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### 1.0 Introduction

The International Pacific Halibut Commission (IPHC) approves catch limits for Pacific halibut each year for Regulatory Areas in Alaska. In IPHC Regulatory Areas 2C and 3A, which roughly correspond with Southeast and Southcentral Alaska, these catch limits are allocated between the commercial longline fishery and the sport charter fishery. The allocations are specified in the North Pacific Fishery Management Council's Halibut Catch Sharing Plan (CSP) ${ }^{1}$. The allocations vary with the magnitude of the overall catch limit, such that the percentage allocated to the charter sector increases slightly as catch limits decrease. The CSP also specifies that release mortality will count toward the sector's allocation. The CSP further specifies that, effective in 2014, charter harvest accounting will be based on numbers of halibut reported harvested in Alaska Department of Fish and Game (ADF\&G) saltwater guide logbooks.
The charter fishery in Areas 2C and 3A is managed under regulations reviewed and recommended each year by the North Pacific Fishery Management Council (Council) and approved and published by the IPHC and NOAA as annual management measures. As the first step in this process, the Council's Charter Halibut Management Committee met October 20, 2023, to develop alternative management measures for analysis by the ADF\&G for the 2024 season. ADF\&G staff provided preliminary estimates of charter harvest and release mortality for the 2023 season to committee members prior to the meeting. In Area 2C, electronic reporting of trips using eLogbook became mandatory in 2021; therefore, logbook data for all trips that were submitted prior to October $3^{\text {rd }}, 2023$, were used for preliminary estimates. In recent years, no harvest was reported in Area 2C after October $15^{\text {th }}$. In Area 3A, where use of paper logbooks is still widespread, the preliminary estimates were based on logbook data for trips through July $31^{\text {st }}, 2023$. Estimates will be finalized by fall of 2024 once all logbook data are entered and edited.

At the time of the October meeting, the 2023 preliminary reported harvest in Area 2C for the charter fishery was 83,605 halibut with an estimated average net weight of 9.41 lb (Bowman et al. 2023). The Area 2C preliminary estimate of charter removals was 0.813 million pounds (Mlb), including an estimated 0.026 Mlb of release mortality. The preliminary estimate of charter removals was $1.6 \%$ over the 0.800 Mlb allocation. Charter regulations in 2C included a one-fish bag limit, a reverse slot limit allowing for harvest of fish less than or equal to 40 inches or greater than or equal to 80 inches (U40O80), and Monday closures starting July $24^{\text {th }}$.

In Area 3A, an estimated 153,337 halibut were harvested with an average weight of 10.09 lb (Bowman et al. 2023) when preliminary estimates were reported to the Charter Halibut Management Committee in October. The preliminary estimate of charter removals for Area 3A was 1.556 Mlb , including 0.009 Mlb of release mortality. The preliminary estimate was $17.7 \%$ under the allocation of 1.89 Mlb . Charter regulations in 3A included a two-fish bag limit of which one fish could be any size and the second must be less than or equal to 28 inches, no harvest of halibut on Wednesdays, no harvest of halibut on nine Tuesdays, a limit of one trip per vessel per day, and a limit of one trip per Charter Halibut Permit (CHP) per day.

[^0]The Charter Committee considered the performance of last year's measures, and in light of recent trends in effort, numbers of halibut harvested by charter anglers, average weight of halibut, halibut abundance, and economic considerations, identified the following measures for analysis for 2024:

Area 2C (all options include a one-fish bag limit):

- Reverse Slot ranging from $35-50$ inches on the low end and $50-80$ inches on the upper end.
a. Additional column at the end of each row showing the amount of removals absorbed by release mortality when upper slot is 80 inches.
- Reverse Slot with day closures with savings in removals displayed for each day of closure.
a. Analyzed for each day from May 15 - September 15 or for the entire season
b. Analyzed for lower slot limits ranging from 32 inches to 50 inches, and an upper slot limit of 80 inches.
c. Include results as an excel workbook so members of the CHMC can select different closure day combinations or date range combinations and determine removals.
- Differential Reverse Slot displayed in removals.
a. Analyzed for lower slot limits ranging from 40-45 inches at the beginning of the season, changing to a range of $35-40$ inches for the end of the season, and an upper slot limit of 80 inches throughout the season.
b. Analyzed to change lower slot limits on July 1, July 15, and August 1.

Area 3A (all options include, unless otherwise noted, a two-fish bag limit with a maximum size limit of 28 inches on one fish and one fish of any size, one trip per vessel and one trip per CHP per day, and all Wednesdays closed to retention of halibut):

- Day of the week closures on Tuesdays from June 1 - August 31 or for the entire season;
- Maximum size limits of $26-32$ inches on one fish;
- Annual limits of 2-4 fish; and
- Any combination of the above management measures.

This analysis provides information to stakeholders and the Council to assist them in selecting management measures likely to keep total charter removals within their allocations. The charter allocations will be derived from catch limits determined by the IPHC at their Annual Meeting in January 2024. The charter allocations will not be known when the Council is expected to make its recommendations in December 2023. It is recommended that the Council include contingencies to accommodate adoption of a range of catch limits.

The IPHC's 2023 stock assessment results were made available to the public on November $20^{\text {th }}$. There are no Regulatory Area TCEYs to use as reference points for the analyses for the 2C and 3A charter management measures; still, there are several reference points that the Council may wish to consider in making recommendations for 2024:

- The Coastwide TCEY in 2023 was 36.97 Mlb.
- The 2023 Stock Assessment estimated a 3-year surplus TCEY for of 39.1 Mlb;
- The TCEY at the reference fishing intensity $\left(\mathrm{F}_{43 \%}\right)$ is estimated to be 48.9 Mlb .

Updated estimates of the commercial fishery CPUE in recent years were lower than previously estimated and resulted in an $11 \%$ decrease to the spawning biomass compared to what was estimated at the end of 2022. While the time series shifted down, the spawning biomass trend remains relatively stable. The 2012- and 2014-year classes continue to be relatively strong and will likely help maintain stability in the
stock for the foreseeable future. The 2017- and 2018-year classes also appear to be strong, though it is too soon to determine how these year classes will impact the future spawning biomass.

In addition to the Coastwide TCEY the Council may wish to consider changes in the stock distribution as estimated by the IPHC's Fishery Independent Setline Survey. In 2023, survey results estimated that 26\% of the stock was in Region 2, representing the highest proportion of the stock ever to be estimated in this Region. Further, in Regulatory Area 2C, the survey estimated no change in O32 (halibut $\geq 32$-inches) CPUE. In 2023, survey results estimated that $45 \%$ of stock was in Region 3, representing the lowest proportion of the stock ever to be estimated in this Region. For Regulatory Area 3A, the survey estimated an $8 \%$ decrease in O32 CPUE. In recent years, distribution procedures have considered the distribution of O32 biomass among Regulatory Areas, in addition to other factors such as relative harvest rates, socioeconomic considerations, international agreements, and both survey and fishery CPUE when determining Regulatory Area TCEYs.

Considering the paucity of information on 2024 catch limits and distribution to Regulatory Areas, we have used the 2023 allocations as reference points for the 2024 charter management measures. Results presented here are within the context of allocations set for 2023:

| IPHC Area | 2023 <br> Allocation <br> $(\mathrm{Mlb})$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2C | 0.80 |
| 3A | 1.89 |

This analysis projects total charter fishery removals under the current (status quo) charter fishery regulations in each Regulatory Area. As shown below, under current regulations the projected charter removal in 2024 for Area 2C is 0.941 Mlb . The projected removal for Area 3A is 1.698 Mlb .

|  | Projected Status <br> Quo Charter <br> Aemovals (Mlb) | Status Quo TCEY <br> Difference (Mlb) <br> (2023 Allocation <br> -2024 Projection) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2C | 0.865 | -0.065 |
| 3A | 1.695 | 0.195 |

For consistency with analyses reported in recent years, the analyses included in this report generally follow previously reported methods (Webster and Powers 2018, 2019, and 2020; Webster, Jevons, and Powers 2021; and King, Webster, and Jevons 2022). The analyses cover a range of alternatives as proposed by the Charter Halibut Management Committee to allow stakeholders, the Council, and the IPHC to select the desired management measures to meet the charter allocation for each Area. Where applicable, results reference candidate measures that result in projected charter removals within the 2023 allocation.

### 2.0 General Methods

### 2.1 Definitions and Basic Calculations

Throughout this analysis, the term "harvest" means the number of halibut killed and landed in the charter fishery. "Yield" is the harvest expressed in units of weight. "Release mortality" refers to halibut that die as a result of stress or injury from being caught and then released and is expressed in units of weight. Finally, "removals" refers to all halibut killed in the sport fishery, including harvest and release mortality, and is measured in units of weight. Weight is based on length data from harvested halibut sampled at ports and the length-weight relationship developed by IPHC (Webster and Stewart 2022). Removals are generally projected from harvest, average weight, and release mortality as follows:

```
Harvest \((\) no. fish \()=\) Effort \((\) angler days \() \times\) HPUE \((\) harvest per angler day \()\),
```

Yield $(l b)=$ Harvest $\times$ AverageNetWeight $(l b)$, and
Removals $(l b)=$ Yield $(l b) \times r(l b)$
where $r$ is the release mortality expansion factor.
In prior years, in IPHC Area 2C the release mortality expansion factor was estimated as a function of the lower limit of the reverse slot limit. It was noted that the strength of this correlation has decreased steadily and there no longer appears to be a meaningful relationship between estimated release mortality and the lower reverse slot limit. Therefore, Area 2C and Area 3A release mortality was forecast as the 5-year average ratio of estimated release mortality to estimated yield in each respective area:

$$
r(l b)=1+[\text { ReleaseMortality }(l b) / \text { Yield }(l b)]
$$

which for 2023 is 1.043 in Area 2C and 1.008 in Area 3A.

### 2.2 Calculations by Subarea

All calculations for Area 2C and Area 3A were done by Subarea and then summed to obtain yield estimates for each Regulatory Area. Analyses were done at the Subarea level because many of the variables analyzed (harvest, effort, average weight, etc.) vary substantially by Subarea.
There are six Subareas in Area 2C and eight Subareas in Area 3A (Table 2C.1and Table 3A.7, Figure 1). With few exceptions, the Subareas correspond to ADF\&G sport fishery management areas as well as the reporting areas used for the ADF\&G Statewide Harvest Survey (SWHS, mail survey of sport fishing). The Juneau and Haines/Skagway Areas were combined because the Haines/Skagway Area is not sampled for average weight and harvests are quite small. SWHS Area J is split into three Subareas: Eastern Prince William Sound (EPWS), Western Prince William Sound (WPWS), and the North Gulf Coast (NG). Likewise, Cook Inlet (SWHS Area P) is split into Central Cook Inlet (CCI) and Lower Cook Inlet (LCI) Subareas. These SWHS areas were split into Subareas such that the landings in each Subarea could be matched to estimates of average weight from port sampling. ADF\&G obtained length measurements from harvested halibut and interviewed anglers and charter captains in at least one port in each Subarea. In addition, SWHS Area G (Glacier Bay) is divided into the 2C and 3A portions using statistical areas reported during biological sampling and in saltwater guide logbooks.

### 2.3 Harvest Forecasts

Time series methods are used to forecast harvest per unit effort (HPUE) in both Areas. Effort is measured in angler days; any days when bottomfish hours or bottomfish statistical areas were recorded in the logbook or halibut were harvested are considered days with halibut effort, permitting that day was open to harvest of halibut. Forecasts are inherently uncertain because they rely only on past data, which are not necessarily indicative of future trends. Time series forecasts can't be used in all instances because they assume that the same underlying processes are in place as those that generated the historical data.

Therefore, recent regulation changes or social/economic conditions may bias a forecast or render it unsuitable for other regulatory scenarios. Time series methods used in this report include simple and double exponential smoothing models using SAS/ETS ${ }^{\text {TM }}{ }^{2}$ software. Simple exponential models have a single parameter representing the level of the estimate and typically fit best to data without a clear trend. Double exponential models have a parameter for level and a parameter for trend, and typically fit best to data with a trend. Both models contain a smoothing weight, the value of which determines how much weight is given to more recent observations. The smoothing weights are optimized to minimize one-stepahead prediction errors over the entire time series. Generally, the stronger the trend and lower the variability, the higher the smoothing weight and the more emphasis is placed on recent observations. Generally, both simple and double exponential models were run for each time series and the forecasts with the smallest AICc value (Akaike Information Criterion, corrected for small sample size) were selected.

For Area 2C, there was a significant change in how effort is managed when day closures were implemented in 2023. This was the first time that days have been closed in this area. This disruption in the time series, therefore, did not allow us to forecast for effort in 2024 as was done in the past. So, the preliminary estimates of effort for 2023 were used as the best indication of status quo effort for 2024. 2024 harvest forecasts were calculated for each Subarea as the product of the effort and HPUE forecasts.

