A I}$ Blackspotted/rougheye update

$\mathcal{A}$ I portion assessed with age-structured model
EBS portion assessed with $\mathcal{T i e r} 5$ methods
Changes in projected biomass and catch for 2016 for $\mathcal{A} I$ portion
Actual or estimated

|  |  | Estimated in 2014 $(\mathrm{t})$ | in $2015(\mathrm{t})$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Percent change |  |  |  |
| 2014 catch | 192 | 173 | -9.9 |
| 2015 catch | 282 | 146 | -48.2 |
| 2016 Biomass | 42,445 | 42,605 | 0.4 |
| 2016 ABC | 522 | 528 | 1.1 |
| 2016 OFL | 642 | 649 | 1.1 |

Change between 2015 and 2016 for $\mathcal{A l}$ I portion
Percent

|  | 2015 | 2016 change |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| ABC | 420 | 528 | 25.7 |
| OFL | 516 | 649 | 25.8 |
| Biomass (t) | 40,391 | 42,605 | 5.5 |
| Fabc | 0.032 | 0.037 | 15.6 |

## Methodology for estimating 2015 AI catch



Estimated 2016 catch $(183$ t) was assumed to be obtained from fisfing at the average of the $\mathcal{F}$ estimated for 2014 and 2015 (0.0126).

## Summary table for $\mathcal{A l}$ portion of $\mathcal{B S} \mathcal{A} I$ 6 Cackspotted/rougheye

| Quantity | As estimated or specified last year for: |  | As estimated or recommended this year for: |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2015 | 2016 | 2016 | 2017 |
| $M$ (natural mortality rate) | 0.033 | 0.033 | 0.033 | 0.033 |
| Tier | 3b | 3b | 3b | 3b |
| Projected total (age 3+) biomass | 40,391 | 42,445 | 42,605 | 44,682 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Projected | 7,932 | 9,002 | 9,076 | 10,307 |
| $B_{100 \%}$ | 28,507 | 28,507 | 28,507 | 28,507 |
| $B_{40 \%}$ | 11,403 | 11,403 | 11,403 | 11,403 |
| $B_{35 \%}$ | 9,977 | 9,977 | 9,977 | 9,977 |
| $F_{\text {OFL }}$ | 0.039 | 0.045 | 0.045 | 0.051 |
| $\operatorname{maxF}_{A B C}$ | 0.032 | 0.036 | 0.037 | 0.042 |
| $F_{A B C}$ | 0.032 | 0.036 | 0.037 | 0.042 |
| OFL (t) | 516 | 642 | 649 | 811 |
| $\operatorname{maxABC}(\mathrm{t})$ | 420 | 522 | 528 | 661 |
| $\mathrm{ABC}(\mathrm{t})$ | 420 | 522 | 528 | 661 |
| Status | As determined last year for: |  | As determined this year for: |  |
|  | 2014 | 2015 | 2015 | 2016 |
| Overfishing | No | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | No | n/a |
| Overfished | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | No | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | No |
| Approaching overfished | n/a | No | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | No |

## Summary table for $\mathcal{B S}$ portion of $\mathcal{B S} \mathcal{A} I$ 6 Cackspotted/rougheye

| Quantity | As estimated or specified last year for: |  | As estimated or recommended this year for: |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2015 | 2016 | 2016 | 2017 |
| $M$ (natural mortality rate) | 0.033 | 0.033 | 0.033 | 0.033 |
| Tier | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Biomass (t) | 1,339 | 1,339 | 1,339 | 1,339 |
| $F_{\text {OFL }}$ | 0.033 | 0.033 | 0.033 | 0.033 |
| $\operatorname{maxF}_{A B C}$ | 0.0248 | 0.0248 | 0.0248 | 0.0248 |
| $F_{A B C}$ | 0.0248 | 0.0248 | 0.0248 | 0.0248 |
| OFL (t) | 44 | 44 | 44 | 44 |
| $\operatorname{maxABC}(\mathrm{t})$ | 33 | 33 | 33 | 33 |
| ABC (t) | 33 | 33 | 33 | 33 |
|  | As determined | ear for: | As determined $t$ | ear for: |
| Status | 2013 | 2014 | 2014 | 2015 |
| Overfishing | No | n/a | No | n/a |

Area apportionments

| Estimated biomass from | random effects model Area |  |  |  | SBS |  | EBS slope |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | WAI | CAI |  |  |  |  |  |
| Estimated biomass (from RE model) | 566 | 3,152 | 1,425 | 5,143 |  | 321 | 1,018 |
| Proportion of AI biomass | 11.0\% | 61.3\% | 27.7\% |  |  |  |  |

Potential $\mathfrak{A B C s}$ for $\mathcal{B S}$ AI subare as

|  | WAI | CAI | EAI | EBS |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| ABC (2016) | 58 | 324 | 146 | 33 |
| ABC (2017) | 73 | 405 | 183 | 33 |

Overall summary table for $\mathcal{B S} \mathcal{A}$ I 6lackspotted/rougheye

|  | Total |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Area/subarea | Year | Biomass (t) $^{1}$ | OFL | ABC | TAC | Catch $^{2}$ |
| BSAI | 2014 | 30,476 | 505 | 475 | 475 | 197 |
|  | 2015 | 41,780 | 560 | 453 | 349 | 173 |
|  | 2016 | 43,944 | 693 | 561 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
|  | 2017 | 46,201 | 855 | 694 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
|  | 2014 |  | 239 | 239 | 99 |  |
| Western/Central | 2015 | 304 | 200 | 112 |  |  |
| Aleutian Islands | 2016 |  | 382 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |  |
|  | 2017 |  | 478 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |  |
|  | 2014 |  | 177 | 177 | 98 |  |
| Eastern AI/Eastern | 2015 |  | 149 | 149 | 61 |  |
| Bering Sea | 2016 |  | 179 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |  |
|  | 2017 |  | 216 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |  |

Survey biomass estimates of $\mathcal{B S} / \mathcal{R E}$ in the $E \mathcal{B S}$



Catch of Glackspotted/rougheye rockfish in the EBS


Catch of 6lackspotted/rougheye rockfish in EBS by fishery


Observed WAI catch of 6lackspotted/rougheye rockfish by 'target' fisfiery and $\mathcal{A} 80$ status,


Me andepths and blackspotted/rougheye bycatcfrates in observed $\mathcal{P O} \mathscr{P}$ tows in the $\mathcal{W} \mathcal{A} I$


$\mathcal{B y c a t c h}$ rate, $\mathcal{W} \mathcal{A} I$ tows, $\mathcal{P O P}$ target fishery


