Joint Plan Team discussion

- No JPT recommendations per se but several points of discussion relative to pros and cons of several proposals for consideration:
 - Rolling over specifications for stocks that have trivial changes to harvest specifications (ABC, OFL)
 - alleviate the need for going through the entire Team and SSC review process for that assessment cycle and streamline the specification process for those stocks
 - Question of what defines 'trivial changes'
 - Some concerns this would not provide efficiencies for assessment authors, as the same amount of work
 would be required to determine the level of change for projected biological reference points. Streamlining
 the projection code and processes could potentially accomplish the same efficiencies
 - Partial assessments, projections, etc. are a lower priority for time savings and increased efficiency for authors. Addressing other areas of focus and priorities (e.g., full assessments, SAFE Guidelines, etc.) could provide greater benefits. The Teams agreed and pointed out the need to consider vulnerability and the need to be proactive with climate ready assessments.
 - The Teams agreed that the SAFE Guidelines should be revisited
 - Level of review should be consistent with number of changes
 - Careful consideration should be given to decreased frequencies for high value stocks