Simple exponential and double exponential forecasts were generated for 2023 HPUE using logbook data for 2009-2023. 2020 and 2021 were omitted from all Areas due to the impacts of COVID-19 on recreational fishing practices during that period. Simple exponential models were used for all Areas except for the Area EF HPUE forecast.

In 3A, there were substantial and incremental changes in regulations over recent years that specifically targeted fishing effort including vessel trip limits, CHP trip limits, closing days to fishing, and annual limits. Therefore, the 2023 estimate of effort in 3A was assumed as the status quo effort for 2024 as has been the practice in recent years. In addition, implementation of the first size limits in Area 3A in 2014 resulted in a marked decline in the proportion of the charter halibut harvest made up of second fish in the bag limit (Figure 4). The largest decreases were in Subareas with the highest average weights (Glacier Bay and Yakutat). In other words, at ports with large halibut available, fewer anglers harvested a second fish, preferring instead to focus on harvesting one large fish. The decrease in retention of a second fish by anglers caused HPUE to decline as well (Figure 3). However, the areawide proportion of second fish retained continued to decline every year through 2019 even though changes in size limits and annual limits were quite minor. In 2020, the proportion of second fish in the harvest increased in all 3 A ports and remained high in 2021. Areawide, HPUE was likely impacted by the regulations implemented in response to the COVID-19 pandemic in both 2020 and 2021, therefore, forecasts were generated for HPUE using logbook data for 2009-2019 and 2022-2023 for all Subareas in Area 3A (Table 3A.8, Figure 3).

### 2.4 Accounting for Release Mortality of Halibut

Under the CSP, the charter halibut allocation includes total removals by the charter sector, including harvest and release mortality. All sizes of release mortality have been estimated for 2013-2023 for inclusion in the IPHC's annual stock assessment as part of sport fishery removals. Estimation methods are documented in Webster and Buzzee (2020) and in ADF\&G's annual reports to the IPHC ${ }^{3}$.
The numbers and average weight of released fish are expected to vary with the regulations (e.g., types of size limits, bag limits, annual limits). For example, anglers would be expected to release more fish under a one-fish bag limit than a two-fish bag limit as they search for the largest fish possible to retain. The average weight of released fish would be expected to be higher under maximum size limits or reverse slot

[^1]limits than under a minimum size limit, because more of the released fish would be large. On the other hand, the number of fish released is likely to be higher under a minimum than maximum size limit because smaller fish are relatively more abundant and more likely to be caught. Under reverse slot limits, the amount of release mortality would be expected to vary with the sizes and range of the protected slot. A wide protected slot would likely result in more released fish than a narrow slot, and a higher protected slot would result in a higher average weight of released fish. Under annual limits, both the number of fish and average weight of released fish would likely increase as annual limits are made more restrictive. Seasonal or daily closures will also increase the total number of released fish.

Previously in Area 2C, under reverse slot limits, the ratio of release mortality to charter yield (in pounds) showed a strong correlation to the lower bound of the reverse slot limit, and a linear regression model was used to determine release mortality inflation factors. Recently, however, the strength of the correlation has decreased. For 2024, a 5 -year average of the ratio of release mortality to charter yield. Under status quo regulations, the predicted 2024 ratio of release mortality to harvested halibut is 0.043 .

In Area 3A, the ratio of release mortality to charter yield has generally decreased over time, mostly due to a decrease in the number of released fish rather than to changes in the average weight of released fish. The ratio was 0.018 in 2013, and then decreased steadily from 0.022 in 2014 to 0.006 in 2023. For 2024 projections, the 5 -year average of 0.008 was applied to yield to account for release mortality under the status quo management measures.

### 3.0 Area 2C Management Measures

### 3.1 Status Quo Forecast of the Number of Fish Harvested

Status quo measures for Area 2C include a one-fish bag limit, aU40O80 reverse slot size limit, and closed Mondays beginning on July 24. The best forecast for effort in 2C in 2024 is the status quo management measures is the current year's effort. HPUE is predicted to increase slightly in all ports (Table 3A.8). The 2024 status quo effort forecast for Area 2C is 114,695 angler-days, the weighted average HPUE forecast is 0.73 halibut per angler-day, and the harvest forecast is 83,487 halibut, with a $95 \%$ margin of error ( $\pm 2$ standard errors) of $\pm 2,449$ fish (Table 2C.3). This is a slight decrease from the updated preliminary harvest for 2023 of 84,920 halibut.

### 3.2 Reverse Slot Limit

### 3.2.1 Approach

Reverse slot size limits have been used to manage the Area 2C charter fishery since 2012. The goal of the reverse slot limit is to control the average weight of the harvest by requiring retained fish to be either below a lower size limit or above an upper size limit. The reverse slot size limit functions mostly as a maximum size limit, while still preserving the opportunity for anglers to retain exceptionally large fish. The charter industry and the Council have recommended reverse slot size limits because they effectively control average weight without severely impacting angler demand under a one-fish bag limit, thus preserving charter revenues in the face of restrictions.

Average weight under reverse slot limits was predicted using the same methods used for 2014-2023. Briefly, this procedure fixes the proportion of harvest above the upper size limit equal to the proportion in 2010, the last year without a size limit. The proportion of harvest below the lower size limit is assigned the remainder. Average weight is then estimated as a weighted mean of the average weight of fish above and below the upper and lower limits in 2010, where the weighting factors are the respective proportions of harvest above and below those limits. All estimates of average weight were adjusted to account for the updated length-weight relationship in all 2 C analyses.

Average weights estimated from the fishery in 2019-2023 were compared to the 2010 predicted average weights for the size limits that were in place at the time. The average weights estimated from the fishery included any illegally harvested fish in the protected size slot between the lower and upper size limits (illegal-size fish made up an estimated $0.3 \%$ to $1.6 \%$ of the Area 2 C harvest each year). Errors in predicted average weights since 2019 ranged from $-9.2 \%$ to $+73.7 \%$ for individual Subareas. Predicted average weight errors were highly variable among years and among Subareas. Correction factors were developed for the predicted average weights for each Subarea. The correction factors were based on the average ratio of the predicted and observed average weights from all years and ranged from 0.64 to 1.01 among Subareas.
This analysis assumes that there are no day of the week closures for 2024. To add the harvest from closed Mondays back in, the proportional reduction of harvest by Subarea was determined for days of the week closed in 2022. 2022 was used because it is the most recent year with finalized data with all days open. This proportional reduction of harvest by Subarea for nine Mondays was then added back in to the 2024 status quo forecast of harvest.
Total charter removals were projected for 2024 under a range of reverse slot limits with lower limits ranging from 32 to 50 inches and upper limits ranging from 50 to 80 inches. Projections of charter removals include the correction factors for bias in estimation of average weight as well as an inflation factor for predicted release mortality based on the lower slot limit.

### 3.2.2 Results

The projected charter removal under the status quo size limit of U40O80is 0.943 Mlb (Table 2C.4). Projections ranged from 0.664 to 1.868 Mlb. Several options for reverse slot limits were below the 2023
allocation of 0.80 Mlb with lower slot limits of $32-35$ inches and upper limits of 68 to 74 inches. The status quo size limit of U 40 O 80 would not be within the 2023 allocation. The most liberal combinations of reverse slot limits that were below the 2023 allocation are shaded in Table 2C.4.

### 3.3 Reverse Slot Limit with Day of the Week Closures (presented as Savings Per Day)

### 3.3.1 Approach

Harvest was projected with day of the week closures in Area 2C with reverse slot limits ranging from a lower limit of 32 to 50 inches and with the upper limit fixed at 80 inches. The potential effect of closing days on each day from May $12^{\text {th }}$ through September $14^{\text {th }}$ was estimated (Table 2C.5). This year, to give decision makers more flexibility, the information is presented as savings per day. Removals can be calculated by subtracting the amount saved by closing each individual day from the estimated removals with no days closed at a given lower slot limit. The analysis relied on complete logbook data for 2022. Generally speaking, the analysis proceeded by estimating the proportional effect of each day closure in 2022 and applying those to the harvest forecast for 2024, adjusted to assume all days are open to fishing, as described in section 3.2.1. 2022 data was used because it was the most recent year with complete data that had the same or fewer closed days than 2023.

The first step was to identify dates that would be closed in 2024 . Once the specific closed dates were identified, the corresponding dates were identified from the 2022 data set for analyses, assuming the same day of the week. The analysis assumed that the proportion of harvest occurring on each day in 2022 would be eliminated if those days were closed. In other words, the harvest that occurred on those days represented the maximum potential change in harvest if those days were closed. All analyses were done by Subarea to account for differences in the structure of the charter fleet among Subareas. The total annual harvest under each scenario of closed days was compared to the harvest scenario of no closed days (2022) to estimate the proportional change in harvest for 2024.

A day of the week closure would be unlikely to achieve the estimated maximum reductions in halibut harvest because of the potential for displaced clients to book on alternate dates. We do not have sufficient information to accurately estimate the effect of a day of the week closure; we can only say that it would reduce halibut harvest by no more than the presented maximum reductions, and that the reduction would likely be less.

### 3.3.2 Results

Implementation of a daily closure on a single day of the week could be used to bring the projected removals below an allocation of 0.80 Mlb (Table 2C.5). Under the status quo reverse slot limit of U40O80, the total removals without day closures is estimated to be 0.943 Mlb . The maximum savings from one individual day closure at this slot limit is 0.012 Mlb . At minimum, all Thursdays would need to be closed to bring removals within the 2023 allocation. Alternatively, all days of a different day of the week, as well as additional closed days on a second day of the week will bring the 2 C removals below the 2023 allocation.

### 3.4 Differential Reverse Slot Limit

This management measure would allow for a mid-season change to the lower limit in the reverse slot limit.

### 3.4.1 Approach

This management measure would allow for a mid-season change to the lower limit in the reverse slot limit. Three dates for changing the size limits were evaluated: July 1, July 15 , and August 1 . The analysis evaluated a range of initial lower slot limits of 40-45 inches and ending lower slot limits of 35-40 inches. All analyses assumed an upper limit of 80 inches for the entire season.

The analysis used harvest data from 2022 and evaluated the proportion of harvest prior to the requested date of regulation change in each area. 2022 was used as the base year because it is the most recent year with complete data. Further, the proportion of harvest occurring in the early part of the season has declined, likely from a reduction in overall sport fishing effort due to changes in regulations in other fisheries that limit opportunities during the early part of the season. The proportion of harvest before and after each date in 2022 was used to estimate the harvest during that portion of the season in 2024; this was done by Subarea to account for differences in timing of the fishery. Mean weights were estimated using the same methods as described in section 3.2.1.

All projections include an inflation factor for predicted release mortality and a correction for average weight as described in previous sections.

Such a management measure could have an impact on the effort and proportion of harvest before and after the date of regulation change. It is possible that there would be an increase in effort before the date of regulation change, shifting in the distribution of effort within the year. The scale of this impact cannot be predicted with available data.

### 3.4.2 Results

The lowest removal forecast uses a U40O80 reverse slot progressing to a U35080 reverse slot limit on July 1 and would results in 0.820 Mlb of removals. It's noted that this is not within the 2C 2023 allocation. Removals under this analysis ranged from 0.820 to 1.043 Mlb .

### 4.0 Area 3A Management Measures

### 4.1 Status Quo Forecast of the Number of Fish Harvested

The status quo measures for Area 3A included a two-fish bag limit with a maximum size limit of 28 inches on one fish, no retention of halibut on Wednesdays, no retention of halibut on nine Tuesdays, and limits of one trip per vessel and one trip per CHP per day. The status quo effort forecast for Area 3A for 2024 is 105,276 angler-days, with a weighted average HPUE of 1.505 halibut per angler-day, and the harvest forecast is 158,160 halibut with a $95 \%$ margin of error ( $\pm 2$ standard errors) of 4,782 fish (Table 3A.10). This is a slight increase from the updated preliminary harvest estimate for 2023 of 157,715 halibut.

### 4.2 Forecast of the Average Weight in each Subarea

### 4.2.1 Approach

Average weight was calculated as a weighted mean of the fish of any size and the fish subject to a maximum size limit. Calculations were done for each Subarea, then aggregated to Area 3A. The average weight for the fish of any size was assumed to be the overall average weight in 2013, the last year without a size limit in Area 3A. The average weight for size-restricted fish was calculated as the average weight of fish less than or equal to the specified size limit in 2013 ( 28 inches under status quo, size limits from 26 to 32 inches were all evaluated). These average weights were then weighted by the 2024 projected proportions of harvest made up of "first" and "second" fish in an angler's bag limit. These terms do not refer to the order in which the fish were caught, but rather to whether the fish came from limits of one or two fish. For example, if an angler kept only one halibut on a trip, the fish was designated a "first" fish. If an angler kept two halibut, one was designated "first" and the other "second." The proportions of "second" fish in the harvest were forecasted for 2024 from 2010-2019 and 2022-2023 logbook data using the exponentially weighted time series models described in Section 2.3. Data from 2020 and 2021 were excluded to mimic the methods used to forecast HPUE and because the substantial increase seen in second fish in 2020 and 2021 was likely a result of regulations reflective of pandemic conditions. These forecasted proportions ranged from $47 \%$ in Cook Inlet Subareas down to $2 \%$ in the Glacier Bay Subarea, with a weighted average of $44 \%$ for Area 3A overall (Figure 4).
The average weights predicted using this method for each size limit differed from average weights observed under those size limits in past years. Factors contributing to those differences include changes since 2013 in the size distribution of the population, changes in the sizes of fish anglers are willing to keep given annual limits, and changes in the proportions of first and second fish in the harvest. Therefore, the predicted average weights were corrected, or adjusted to match current average weights. Bias corrections were based on the difference between predicted and estimated (observed) average weights for 2019-2023. Predicted average weights for past years tended to be underestimated for most Subareas, ranging from $40.5 \%$ below to $58.6 \%$ above observed values across all Subareas and years. Correction factors, based on the average ratio of the predicted and observed average weights, ranged from 0.830 to 1.233 among Subareas.

### 4.2.2 Results

The status quo forecast of average weight in 3 A is 10.63 lbs . Status quo is based on a two fish bag limit with one fish of any size and a maximum size limit of 28 inches on one fish, Wednesday closures, and nine closed Tuesdays. This is above the 2023 preliminary average weight estimate of 10.09 lbs . Estimated removals, including yield and release mortality, under status quo regulations is 1.695 Mlb and is below the 2023 allocation of 1.890 Mlb .

### 4.3 Maximum Size Limit on One Fish Combined with Tuesday closures

### 4.3.1 Approach

Charter removals were projected under maximum size limits ranging from 26 to 32 inches on the second fish and Tuesday closures from May through August or for the entire season were explored for flexibility in recommending management measures. Projected removals include a $0.8 \%$ inflation factor to account for release mortality and a correction for the average weight as described above. These projections incorporate all other status quo measures.

The analysis for Tuesday closures relied on complete logbook data for 2021, the last year in which the fishery was open on all Tuesdays and closed on Wednesdays. The analysis proceeded by estimating the proportional effect of each Tuesdays in 2021 and applying those proportional effects to the harvest forecast for 2024. The first step was to identify the dates of specific Tuesdays that would be closed in 2024 under each possible number of closed days. A range of 13 Tuesday closures during the period June $4^{\text {th }}$ - August $27^{\text {th }}, 2024$, and all Tuesdays from February - December 2024 were evaluated (Table 3A.11). Once the specific closed Tuesdays were identified, the corresponding Tuesday to each of those dates was identified from 2021. The analysis assumed the proportions of harvest occurring on each Tuesday in 2021 would be added or eliminated if those days were opened or closed, respectively. Closing all Tuesdays beyond the June $4^{\text {th }}-$ August $27^{\text {th }}$ period would only reduce harvest another $2.1 \%$ (Table 3A.10), reflecting the relatively low levels of harvest in the shoulder seasons.

As outlined in the 2C analysis of daily closures, the harvest reductions (relative to all Tuesdays open) under each scenario represent the maximum expected reduction in the number of fish harvested. A day of the week closure would be unlikely to achieve the maximum reduction in halibut harvest because of the potential for displaced anglers to book on alternate dates. We do not have sufficient information to accurately estimate the effect of a day of the week closure; we can only say it would reduce halibut harvest by no more than the presented maximum reductions, and that the reduction would likely be less.

Average weight under each size limit from 26 to 32 inches was calculated as a weighted mean of the fish of any size and the fish subject to a maximum size limit as outlined in section 4.2.1.

### 4.3.2 Results

Removal estimates for combinations of closed Tuesdays and size limits on one fish ranged from 1.513 Mlb for a 26 -inch fish with all Tuesdays closed to 2.045 Mlb for a 32 -inch fish with no Tuesdays closed (Table 3A.11). Combinations of size limits and closed days that were below the 2023 allocation of 1.89 Mlb ranged from 28 to 32 inches and zero to seven closed Tuesdays.

### 4.4 Maximum Size Limit on One Fish Combined with Annual Limits

### 4.4.1 Approach

Combinations of other size limits and annual limits were explored to provide the Council flexibility in recommending management measures. Charter removals were projected under maximum size limits ranging from 26 to 32 inches on the second fish and annual limits of two to four fish. Projected removals include a $0.8 \%$ inflation factor to account for release mortality. These projections incorporate all other status quo measures, including the charter vessel trip limit, permit trip limit, nine closed Tuesdays, and a Wednesday closure for the entire year.
Average weight under each size limit was calculated as described in section 4.2.1.
The effects of various annual limits on harvest were estimated using preliminary charter logbook data that summarized the distribution of annual harvests by individual licensed anglers from 2022 Calculations of annual harvests could not be done for youth anglers because they are not required to be licensed, and therefore harvest cannot be assigned to individuals. Youth accounted for $5.6 \%$ of charter effort in Area

3 A in 2022 . Because the proportion of youth effort was relatively low, we assume that leaving youth anglers out of the calculations did not bias estimates of the effects of implementing annual limits.

For each Subarea, harvests under each proposed annual limit were estimated by truncating the annual harvest of each angler during 2022 at the given annual limit. For example, if 500 anglers harvested four fish each in 2022 (2,000 fish total), then under an annual limit of three fish, that group of 500 anglers would only harvest 1,500 fish. The number of anglers that would be affected by each annual limit was calculated as the number of anglers that harvested more than the given annual limit in 2022. In the example above, all 500 anglers harvested more than three fish and would be affected by a three-fish annual limit, but anglers that harvested three or fewer fish would be unaffected. Using this approach, the annual harvest by licensed anglers was calculated over a range of annual limits and the percentage reduction in harvest was calculated by comparison to their total harvest with no annual limit. All calculations were done by Subarea and summed to obtain the harvests under each annual limit in Area 3A.

Doing the calculations by Subarea slightly underestimates the harvest reductions associated with annual limits because some anglers fish in multiple Subareas within a year. For example, if an individual angler caught two fish in each of two Subareas in the base year, the analysis by Subarea would indicate that a three-fish annual limit would have no effect on that angler's annual harvest in either Subarea. In reality, the limit would cut that angler's annual harvest by 25 percent. The degree of underestimation depends on how many anglers fished multiple Subareas in a year. The magnitude of this error was evaluated by comparing the percentage harvest reductions estimated from Subarea and areawide data. The Subarea method underestimated the reductions in harvest by $3.5 \%$ to $0.5 \%$ percentage points for annual limits from two to four fish, respectively. The underestimation caused by anglers fishing multiple Subareas was considered negligible. Furthermore, because this underestimated the reduction of harvest, results are considered conservative estimates.

### 4.4.2 Results

The effects of annual limits varied by Subarea, with the largest effects in the Kodiak Subarea (Table 3A.12). Areawide, application of annual limits to the harvest would result in harvest reductions of $3.4 \%$ to $15.3 \%$ with four to two fish annual limits. With all other status quo measures in effect (and all Tuesdays open), implementing a four-fish annual limit is estimated to reduce the harvest from 158,160 to 152,846 halibut (Table 3A.12).

A 32-inch size limit on the second fish combined with a four-fish annual limit is forecast to constrain removals to well below 2023 's allocation of 1.89 Mlb ; options for smaller size limits and more restrictive annual limits are also available (Table 3A.13).

### 4.5 Maximum Size Limit on One Fish Combined with Annual Limits and Tuesday Closures

### 4.5.1 Approach

Combinations of other size limits, annual limits, and Tuesday closures were explored to provide the Council flexibility in recommending management measures. Charter removals were projected under maximum size limits ranging from 26 to 32 inches, one to thirteen Tuesday closures or a Tuesday closure for the entire season and annual limits of two to four fish. Projected removals include a $0.8 \%$ inflation factor to account for release mortality. These projections incorporate other status quo measures, including the charter vessel trip limit, permit trip limit, a Wednesday closure for the entire year, and nine closed Tuesdays.
Average weight under each size limit was calculated as described in section 4.2.1. Effects of annual limits were calculated as described in section 4.4.1. These were applied prior to the effect of Tuesday closures as annual limits are expected to have a more definitive effect on harvest. Effects of Tuesday closures were then applied following the methods outlined in section 4.3.1.

### 4.5.2 Results

Combinations of 26-to-32-inch size limits with a four-fish annual limit and zero to nine closed Tuesdays are forecast to constrain removals below the 2023 allocation of 1.89 Mlb ; more restrictive annual limits allow for larger size limits with fewer closed days (Table 3A.14).

### 5.0 Implementation Considerations

### 5.1 Size Limits

There are no anticipated problems associated with implementation of a reverse slot limit in Area 2C or maximum size limit on the second fish in Area 3A. Size limits have been used successfully in both Regulatory Areas for several years. Maximum size limits and reverse slot limits are implemented for the charter halibut fishery to control the average weight of harvested fish. This type of regulation increases the number of fish released thereby increasing removals associated with release mortality. Not only do these size limits generate additional regulatory (versus voluntary) release of halibut, but they also increase the average weight of released fish. The relative impact of size limits, in terms of release mortality and angler satisfaction, is expected to vary by Subarea due to variation in the availability of large fish caught. For example, clients fishing in Subareas where large fish are commonly caught would likely end up releasing relatively more fish above the maximum size limit or in the protected slot, and those fish would likely be larger. Although release mortality is likely higher under size limits, it is included in the estimates of removals and is accounted for in the charter sector allocation.

### 5.2 Annual Limits

Annual limits were implemented in Area 3A in 2015- 2019. If annual limits are recommended for the charter fishery, it is crucial for enforcement purposes to ensure that the regulation is accompanied by a recording requirement like that implemented in past years. Specifically, immediately upon retaining a halibut, charter anglers must record, in ink, the date, location (IPHC area), and species (halibut) on their harvest record. Enforcement of the annual limit consists of checking anglers with halibut to make sure the harvest is recorded. It is expected that Guided Angler Fish (GAF) taken under the CSP would be exempt from the recording requirement as these harvests accrue toward the IFQ fishery allocation.

Halibut harvest accounting by individual anglers would be implemented through ADF\&G charter logbooks as was done in past years. Logbooks require reporting the number of halibut kept and released by individual anglers, as well as the angler's name and fishing license/ID number. No number can be recorded for youth anglers as they are not required to be licensed. Under the CSP, all anglers (including youth) are required to certify in the logbook that the reported number of halibut kept and released is correct.

Another concern with annual limits is that compliance may be low among youth anglers. Youth anglers are not required to be licensed but are still required to complete a harvest record upon harvesting halibut. Although enforcement in the field would be no different for youth anglers, their annual harvests cannot be evaluated post-season using logbook data. However, youth anglers comprised only $5.5 \%$ of angler-days in Area 3A in 2023, so harvest by youth anglers beyond the annual limit is unlikely to be substantial.

### 5.3 Daily Closures

As mentioned earlier, the primary issue with daily closures is that the effect cannot be accurately predicted or evaluated. Daily closures are expected to reduce effort, and therefore their effect is confounded with any factors that affect effort (e.g., trip limits, economic trends). This analysis could only estimate the maximum potential change in halibut harvest but cannot predict possible changes in angler behavior, such as anglers booking alternate days. Closure of days during the peak season (June through August) may be more effective than closure of a day or two here and there. With each additional day closed, there would be fewer days available to rebook and fewer charters available to take the displaced anglers. The effectiveness of day of the week closures in Area 2C is expected to be similar to those seen in Area 3A. However, differences in business models and angler behavior between the Areas may impact the effectiveness of this management measure.

Another impact of daily closures is the potential increase in the harvest of other species such as salmon, rockfishes, sablefish, and lingcod. Some charter businesses are able to book anglers to catch other species, particularly salmon. Increases in harvest may intensify conservation concerns for these stocks.

### 5.4 Mid-season Changes

A mid-season change from a higher to lower slot limit could potentially encourage fishers to shift their effort to the beginning of the season prior to the date when the slot limit changes. Additionally, anglers may shift their effort towards other species later in the season. The issues in anticipating changes in effort outlined under section 5.4 above could possibly be applied to a mid-season change management measure.

This could also result in an increase in releases later in the season once the lower slot limit is decreased. Similar issues regarding release mortality outlined in section 5.1 may apply to a mid-season change management measure. It's possible that a shift in effort combined with increased releases later on in the season could negate savings calculated, but by what magnitude is difficult to determine.
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## Analysis of Management Options for the Area 2C and 3A Charter Halibut Fisheries for 2024

Table 2C.1: Subareas of IPHC Areas 2C, ports where ADF\&G halibut sampling occurs, and Subarea abbreviations used in tables and figures in this report.

| IPHC |  | Ports with Sampling and |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Area | Subarea | Angler Interviews | Abbreviations |
| 2C | Ketchikan | Ketchikan | Ketch, A |
|  | Prince of Wales Island | Craig, Klawock | PWalesI, PWI, B |
|  | Petersburg/Wrangell | Petersburg, Wrangell | Pburg, C |
|  | Sitka | Sitka | D |
|  | Juneau, Haines, Skagway | Juneau | Jun, E, EF |
|  | Glacier Bay (2C portion) | Gustavus, Elfin Cove | GlacB, GlacB-2C, G2C |

Table 2C.2: Charter logbook effort, harvest per unit effort, and harvest of halibut in IPHC Area 2C, 2014 - 2023. Preliminary numbers for 2023 (in italics) are based on logbook data for charter trips entered as of November $8^{\text {th }}, 2023$.

| Year | Subarea |  |  |  |  |  | Total 2C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Ketch | PWI | Pburg | Sitka | Jun | GlacB-2C |  |
| Effort (angler-days)* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2014 | 14,680 | 21,491 | 2,839 | 28,638 | 10,375 | 12,390 | 90,413 |
| 2015 | 16,685 | 21,931 | 3,071 | 31,113 | 11,391 | 10,613 | 94,804 |
| 2016 | 16,595 | 23,440 | 3,373 | 31,093 | 12,069 | 9,694 | 96,264 |
| 2017 | 18,678 | 25,466 | 3,133 | 33,481 | 13,729 | 9,786 | 104,273 |
| 2018 | 21,661 | 25,708 | 3,538 | 32,394 | 13,993 | 11,396 | 108,690 |
| 2019 | 20,998 | 24,412 | 3,194 | 33,057 | 14,674 | 10,414 | 106,749 |
| 2020 | 4,521 | 12,644 | 1,934 | 16,605 | 4,089 | 5,133 | 44,926 |
| 2021 | 13,536 | 26,082 | 3,303 | 33,689 | 12,112 | 12,618 | 101,340 |
| 2022 | 21,223 | 28,486 | 3,293 | 37,044 | 12,965 | 4,718 | 107,729 |
| 2023 | 25,038 | 27,324 | 3,304 | 33,388 | 12,613 | 13,028 | 114,695 |
| Halibut Harvest per Angler-Day (HPUE) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2014 | 0.486 | 0.801 | 0.729 | 0.761 | 0.678 | 0.789 | 0.719 |
| 2015 | 0.465 | 0.744 | 0.691 | 0.759 | 0.675 | 0.768 | 0.693 |
| 2016 | 0.507 | 0.725 | 0.621 | 0.789 | 0.633 | 0.667 | 0.687 |
| 2017 | 0.460 | 0.753 | 0.630 | 0.777 | 0.592 | 0.692 | 0.677 |
| 2018 | 0.440 | 0.729 | 0.606 | 0.751 | 0.572 | 0.637 | 0.644 |
| 2019 | 0.439 | 0.742 | 0.523 | 0.766 | 0.615 | 0.699 | 0.661 |
| 2020 | 0.776 | 0.771 | 0.768 | 0.834 | 0.854 | 0.783 | 0.804 |
| 2021 | 0.674 | 0.794 | 0.668 | 0.806 | 0.718 | 0.786 | 0.768 |
| 2022 | 0.480 | 0.794 | 0.610 | 0.807 | 0.689 | 0.706 | 0.714 |
| 2023 | 0.539 | 0.827 | 0.635 | 0.833 | 0.748 | 0.729 | 0.740 |
| Harvest (number of halibut) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2014 | 7,138 | 17,214 | 2,071 | 21,798 | 7,034 | 9,781 | 65,036 |
| 2015 | 7,762 | 16,322 | 2,121 | 23,611 | 7,687 | 8,153 | 65,656 |
| 2016 | 8,414 | 16,999 | 2,095 | 24,528 | 7,642 | 6,469 | 66,147 |
| 2017 | 8,590 | 19,173 | 1,975 | 26,018 | 8,123 | 6,769 | 70,648 |
| 2018 | 9,530 | 18,731 | 2,143 | 24,327 | 7,998 | 7,255 | 69,984 |
| 2019 | 9,217 | 18,105 | 1,672 | 25,306 | 9,020 | 7,280 | 70,600 |
| 2020 | 3,507 | 9,750 | 1,485 | 13,848 | 3,490 | 4,020 | 36,100 |
| 2021 | 9,125 | 20,706 | 2,206 | 27,155 | 8,692 | 9,919 | 77,803 |
| 2022 | 10,177 | 22,608 | 2,009 | 29,693 | 8,928 | 9,721 | 83,136 |
| 2023 | 13,500 | 22,589 | 2,097 | 27,809 | 9,431 | 9,494 | 84,920 |

*Effort is defined as an angler-day on open days with recorded bottomfish hours or harvest of at least one halibut.

Table 2C.3. Forecasts of effort, halibut harvest per unit effort (HPUE), and harvest (numbers of halibut) for Area 2C in 2024 under status quo regulations, with associated standard errors. Status quo regulations include a one-fish bag limit, a U40O80 reverse slot size limit, and Mondays closed beginning on July $24^{\text {th }}$ and continuing through December $31^{\text {st }}$.

|  | Effort <br> (angler- <br> days) | HPUE | Std Error | Harvest <br> (no. halibut) | Std Error |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| Subarea | 25,038 | 0.539 | 0.044 | 13,494 | 1,113 |
| Ketch | 27,324 | 0.769 | 0.044 | 21,026 | 1,192 |
| PWI | 3,304 | 0.632 | 0.046 | 2,089 | 152 |
| Pburg | 33,388 | 0.823 | 0.047 | 27,490 | 1,570 |
| Sitka | 12,613 | 0.797 | 0.046 | 10,053 | 582 |
| Jun | 13,028 | 0.717 | 0.055 | 9,335 | 715 |
| GlacB-2C | 114,695 | 0.728 | $*$ | 83,487 | 2,449 |
| Area 2C |  |  |  |  |  |

*This SE cannot be calculated because unlike effort and harvest, HPUE is not expected to additive across subareas.
**2C regulations changed in 2023 in that for the first time since a 1-fish bag limit was implemented in 2009 management measures aimed at reducing effort through day closures. For this year, preliminary effort data for 2023 was used in the 2024 forecasts.

Table 2C.4. Projected charter removals (Mlb, includes release mortality) for Area 2C in 2024 under reverse slot limits ranging from U32O50 to U50080 with a 1 -fish bag limit. Shaded cells represent projections for the most liberal combinations that do not exceed the 2023 allocation of 0.80 Mlb . All values in the table include corrections for errors in estimation of average weight and inflation factors for release mortality. The harvest projection is for all days open throughout the season. The last column is the discard mortality estimate (Mlb) for the O80 removal estimates.

|  | Upper Length Limit (in) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Amount of discard mortality at uXo80 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Limit (in) | 50 | 52 | 54 | 56 | 58 | 60 | 62 | 64 | 66 | 68 | 70 | 72 | 74 | 76 | 78 | 80 |  |
| 32 | 1.384 | 1.283 | 1.207 | 1.123 | 1.062 | 1.007 | 0.930 | 0.856 | 0.814 | 0.781 | 0.749 | 0.728 | 0.697 | 0.680 | 0.678 | 0.664 | 0.027 |
| 33 | 1.412 | 1.312 | 1.237 | 1.153 | 1.092 | 1.038 | 0.961 | 0.887 | 0.846 | 0.813 | 0.781 | 0.760 | 0.729 | 0.712 | 0.710 | 0.696 | 0.029 |
| 34 | 1.444 | 1.345 | 1.271 | 1.188 | 1.128 | 1.074 | 0.998 | 0.924 | 0.883 | 0.851 | 0.819 | 0.797 | 0.767 | 0.750 | 0.748 | 0.734 | 0.030 |
| 35 | 1.468 | 1.371 | 1.297 | 1.215 | 1.155 | 1.101 | 1.026 | 0.953 | 0.912 | 0.879 | 0.848 | 0.826 | 0.796 | 0.779 | 0.777 | 0.763 | 0.031 |
| 36 | 1.508 | 1.412 | 1.340 | 1.259 | 1.200 | 1.146 | 1.071 | 0.999 | 0.958 | 0.926 | 0.895 | 0.873 | 0.843 | 0.826 | 0.824 | 0.810 | 0.033 |
| 37 | 1.532 | 1.437 | 1.366 | 1.285 | 1.227 | 1.174 | 1.099 | 1.027 | 0.987 | 0.955 | 0.923 | 0.902 | 0.872 | 0.855 | 0.853 | 0.839 | 0.035 |
| 38 | 1.568 | 1.475 | 1.405 | 1.325 | 1.268 | 1.215 | 1.141 | 1.070 | 1.029 | 0.998 | 0.966 | 0.945 | 0.915 | 0.898 | 0.896 | 0.883 | 0.036 |
| 39 | 1.595 | 1.504 | 1.434 | 1.355 | 1.298 | 1.245 | 1.172 | 1.101 | 1.061 | 1.029 | 0.998 | 0.977 | 0.947 | 0.930 | 0.928 | 0.915 | 0.038 |
| 40 | 1.618 | 1.527 | 1.458 | 1.380 | 1.324 | 1.272 | 1.199 | 1.128 | 1.088 | 1.057 | 1.026 | 1.005 | 0.975 | 0.958 | 0.956 | 0.943 | 0.039 |
| 41 | 1.645 | 1.556 | 1.488 | 1.411 | 1.355 | 1.303 | 1.231 | 1.161 | 1.121 | 1.090 | 1.059 | 1.038 | 1.009 | 0.991 | 0.989 | 0.976 | 0.040 |
| 42 | 1.663 | 1.575 | 1.508 | 1.431 | 1.376 | 1.325 | 1.253 | 1.183 | 1.143 | 1.113 | 1.082 | 1.061 | 1.032 | 1.015 | 1.012 | 0.999 | 0.041 |
| 43 | 1.683 | 1.596 | 1.530 | 1.454 | 1.399 | 1.348 | 1.276 | 1.207 | 1.168 | 1.137 | 1.106 | 1.086 | 1.056 | 1.039 | 1.037 | 1.024 | 0.042 |
| 44 | 1.712 | 1.627 | 1.561 | 1.486 | 1.431 | 1.381 | 1.310 | 1.241 | 1.202 | 1.172 | 1.141 | 1.120 | 1.091 | 1.074 | 1.072 | 1.059 | 0.044 |
| 45 | 1.743 | 1.659 | 1.595 | 1.520 | 1.466 | 1.417 | 1.346 | 1.278 | 1.239 | 1.209 | 1.178 | 1.158 | 1.129 | 1.112 | 1.110 | 1.097 | 0.045 |
| 46 | 1.764 | 1.681 | 1.618 | 1.544 | 1.491 | 1.441 | 1.371 | 1.304 | 1.265 | 1.235 | 1.204 | 1.184 | 1.155 | 1.138 | 1.136 | 1.123 | 0.046 |
| 47 | 1.792 | 1.711 | 1.648 | 1.576 | 1.523 | 1.474 | 1.405 | 1.338 | 1.299 | 1.269 | 1.239 | 1.218 | 1.190 | 1.173 | 1.171 | 1.158 | 0.048 |
| 48 | 1.812 | 1.733 | 1.671 | 1.598 | 1.546 | 1.498 | 1.429 | 1.362 | 1.323 | 1.293 | 1.264 | 1.243 | 1.214 | 1.198 | 1.196 | 1.183 | 0.049 |
| 49 | 1.845 | 1.767 | 1.706 | 1.635 | 1.583 | 1.535 | 1.467 | 1.401 | 1.362 | 1.333 | 1.303 | 1.283 | 1.254 | 1.237 | 1.235 | 1.222 | 0.050 |
| 50 | 1.868 | 1.792 | 1.731 | 1.661 | 1.610 | 1.563 | 1.495 | 1.429 | 1.391 | 1.362 | 1.332 | 1.312 | 1.283 | 1.267 | 1.265 | 1.252 | 0.052 |

Table 2C.5. Projected charter savings per day (Mlb) for Area 2C in 2024 under reverse slot limits with lower limits of the protected slot ranging from 32 to 50 inches and an upper limit of 80 inches with days closed throughout the season. All values in the table include corrections for errors in estimation of average weight and inflation factors for release mortality. To determine removals from a closed day(s), take the total removals for a given lower slot limit and subtract the savings from a date(s) in that row.

## a. Sunday closures

|  |  | Sunday Closures |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ```Projected total harvest/ removals``` |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { September } \\ 8 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { September } \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | August $25$ | August $18$ | August <br> 11 | August $4$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { July } \\ 28 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | July $21$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { July } \\ 14 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { July } \\ 7 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { July } \\ 30 \end{gathered}$ | June 23 | June $16$ | June 9 | June $2$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { May } \\ 26 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { May } \\ 19 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | May <br> 12 |  |
|  | 32 | 0.001 | 0.003 | 0.005 | 0.007 | 0.007 | 0.007 | 0.007 | 0.005 | 0.005 | 0.004 | 0.007 | 0.007 | 0.006 | 0.003 | 0.004 | 0.002 | 0.001 | 0.000 | 0.664 |
|  | 33 | 0.001 | 0.003 | 0.005 | 0.007 | 0.007 | 0.007 | 0.007 | 0.005 | 0.006 | 0.004 | 0.007 | 0.007 | 0.007 | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.002 | 0.001 | 0.000 | 0.696 |
|  | 34 | 0.001 | 0.003 | 0.005 | 0.007 | 0.007 | 0.008 | 0.008 | 0.006 | 0.006 | 0.005 | 0.008 | 0.008 | 0.007 | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.003 | 0.001 | 0.000 | 0.734 |
|  | 35 | 0.001 | 0.003 | 0.006 | 0.008 | 0.007 | 0.008 | 0.008 | 0.006 | 0.006 | 0.005 | 0.008 | 0.008 | 0.007 | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.003 | 0.001 | 0.000 | 0.763 |
|  | 36 | 0.001 | 0.004 | 0.006 | 0.008 | 0.008 | 0.008 | 0.009 | 0.006 | 0.006 | 0.005 | 0.009 | 0.009 | 0.008 | 0.004 | 0.005 | 0.003 | 0.001 | 0.000 | 0.810 |
|  | 37 | 0.001 | 0.004 | 0.006 | 0.008 | 0.008 | 0.009 | 0.009 | 0.007 | 0.007 | 0.005 | 0.009 | 0.009 | 0.008 | 0.004 | 0.005 | 0.003 | 0.001 | 0.000 | 0.839 |
|  | 38 | 0.001 | 0.004 | 0.007 | 0.009 | 0.009 | 0.009 | 0.009 | 0.007 | 0.007 | 0.006 | 0.009 | 0.009 | 0.008 | 0.005 | 0.005 | 0.003 | 0.001 | 0.000 | 0.883 |
|  | 39 | 0.001 | 0.004 | 0.007 | 0.009 | 0.009 | 0.009 | 0.010 | 0.007 | 0.007 | 0.006 | 0.010 | 0.010 | 0.009 | 0.005 | 0.005 | 0.003 | 0.002 | 0.000 | 0.915 |
|  | 40 | 0.001 | 0.004 | 0.007 | 0.009 | 0.009 | 0.010 | 0.010 | 0.007 | 0.007 | 0.006 | 0.010 | 0.010 | 0.009 | 0.005 | 0.006 | 0.003 | 0.002 | 0.000 | 0.943 |
| $\cong$ | 41 | 0.002 | 0.005 | 0.007 | 0.010 | 0.010 | 0.010 | 0.010 | 0.008 | 0.008 | 0.006 | 0.010 | 0.010 | 0.009 | 0.005 | 0.006 | 0.003 | 0.002 | 0.000 | 0.976 |
| . | 42 | 0.002 | 0.005 | 0.008 | 0.010 | 0.010 | 0.010 | 0.010 | 0.008 | 0.008 | 0.007 | 0.010 | 0.010 | 0.009 | 0.005 | 0.006 | 0.004 | 0.002 | 0.000 | 0.999 |
| $\pm$ | 43 | 0.002 | 0.005 | 0.008 | 0.010 | 0.010 | 0.010 | 0.011 | 0.008 | 0.008 | 0.007 | 0.011 | 0.011 | 0.010 | 0.005 | 0.006 | 0.004 | 0.002 | 0.000 | 1.024 |
| $\sum_{0}^{\infty}$ | 44 | 0.002 | 0.005 | 0.008 | 0.011 | 0.010 | 0.011 | 0.011 | 0.008 | 0.008 | 0.007 | 0.011 | 0.011 | 0.010 | 0.005 | 0.006 | 0.004 | 0.002 | 0.000 | 1.059 |
|  | 45 | 0.002 | 0.005 | 0.008 | 0.011 | 0.011 | 0.011 | 0.011 | 0.008 | 0.008 | 0.007 | 0.011 | 0.011 | 0.010 | 0.006 | 0.006 | 0.004 | 0.002 | 0.000 | 1.097 |
|  | 46 | 0.002 | 0.005 | 0.009 | 0.011 | 0.011 | 0.011 | 0.012 | 0.009 | 0.009 | 0.007 | 0.012 | 0.011 | 0.011 | 0.006 | 0.007 | 0.004 | 0.002 | 0.000 | 1.123 |
|  | 47 | 0.002 | 0.006 | 0.009 | 0.011 | 0.011 | 0.011 | 0.012 | 0.009 | 0.009 | 0.008 | 0.012 | 0.012 | 0.011 | 0.006 | 0.007 | 0.004 | 0.002 | 0.000 | 1.158 |
|  | 48 | 0.002 | 0.006 | 0.009 | 0.012 | 0.011 | 0.012 | 0.012 | 0.009 | 0.009 | 0.008 | 0.012 | 0.012 | 0.011 | 0.006 | 0.007 | 0.004 | 0.002 | 0.001 | 1.183 |
|  | 49 | 0.002 | 0.006 | 0.009 | 0.012 | 0.012 | 0.012 | 0.013 | 0.009 | 0.009 | 0.008 | 0.012 | 0.012 | 0.011 | 0.006 | 0.007 | 0.004 | 0.002 | 0.001 | 1.222 |
|  | 50 | 0.002 | 0.006 | 0.010 | 0.012 | 0.012 | 0.012 | 0.013 | 0.010 | 0.009 | 0.008 | 0.013 | 0.013 | 0.012 | 0.007 | 0.007 | 0.004 | 0.002 | 0.001 | 1.252 |

Table 2C.5. (continued)
b. Monday closures


Table 2C.5. (continued)
c. Tuesday closures

|  |  | Tuesday Closures |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Projected total harvest/ removals |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { September } \\ & 10 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { September } \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | August $27$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { August } \\ 20 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { August } \\ 13 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { August } \\ 6 \end{gathered}$ | August $30$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { July } \\ 23 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { July } \\ 16 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { July } \\ 9 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { July } \\ 2 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { June } \\ 25 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | June 18 | June 11 | June 4 | $\begin{gathered} \text { May } \\ 28 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { May } \\ 21 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { May } \\ 14 \end{gathered}$ |  |
|  | 32 | 0.001 | 0.002 | 0.005 | 0.006 | 0.007 | 0.007 | 0.007 | 0.008 | 0.008 | 0.008 | 0.007 | 0.007 | 0.008 | 0.005 | 0.004 | 0.003 | 0.002 | 0.000 | 0.664 |
|  | 33 | 0.001 | 0.002 | 0.005 | 0.007 | 0.007 | 0.008 | 0.007 | 0.009 | 0.009 | 0.009 | 0.007 | 0.007 | 0.008 | 0.006 | 0.005 | 0.003 | 0.002 | 0.001 | 0.696 |
|  | 34 | 0.001 | 0.003 | 0.006 | 0.007 | 0.008 | 0.008 | 0.008 | 0.009 | 0.009 | 0.009 | 0.007 | 0.007 | 0.009 | 0.006 | 0.005 | 0.003 | 0.002 | 0.001 | 0.734 |
|  | 35 | 0.001 | 0.003 | 0.006 | 0.007 | 0.008 | 0.008 | 0.008 | 0.010 | 0.009 | 0.009 | 0.007 | 0.008 | 0.009 | 0.006 | 0.005 | 0.004 | 0.002 | 0.001 | 0.763 |
|  | 36 | 0.001 | 0.003 | 0.006 | 0.008 | 0.009 | 0.009 | 0.009 | 0.010 | 0.010 | 0.010 | 0.008 | 0.008 | 0.010 | 0.007 | 0.005 | 0.004 | 0.002 | 0.001 | 0.810 |
|  | 37 | 0.001 | 0.003 | 0.006 | 0.008 | 0.009 | 0.009 | 0.009 | 0.011 | 0.010 | 0.010 | 0.008 | 0.008 | 0.010 | 0.007 | 0.006 | 0.004 | 0.002 | 0.001 | 0.839 |
|  | 38 | 0.002 | 0.003 | 0.007 | 0.008 | 0.009 | 0.010 | 0.009 | 0.011 | 0.011 | 0.011 | 0.009 | 0.009 | 0.010 | 0.007 | 0.006 | 0.004 | 0.002 | 0.001 | 0.883 |
|  | 39 | 0.002 | 0.003 | 0.007 | 0.009 | 0.010 | 0.010 | 0.010 | 0.012 | 0.011 | 0.011 | 0.009 | 0.009 | 0.011 | 0.008 | 0.006 | 0.004 | 0.002 | 0.001 | 0.915 |
|  | 40 | 0.002 | 0.003 | 0.007 | 0.009 | 0.010 | 0.010 | 0.010 | 0.012 | 0.012 | 0.012 | 0.009 | 0.009 | 0.011 | 0.008 | 0.006 | 0.005 | 0.002 | 0.001 | 0.943 |
| , | 41 | 0.002 | 0.003 | 0.007 | 0.009 | 0.010 | 0.011 | 0.010 | 0.012 | 0.012 | 0.012 | 0.010 | 0.010 | 0.012 | 0.008 | 0.007 | 0.005 | 0.002 | 0.001 | 0.976 |
| E | 42 | 0.002 | 0.004 | 0.008 | 0.009 | 0.011 | 0.011 | 0.010 | 0.013 | 0.012 | 0.012 | 0.010 | 0.010 | 0.012 | 0.008 | 0.007 | 0.005 | 0.003 | 0.001 | 0.999 |
| - | 43 | 0.002 | 0.004 | 0.008 | 0.010 | 0.011 | 0.011 | 0.011 | 0.013 | 0.013 | 0.013 | 0.010 | 0.010 | 0.012 | 0.008 | 0.007 | 0.005 | 0.003 | 0.001 | 1.024 |
| $3$ | 44 | 0.002 | 0.004 | 0.008 | 0.010 | 0.011 | 0.012 | 0.011 | 0.013 | 0.013 | 0.013 | 0.010 | 0.010 | 0.012 | 0.009 | 0.007 | 0.005 | 0.003 | 0.001 | 1.059 |
|  | 45 | 0.002 | 0.004 | 0.009 | 0.010 | 0.012 | 0.012 | 0.011 | 0.014 | 0.013 | 0.013 | 0.011 | 0.011 | 0.013 | 0.009 | 0.007 | 0.005 | 0.003 | 0.001 | 1.097 |
|  | 46 | 0.002 | 0.004 | 0.009 | 0.011 | 0.012 | 0.012 | 0.012 | 0.014 | 0.014 | 0.014 | 0.011 | 0.011 | 0.013 | 0.009 | 0.008 | 0.006 | 0.003 | 0.001 | 1.123 |
|  | 47 | 0.002 | 0.004 | 0.009 | 0.011 | 0.012 | 0.013 | 0.012 | 0.015 | 0.014 | 0.014 | 0.011 | 0.011 | 0.014 | 0.010 | 0.008 | 0.006 | 0.003 | 0.001 | 1.158 |
|  | 48 | 0.002 | 0.004 | 0.009 | 0.011 | 0.013 | 0.013 | 0.012 | 0.015 | 0.014 | 0.014 | 0.011 | 0.012 | 0.014 | 0.010 | 0.008 | 0.006 | 0.003 | 0.001 | 1.183 |
|  | 49 | 0.002 | 0.004 | 0.010 | 0.012 | 0.013 | 0.013 | 0.013 | 0.015 | 0.015 | 0.015 | 0.012 | 0.012 | 0.014 | 0.010 | 0.008 | 0.006 | 0.003 | 0.001 | 1.222 |
|  | 50 | 0.002 | 0.005 | 0.010 | 0.012 | 0.013 | 0.014 | 0.013 | 0.016 | 0.015 | 0.015 | 0.012 | 0.012 | 0.015 | 0.011 | 0.009 | 0.006 | 0.003 | 0.001 | 1.252 |

Table 2C.5. (continued)
d. Wednesday closures


Table 2C.5. (continued)
e. Thursday closures

|  |  | Thursday Closures |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Projected total harvest/ removals |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | September <br> 12 | September <br> 5 | $\begin{gathered} \text { August } \\ 29 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | August $22$ | August $15$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { August } \\ 8 \end{gathered}$ | August <br> 1 | $\begin{gathered} \text { July } \\ 25 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { July } \\ 18 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | July $11$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { July } \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | June 27 | June 20 | June 13 | June 6 | May $30$ | May $23$ | May $16$ |  |
|  | 32 | 0.001 | 0.003 | 0.004 | 0.006 | 0.007 | 0.008 | 0.008 | 0.008 | 0.008 | 0.007 | 0.008 | 0.008 | 0.008 | 0.006 | 0.005 | 0.003 | 0.002 | 0.001 | 0.664 |
|  | 33 | 0.001 | 0.003 | 0.004 | 0.006 | 0.008 | 0.008 | 0.008 | 0.008 | 0.008 | 0.008 | 0.008 | 0.008 | 0.009 | 0.007 | 0.005 | 0.004 | 0.002 | 0.001 | 0.696 |
|  | 34 | 0.001 | 0.003 | 0.005 | 0.007 | 0.008 | 0.009 | 0.009 | 0.009 | 0.009 | 0.008 | 0.009 | 0.009 | 0.009 | 0.007 | 0.005 | 0.004 | 0.002 | 0.001 | 0.734 |
|  | 35 | 0.001 | 0.003 | 0.005 | 0.007 | 0.008 | 0.009 | 0.009 | 0.009 | 0.009 | 0.008 | 0.009 | 0.009 | 0.010 | 0.007 | 0.005 | 0.004 | 0.003 | 0.001 | 0.763 |
|  | 36 | 0.001 | 0.003 | 0.005 | 0.007 | 0.009 | 0.009 | 0.010 | 0.010 | 0.010 | 0.009 | 0.010 | 0.009 | 0.010 | 0.008 | 0.006 | 0.004 | 0.003 | 0.001 | 0.810 |
|  | 37 | 0.001 | 0.003 | 0.005 | 0.007 | 0.009 | 0.010 | 0.010 | 0.010 | 0.010 | 0.009 | 0.010 | 0.010 | 0.010 | 0.008 | 0.006 | 0.004 | 0.003 | 0.001 | 0.839 |
|  | 38 | 0.001 | 0.003 | 0.006 | 0.008 | 0.009 | 0.010 | 0.010 | 0.010 | 0.010 | 0.010 | 0.011 | 0.010 | 0.011 | 0.008 | 0.006 | 0.005 | 0.003 | 0.002 | 0.883 |
|  | 39 | 0.001 | 0.004 | 0.006 | 0.008 | 0.010 | 0.011 | 0.011 | 0.011 | 0.011 | 0.010 | 0.011 | 0.011 | 0.011 | 0.009 | 0.007 | 0.005 | 0.003 | 0.002 | 0.915 |
|  | 40 | 0.001 | 0.004 | 0.006 | 0.008 | 0.010 | 0.011 | 0.011 | 0.011 | 0.011 | 0.010 | 0.011 | 0.011 | 0.012 | 0.009 | 0.007 | 0.005 | 0.003 | 0.002 | 0.943 |
|  | 41 | 0.001 | 0.004 | 0.006 | 0.009 | 0.010 | 0.011 | 0.011 | 0.011 | 0.012 | 0.011 | 0.012 | 0.011 | 0.012 | 0.009 | 0.007 | 0.005 | 0.003 | 0.002 | 0.976 |
|  | 42 | 0.002 | 0.004 | 0.007 | 0.009 | 0.011 | 0.012 | 0.012 | 0.012 | 0.012 | 0.011 | 0.012 | 0.012 | 0.012 | 0.010 | 0.007 | 0.005 | 0.003 | 0.002 | 0.999 |
|  | 43 | 0.002 | 0.004 | 0.007 | 0.009 | 0.011 | 0.012 | 0.012 | 0.012 | 0.012 | 0.011 | 0.012 | 0.012 | 0.013 | 0.010 | 0.008 | 0.006 | 0.004 | 0.002 | 1.024 |
|  | 44 | 0.002 | 0.004 | 0.007 | 0.009 | 0.011 | 0.012 | 0.012 | 0.012 | 0.012 | 0.012 | 0.013 | 0.012 | 0.013 | 0.010 | 0.008 | 0.006 | 0.004 | 0.002 | 1.059 |
|  | 45 | 0.002 | 0.004 | 0.007 | 0.010 | 0.012 | 0.013 | 0.013 | 0.013 | 0.013 | 0.012 | 0.013 | 0.013 | 0.014 | 0.011 | 0.008 | 0.006 | 0.004 | 0.002 | 1.097 |
|  | 46 | 0.002 | 0.004 | 0.008 | 0.010 | 0.012 | 0.013 | 0.013 | 0.013 | 0.013 | 0.012 | 0.013 | 0.013 | 0.014 | 0.011 | 0.008 | 0.006 | 0.004 | 0.002 | 1.123 |
|  | 47 | 0.002 | 0.005 | 0.008 | 0.010 | 0.012 | 0.013 | 0.013 | 0.013 | 0.014 | 0.013 | 0.014 | 0.013 | 0.014 | 0.011 | 0.009 | 0.006 | 0.004 | 0.002 | 1.158 |
|  | 48 | 0.002 | 0.005 | 0.008 | 0.010 | 0.013 | 0.014 | 0.014 | 0.014 | 0.014 | 0.013 | 0.014 | 0.014 | 0.015 | 0.011 | 0.009 | 0.007 | 0.004 | 0.002 | 1.183 |
|  | 49 | 0.002 | 0.005 | 0.008 | 0.011 | 0.013 | 0.014 | 0.014 | 0.014 | 0.014 | 0.013 | 0.015 | 0.014 | 0.015 | 0.012 | 0.009 | 0.007 | 0.004 | 0.002 | 1.222 |
|  | 50 | 0.002 | 0.005 | 0.008 | 0.011 | 0.013 | 0.014 | 0.015 | 0.014 | 0.015 | 0.014 | 0.015 | 0.015 | 0.015 | 0.012 | 0.009 | 0.007 | 0.004 | 0.002 | 1.252 |

Table 2C.5. (continued)
f. Friday closures

|  |  | Friday Closures |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Projected total harvest/ removals |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | September $13$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { September } \\ 6 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 30 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { August } \\ 23 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { August } \\ 16 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { August } \\ 9 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | August $2$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { July } \\ 26 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { July } \\ 19 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { July } \\ 12 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | July | June 28 | June 21 | June 14 | June 7 | May $31$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 24 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { May } \\ 17 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |
|  | 32 | 0.001 | 0.002 | 0.002 | 0.007 | 0.007 | 0.008 | 0.007 | 0.008 | 0.008 | 0.009 | 0.008 | 0.007 | 0.008 | 0.006 | 0.003 | 0.003 | 0.002 | 0.001 | 0.664 |
|  | 33 | 0.001 | 0.002 | 0.002 | 0.007 | 0.008 | 0.008 | 0.008 | 0.008 | 0.008 | 0.009 | 0.009 | 0.007 | 0.009 | 0.007 | 0.003 | 0.004 | 0.002 | 0.001 | 0.696 |
|  | 34 | 0.001 | 0.002 | 0.002 | 0.007 | 0.008 | 0.009 | 0.008 | 0.009 | 0.009 | 0.010 | 0.009 | 0.008 | 0.009 | 0.007 | 0.003 | 0.004 | 0.003 | 0.001 | 0.734 |
|  | 35 | 0.001 | 0.002 | 0.002 | 0.008 | 0.008 | 0.009 | 0.008 | 0.009 | 0.009 | 0.010 | 0.009 | 0.008 | 0.009 | 0.007 | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.003 | 0.001 | 0.763 |
|  | 36 | 0.001 | 0.002 | 0.003 | 0.008 | 0.009 | 0.010 | 0.009 | 0.009 | 0.010 | 0.011 | 0.010 | 0.009 | 0.010 | 0.008 | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.003 | 0.001 | 0.810 |
|  | 37 | 0.001 | 0.002 | 0.003 | 0.008 | 0.009 | 0.010 | 0.009 | 0.010 | 0.010 | 0.011 | 0.010 | 0.009 | 0.010 | 0.008 | 0.004 | 0.005 | 0.003 | 0.001 | 0.839 |
|  | 38 | 0.001 | 0.002 | 0.003 | 0.009 | 0.009 | 0.011 | 0.009 | 0.010 | 0.011 | 0.011 | 0.011 | 0.009 | 0.011 | 0.009 | 0.004 | 0.005 | 0.003 | 0.001 | 0.883 |
|  | 39 | 0.001 | 0.002 | 0.003 | 0.009 | 0.010 | 0.011 | 0.010 | 0.011 | 0.011 | 0.012 | 0.011 | 0.010 | 0.011 | 0.009 | 0.004 | 0.005 | 0.003 | 0.002 | 0.915 |
|  | 40 | 0.001 | 0.002 | 0.003 | 0.009 | 0.010 | 0.011 | 0.010 | 0.011 | 0.011 | 0.012 | 0.012 | 0.010 | 0.012 | 0.009 | 0.004 | 0.005 | 0.003 | 0.002 | 0.943 |
|  | 41 | 0.001 | 0.002 | 0.003 | 0.010 | 0.010 | 0.012 | 0.010 | 0.011 | 0.012 | 0.013 | 0.012 | 0.011 | 0.012 | 0.009 | 0.005 | 0.005 | 0.003 | 0.002 | 0.976 |
| E/ | 42 | 0.001 | 0.003 | 0.003 | 0.010 | 0.011 | 0.012 | 0.011 | 0.012 | 0.012 | 0.013 | 0.012 | 0.011 | 0.012 | 0.010 | 0.005 | 0.006 | 0.004 | 0.002 | 0.999 |
| $\cdots$ | 43 | 0.001 | 0.003 | 0.003 | 0.010 | 0.011 | 0.012 | 0.011 | 0.012 | 0.012 | 0.013 | 0.013 | 0.011 | 0.013 | 0.010 | 0.005 | 0.006 | 0.004 | 0.002 | 1.024 |
| 3 | 44 | 0.001 | 0.003 | 0.003 | 0.011 | 0.011 | 0.013 | 0.011 | 0.012 | 0.013 | 0.014 | 0.013 | 0.011 | 0.013 | 0.010 | 0.005 | 0.006 | 0.004 | 0.002 | 1.059 |
|  | 45 | 0.001 | 0.003 | 0.004 | 0.011 | 0.012 | 0.013 | 0.012 | 0.013 | 0.013 | 0.014 | 0.013 | 0.012 | 0.014 | 0.011 | 0.005 | 0.006 | 0.004 | 0.002 | 1.097 |
|  | 46 | 0.001 | 0.003 | 0.004 | 0.011 | 0.012 | 0.013 | 0.012 | 0.013 | 0.013 | 0.015 | 0.014 | 0.012 | 0.014 | 0.011 | 0.005 | 0.006 | 0.004 | 0.002 | 1.123 |
|  | 47 | 0.001 | 0.003 | 0.004 | 0.012 | 0.012 | 0.014 | 0.012 | 0.014 | 0.014 | 0.015 | 0.014 | 0.013 | 0.014 | 0.011 | 0.005 | 0.007 | 0.004 | 0.002 | 1.158 |
|  | 48 | 0.001 | 0.003 | 0.004 | 0.012 | 0.012 | 0.014 | 0.012 | 0.014 | 0.014 | 0.015 | 0.014 | 0.013 | 0.015 | 0.011 | 0.006 | 0.007 | 0.004 | 0.002 | 1.183 |
|  | 49 | 0.001 | 0.003 | 0.004 | 0.012 | 0.013 | 0.014 | 0.013 | 0.014 | 0.014 | 0.016 | 0.015 | 0.013 | 0.015 | 0.012 | 0.006 | 0.007 | 0.004 | 0.002 | 1.222 |
|  | 50 | 0.001 | 0.003 | 0.004 | 0.012 | 0.013 | 0.015 | 0.013 | 0.015 | 0.015 | 0.016 | 0.015 | 0.014 | 0.015 | 0.012 | 0.006 | 0.007 | 0.005 | 0.002 | 1.252 |

Table 2C.5. (continued)

## g. Saturday closures



Table 2C.6. Projected removals (Mlb) for changing the lower slot limit from a range of 40-45 inches at start of the season to 35-40 inches after July 1, July 15, and August 1. All analyses assume an upper slot limit of 80 inches and all days of the season open to fishing and include corrections for errors in estimation of average weight and inflation factors for release mortality.

|  |  | Removals |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Starting Lower Slot Limit | Ending Lower Slot Limit | 1-Jul | 15-Jul | 1-Aug |
|  | 35 | 0.820 | 0.846 | 0.880 |
|  | 36 | 0.852 | 0.871 | 0.896 |
| 40 | 37 | 0.872 | 0.887 | 0.906 |
| 40 | 38 | 0.902 | 0.911 | 0.922 |
|  | 39 | 0.924 | 0.928 | 0.933 |
|  | 40 | 0.943 | 0.943 | 0.943 |
|  | 35 | 0.831 | 0.861 | 0.901 |
|  | 36 | 0.863 | 0.887 | 0.918 |
| 41 | 37 | 0.883 | 0.902 | 0.928 |
| 41 | 38 | 0.912 | 0.926 | 0.943 |
|  | 39 | 0.934 | 0.943 | 0.955 |
|  | 40 | 0.953 | 0.958 | 0.964 |
|  | 35 | 0.838 | 0.872 | 0.916 |
|  | 36 | 0.870 | 0.898 | 0.933 |
|  | 37 | 0.890 | 0.913 | 0.943 |
| 42 | 38 | 0.920 | 0.937 | 0.958 |
|  | 39 | 0.942 | 0.954 | 0.970 |
|  | 40 | 0.961 | 0.969 | 0.979 |
|  | 35 | 0.846 | 0.884 | 0.932 |
|  | 36 | 0.878 | 0.909 | 0.949 |
| 43 | 37 | 0.898 | 0.925 | 0.959 |
|  | 38 | 0.928 | 0.948 | 0.974 |
|  | 39 | 0.950 | 0.966 | 0.986 |
|  | 40 | 0.969 | 0.980 | 0.995 |
|  | 35 | 0.857 | 0.900 | 0.955 |
|  | 36 | 0.890 | 0.926 | 0.972 |
| 44 | 37 | 0.909 | 0.941 | 0.982 |
| 44 | 38 | 0.939 | 0.965 | 0.997 |
|  | 39 | 0.961 | 0.982 | 1.009 |
|  | 40 | 0.980 | 0.997 | 1.018 |
|  | 35 | 0.870 | 0.918 | 0.980 |
|  | 36 | 0.902 | 0.943 | 0.996 |
| 45 | 37 | 0.922 | 0.959 | 1.006 |
| 45 | 38 | 0.952 | 0.982 | 1.022 |
|  | 39 | 0.974 | 0.999 | 1.033 |
|  | 40 | 0.992 | 1.014 | 1.043 |

## Analysis of Management Options for the Area 3A

 Charter Halibut Fisheries for 2023Table 3A.7: Subareas of IPHC Area 3A, ports where ADF\&G halibut sampling occurs, and Subarea abbreviations used in tables and figures in this report.

| IPHC |  | Ports with Sampling and |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Area | Subarea | Angler Interviews | Abbreviations |
| 3A | Glacier Bay (3A portion) | Gustavus, Elfin Cove | GlacB, GlacB-3A, G3A |
|  | Yakutat | Yakutat | Yak, H |
|  | Eastern Prince William Sound | Valdez | EPWS |
|  | Western Prince William Sound | Whittier | WPWS |
|  | North Gulf | Seward | NGulf, NGC |
|  | Lower Cook Inlet | Homer | LCI |
|  | Central Cook Inlet | Anchor Point, Deep Creek | CCI |
|  | Kodiak | Kodiak | Kod, QR |

Table 3A.8. Charter logbook effort, harvest per unit effort, and harvest of halibut in IPHC Area 3A, 2013 - 2023. Preliminary estimates for 2023 (in italics) are based on logbook data for charter trips through August 31, 2023, entered as of November 8 ${ }^{\text {th }}, 2023$.

| Year | Subarea |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Tot 3A |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | GlacB-3A | Yak | EPWS | WPWS | NGulf | CCl | LCI | Kod |  |
| Effort (angler-days) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2014 | 1,424 | 3,315 | 3,576 | 3,435 | 29,613 | 20,633 | 37,111 | 9,927 | 109,034 |
| 2015 | 1,852 | 3,267 | 3,527 | 3,484 | 30,864 | 19,882 | 33,011 | 8,756 | 104,643 |
| 2016 | 1,887 | 3,382 | 4,126 | 4,094 | 33,007 | 16,865 | 36,978 | 8,427 | 108,766 |
| 2017 | 2,211 | 3,405 | 3,579 | 3,679 | 27,934 | 17,330 | 35,426 | 7,899 | 101,463 |
| 2018 | 2,739 | 4,412 | 4,045 | 3,955 | 27,535 | 16,871 | 33,723 | 8,476 | 101,756 |
| 2019 | 2,094 | 4,365 | 4,653 | 4,764 | 29,889 | 15,184 | 33,663 | 8,961 | 103,573 |
| 2020 | 958 | 1,994 | 3,495 | 3,770 | 20,694 | 10,773 | 24,250 | 5,851 | 71,745 |
| 2021 | 1,282 | 4,220 | 4,940 | 4,721 | 32,297 | 17,284 | 46,506 | 12,628 | 123,878 |
| 2022 | 1,130 | 4,130 | 4,718 | 4,597 | 30,120 | 15,897 | 42,965 | 12,385 | 115,942 |
| 2023 | 1,042 | 2,758 | 3,993 | 4,368 | 26,671 | 13,049 | 41,495 | 11,705 | 105,081 |
| Halibut Harvest per Angler-Day (HPUE) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2014 | 0.791 | 1.034 | 1.225 | 1.314 | 1.430 | 1.866 | 1.824 | 1.245 | 1.599 |
| 2015 | 0.746 | 0.983 | 1.218 | 1.330 | 1.501 | 1.802 | 1.791 | 1.010 | 1.564 |
| 2016 | 0.757 | 0.964 | 1.149 | 1.096 | 1.294 | 1.705 | 1.741 | 1.001 | 1.455 |
| 2017 | 0.728 | 0.939 | 1.143 | 1.016 | 1.166 | 1.665 | 1.718 | 0.983 | 1.406 |
| 2018 | 0.688 | 0.980 | 1.187 | 1.088 | 1.056 | 1.670 | 1.668 | 0.883 | 1.340 |
| 2019 | 0.755 | 0.985 | 1.103 | 1.094 | 1.143 | 1.660 | 1.642 | 0.916 | 1.343 |
| 2020 | 0.899 | 1.157 | 1.379 | 1.296 | 1.212 | 1.779 | 1.744 | 1.227 | 1.486 |
| 2021 | 0.981 | 1.116 | 1.431 | 1.138 | 1.177 | 1.831 | 1.759 | 1.154 | 1.489 |
| 2022 | 0.662 | 0.888 | 1.364 | 0.936 | 1.225 | 1.795 | 1.746 | 1.129 | 1.463 |
| 2023 | 0.597 | 0.995 | 1.238 | 1.149 | 1.290 | 1.823 | 1.758 | 1.120 | 1.498 |
| Harvest (number of halibut)* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2014 | 1,126 | 3,429 | 4,379 | 4,514 | 42,337 | 38,504 | 67,701 | 12,358 | 174,348 |
| 2015 | 1,381 | 3,210 | 4,296 | 4,635 | 46,321 | 35,834 | 59,110 | 8,845 | 163,632 |
| 2016 | 1,428 | 3,259 | 4,742 | 4,487 | 42,721 | 28,747 | 64,392 | 8,438 | 158,214 |
| 2017 | 1,609 | 3,196 | 4,090 | 3,737 | 32,576 | 28,850 | 60,845 | 7,761 | 142,664 |
| 2018 | 1,884 | 4,322 | 4,803 | 4,302 | 29,068 | 28,183 | 56,262 | 7,488 | 136,312 |
| 2019 | 1,582 | 4,301 | 5,132 | 5,214 | 34,171 | 25,200 | 55,274 | 8,208 | 139,082 |
| 2020 | 861 | 2,308 | 4,882 | 4,887 | 25,078 | 19,094 | 42,299 | 7,180 | 106,589 |
| 2021 | 1,257 | 4,709 | 7,070 | 5,371 | 38,000 | 31,640 | 81,825 | 14,569 | 184,441 |
| 2022 | 748 | 3,668 | 6,437 | 4,304 | 36,909 | 28,534 | 75,015 | 13,977 | 169,592 |
| 2023 | 622 | 2,743 | 4,942 | 5,020 | 34,392 | 23,790 | 72,968 | 13,104 | 157,715 |

[^2]Table 3A.9. Forecasts of effort (angler-days), halibut harvest per unit effort (HPUE), and harvest (numbers of halibut) for Area 3A in 2024 under status quo regulations, with associated standard errors. Status quo regulations include a two-fish bag limit with a maximum size limit of 28 inches on one of the fish, no retention of halibut on Wednesdays and on nine Tuesdays, CHP trip limits, and vessel trip limits.

| Subarea | Effort <br> (angler-days) | Std Error | HPUE | Std Error | Harvest <br> (no. halibut) | Std Error |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| CCI | 13,049 | NA | 1.820 | 0.121 | 23,749 | 1,585 |
| EPWS | 3,993 | NA | 1.256 | 0.140 | 5,013 | 560 |
| GlacB | 1,042 | NA | 0.598 | 0.164 | 623 | 170 |
| Yak | 2,758 | NA | 0.989 | 0.143 | 2,727 | 407 |
| LCl | 41,495 | NA | 1.766 | 0.074 | 73,279 | 3,160 |
| NGulf | 26,671 | NA | 1.279 | 0.103 | 34,110 | 2,775 |
| Kod | 11,705 | NA | 1.204 | 0.111 | 14,092 | 1,322 |
| WPWS | 4,368 | NA | 1.045 | 0.146 | 4,567 | 647 |
| Area 3A | 105,276 | NA | 1.505 | NA | 158,160 | 4,782 |

Table 3A.10. Area 3A projected harvest, change in harvest, and specified dates with status quo management measures combined with Tuesday closures.

| Number of <br> Closed <br> Tuesdays | Beginning and <br> Ending Dates | Percentage <br> change in <br> harvest | Projected <br> Harvest (no. <br> Fish | Projected Removals <br> (Mlb) (Size limit of <br> 2nd fish is 28") |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 0 | NA | $11.0 \%$ | 175,597 | 1.880 |
| 1 | July 30 | $9.6 \%$ | 173,312 | 1.856 |
| 2 | July 30 - Aug 6 | $8.2 \%$ | 171,102 | 1.833 |
| 3 | July 23 - Aug 6 | $6.6 \%$ | 168,557 | 1.806 |
| 4 | July 16 - Aug 6 | $5.1 \%$ | 166,255 | 1.781 |
| 5 | July 16 - Aug 13 | $4.7 \%$ | 165,637 | 1.774 |
| 6 | July 9 - Aug 13 | $3.6 \%$ | 163,774 | 1.754 |
| 7 | July 2 - Aug 13 | $2.4 \%$ | 161,891 | 1.734 |
| 8 | July 2 - Aug 20 | $1.4 \%$ | 160,270 | 1.718 |
| $9($ SQ) | June 25 - Aug 20 | $0.0 \%$ | 158,160 | 1.695 |
| 10 | June 18 - Aug 20 | $-1.3 \%$ | 156,120 | 1.673 |
| 11 | June 18 - Aug 27 | $-2.1 \%$ | 154,856 | 1.660 |
| 12 | June 11 - Aug 27 | $-3.1 \%$ | 153,242 | 1.642 |
| 13 | June 4 - Aug 27 | $-4.0 \%$ | 151,867 | 1.627 |
| 48 | Feb 01 - Dec 31 | $-6.1 \%$ | 148,522 | 1.590 |

Table 3A.11. Area 3A projected harvest (upper table) and removals (lower table) for 2024 under a range of maximum size limits on one fish in the bag limit and Tuesday closures. Projected removals assume the following status quo measures: two fish bag limit - one of any size, one under 28 inches, limit of one trip per vessel and one trip per permit per day, Wednesday closure all year, and nine closed Tuesdays. All values in the table include corrections for errors in estimation of average weight and inflation factors for release mortality. Shaded cells represent projections that do not exceed the 2023 allocation of 1.89 Mlb .

## Projected Harvest (number of fish)

| Number of Tuesday Closures |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 (SQ) | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | All |
| Harvest | 175,597 | 173,312 | 171,102 | 168,557 | 166,255 | 165,637 | 163,774 | 161,891 | 160,270 | 158,160 | 156,120 | 154,856 | 153,242 | 151,867 | 148,522 |

## Projected Charter Removals (MIb)

| Number of Closed Tuesdays |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| limit | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 (SQ) | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | All |
| 26 | 1.789 | 1.766 | 1.744 | 1.718 | 1.694 | 1.687 | 1.669 | 1.650 | 1.634 | 1.613 | 1.592 | 1.579 | 1.562 | 1.548 | 1.513 |
| 27 | 1.824 | 1.800 | 1.778 | 1.751 | 1.727 | 1.720 | 1.701 | 1.682 | 1.666 | 1.644 | 1.623 | 1.610 | 1.593 | 1.578 | 1.542 |
| 28 | 1.880 | 1.856 | 1.833 | 1.806 | 1.781 | 1.774 | 1.754 | 1.734 | 1.718 | 1.695 | 1.673 | 1.660 | 1.642 | 1.627 | 1.590 |
| 29 | 1.915 | 1.890 | 1.867 | 1.839 | 1.813 | 1.807 | 1.786 | 1.766 | 1.750 | 1.726 | 1.704 | 1.690 | 1.673 | 1.657 | 1.620 |
| 30 | 1.966 | 1.941 | 1.917 | 1.888 | 1.862 | 1.855 | 1.834 | 1.813 | 1.796 | 1.772 | 1.750 | 1.735 | 1.717 | 1.702 | 1.663 |
| 31 | 2.000 | 1.974 | 1.950 | 1.921 | 1.894 | 1.887 | 1.866 | 1.845 | 1.827 | 1.803 | 1.780 | 1.765 | 1.747 | 1.731 | 1.692 |
| 32 | 2.045 | 2.019 | 1.994 | 1.964 | 1.937 | 1.929 | 1.908 | 1.886 | 1.868 | 1.844 | 1.820 | 1.805 | 1.786 | 1.770 | 1.730 |

Table 3A.12. Estimated effects of annual limits of two to four halibut on Area 3A anglers and projected harvest for 2024 under status quo measures. Status quo regulations include a two-fish bag limit with a maximum size limit of 28 inches on one of the fish, no retention of halibut on Wednesdays and on nine Tuesdays, CHP trip limits, and vessel trip limits. The percent of affected anglers is the portion of individual anglers that harvested more than each specified annual limit in 2023.

| Annual Limit | Subarea |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Area 3A |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | CCl | EPWS | GlacBay | Yak | LCI | NGulf | Kod | WPWS |  |
|  | Estimated percent of anglers affected by an annual limit: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 14.6\% | 8.0\% | 16.2\% | 21.5\% | 14.3\% | 8.7\% | 42.9\% | 2.7\% | 14.3\% |
| 3 | 12.4\% | 4.1\% | 3.7\% | 10.5\% | 11.2\% | 5.4\% | 30.4\% | 1.0\% | 10.4\% |
| 4 | 3.1\% | 1.6\% | 0.2\% | 4.6\% | 2.6\% | 1.5\% | 15.6\% | 0.3\% | 3.1\% |
|  | Estimated percent change in harvest relative to no annual limit: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | -16.2\% | -8.3\% | -12.7\% | -21.1\% | -14.2\% | -9.3\% | -37.8\% | -3.0\% | -14.9\% |
| 3 | -9.7\% | -3.9\% | -2.4\% | -9.9\% | -7.6\% | -4.6\% | -22.7\% | -1.0\% | -8.3\% |
| 4 | -4.2\% | -1.6\% | -0.1\% | -4.4\% | -2.5\% | -1.7\% | -12.0\% | -0.3\% | -3.3\% |
|  | Projected harvest (number of halibut): |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 19,907 | 4,599 | 544 | 2,152 | 62,883 | 30,952 | 8,770 | 4,430 | 134,236 |
| 3 | 21,446 | 4,818 | 608 | 2,458 | 67,689 | 32,534 | 10,898 | 4,520 | 144,970 |
| 4 | 22,753 | 4,931 | 622 | 2,607 | 71,453 | 33,518 | 12,407 | 4,554 | 152,846 |
| No Annual Limit | 23,749 | 5,013 | 623 | 2,727 | 73,279 | 34,110 | 14,092 | 4,567 | 158,160 |

Table 3A.13. Area 3A projected harvest (upper table) and removals (lower table) for 2024 under a range of maximum size limits on one fish in the bag limit and for annual limits ranging from two to four fish per year. Projected removals assume the following status quo measures: two fish bag limit, limit of one trip per vessel and one trip per permit per day, nine closed Tuesdays, and a Wednesday closure all year. All values in the table include corrections for errors in estimation of average weight and inflation factors for release mortality.

Projected Harvest (number of fish)

|  | Annual Limit (number of halibut) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 2024 | 134,236 | 144,970 | 152,846 |

Projected Charter Removals (MIb)

| Size Limit <br> (in) | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1.366 | 1.478 | 1.557 |
|  | 1.392 | 1.506 | 1.587 |
| 28 | 1.436 | 1.553 | 1.637 |
| 29 | 1.462 | 1.582 | 1.667 |
| 30 | 1.501 | 1.624 | 1.712 |
| 31 | 1.527 | 1.652 | 1.741 |
| 32 | 1.562 | 1.689 | 1.780 |

Table 3A.14. Area 3A projected harvest and removals for 2023 under annual limits with a range of maximum size limits on one fish in the bag limit and Tuesday closures. Projected removals assume the following status quo measures: two fish bag limit - one of any size, limit of one trip per vessel and one trip per permit per day, Wednesday closure all year. All values in the table include corrections for errors in estimation of average weight and inflation factors for release mortality. Shaded cells represent projections that do not exceed the 2023 allocation of 1.89 Mlb .

## a. Four-fish annual limit

|  |  | Number of Tuesday Closures |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 (SQ) | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | All |
| $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\Xi}{\Xi} \\ & \stackrel{N}{E} \\ & \underset{y}{0} \\ & N \end{aligned}$ | Harvest | 169,830 | 167,617 | 165,477 | 163,010 | 160,779 | 160,189 | 158,382 | 156,556 | 154,994 | 152,943 | 150,959 | 149,743 | 148,172 | 146,835 | 143,608 |
|  | 26 | 1.728 | 1.705 | 1.684 | 1.659 | 1.636 | 1.630 | 1.611 | 1.593 | 1.578 | 1.557 | 1.537 | 1.524 | 1.508 | 1.495 | 1.460 |
|  | 27 | 1.761 | 1.738 | 1.717 | 1.691 | 1.667 | 1.661 | 1.643 | 1.624 | 1.609 | 1.587 | 1.567 | 1.554 | 1.538 | 1.524 | 1.489 |
|  | 28 | 1.816 | 1.793 | 1.770 | 1.744 | 1.720 | 1.713 | 1.694 | 1.675 | 1.659 | 1.637 | 1.616 | 1.602 | 1.586 | 1.571 | 1.535 |
|  | 29 | 1.850 | 1.826 | 1.803 | 1.776 | 1.751 | 1.745 | 1.725 | 1.706 | 1.690 | 1.667 | 1.646 | 1.632 | 1.615 | 1.600 | 1.564 |
|  | 30 | 1.899 | 1.874 | 1.851 | 1.823 | 1.798 | 1.791 | 1.771 | 1.751 | 1.735 | 1.712 | 1.689 | 1.676 | 1.658 | 1.643 | 1.605 |
|  | 31 | 1.932 | 1.907 | 1.883 | 1.855 | 1.829 | 1.822 | 1.802 | 1.781 | 1.765 | 1.741 | 1.719 | 1.705 | 1.687 | 1.671 | 1.633 |
|  | 32 | 1.975 | 1.950 | 1.925 | 1.897 | 1.870 | 1.863 | 1.842 | 1.821 | 1.804 | 1.780 | 1.757 | 1.743 | 1.725 | 1.709 | 1.670 |

## b. Three-fish annual limit

|  |  | Number of Tuesday Closures |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 (SQ) | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | All |
|  | Harvest | 161,092 | 158,989 | 156,957 | 154,611 | 152,492 | 151,937 | 150,219 | 148,482 | 147,008 | 145,055 | 143,166 | 142,017 | 140,519 | 139,246 | 136,191 |
|  | 26 | 1.640 | 1.619 | 1.599 | 1.575 | 1.552 | 1.547 | 1.529 | 1.512 | 1.498 | 1.478 | 1.459 | 1.447 | 1.432 | 1.418 | 1.386 |
| $\pm$ | 27 | 1.672 | 1.650 | 1.629 | 1.605 | 1.582 | 1.577 | 1.559 | 1.541 | 1.527 | 1.506 | 1.487 | 1.475 | 1.459 | 1.446 | 1.413 |
| $\pm$ | 28 | 1.724 | 1.701 | 1.680 | 1.655 | 1.632 | 1.626 | 1.608 | 1.589 | 1.575 | 1.553 | 1.533 | 1.521 | 1.505 | 1.491 | 1.457 |
| E | 29 | 1.756 | 1.733 | 1.711 | 1.686 | 1.662 | 1.656 | 1.637 | 1.618 | 1.604 | 1.582 | 1.562 | 1.549 | 1.532 | 1.518 | 1.484 |
| $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\sim}$ | 30 | 1.802 | 1.779 | 1.757 | 1.730 | 1.706 | 1.700 | 1.681 | 1.662 | 1.646 | 1.624 | 1.603 | 1.590 | 1.573 | 1.559 | 1.523 |
| $\cdots$ | 31 | 1.834 | 1.810 | 1.787 | 1.760 | 1.736 | 1.729 | 1.710 | 1.690 | 1.675 | 1.652 | 1.631 | 1.618 | 1.600 | 1.586 | 1.550 |
|  | 32 | 1.875 | 1.850 | 1.827 | 1.800 | 1.775 | 1.768 | 1.748 | 1.728 | 1.712 | 1.689 | 1.667 | 1.654 | 1.636 | 1.621 | 1.585 |

Table 3A.14. (continued)
c. Two-fish annual limit

|  |  | Number of Tuesday Closures |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 (SQ) | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | All |
|  | Harvest | 149,557 | 147,599 | 145,710 | 143,525 | 141,554 | 141,045 | 139,448 | 137,828 | 136,464 | 134,640 | 132,874 | 131,815 | 130,415 | 129,224 | 126,403 |
|  | 26 | 1.516 | 1.496 | 1.478 | 1.455 | 1.435 | 1.430 | 1.414 | 1.397 | 1.385 | 1.366 | 1.348 | 1.337 | 1.323 | 1.310 | 1.281 |
| $\underline{\square}$ | 27 | 1.545 | 1.525 | 1.506 | 1.483 | 1.462 | 1.457 | 1.441 | 1.424 | 1.411 | 1.392 | 1.374 | 1.363 | 1.348 | 1.336 | 1.305 |
| $\pm$ | 28 | 1.593 | 1.573 | 1.553 | 1.530 | 1.508 | 1.503 | 1.486 | 1.469 | 1.455 | 1.436 | 1.417 | 1.405 | 1.390 | 1.378 | 1.346 |
| . | 29 | 1.623 | 1.602 | 1.582 | 1.558 | 1.536 | 1.530 | 1.513 | 1.496 | 1.482 | 1.462 | 1.443 | 1.431 | 1.416 | 1.403 | 1.371 |
| $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\sim}$ | 30 | 1.666 | 1.645 | 1.624 | 1.600 | 1.577 | 1.571 | 1.554 | 1.536 | 1.522 | 1.501 | 1.482 | 1.470 | 1.454 | 1.441 | 1.408 |
| $\cdots$ | 31 | 1.695 | 1.673 | 1.652 | 1.627 | 1.605 | 1.599 | 1.581 | 1.563 | 1.548 | 1.527 | 1.507 | 1.495 | 1.479 | 1.465 | 1.432 |
|  | 32 | 1.733 | 1.711 | 1.689 | 1.664 | 1.640 | 1.634 | 1.616 | 1.598 | 1.583 | 1.562 | 1.541 | 1.529 | 1.512 | 1.498 | 1.465 |



- Subareas for halibut harvest accounting

Figure 1. Subareas of IPHC Areas 2C and 3A used for analysis and reporting. A - Ketchikan; B - Prince of Wales (Craig, Klawock); C - Petersburg, Wrangell; D - Sitka; EF - Juneau, Haines, Skagway; G2C - Glacier Bay, Elfin Cove (2C areas); G3A Glacier Bay, Elfin Cove (3A Areas); H - Yakutat; EPWS - Eastern Prince William Sound (Valdez, Cordova); WPWS - Western Prince William Sound (Whittier); NG - North Gulf (Seward); CCI - Central Cook Inlet (Deep Creek, Anchor Point); LCI Lower Cook Inlet (Homer); QR - Kodiak.


Figure 2 Time series of charter effort (upper) and HPUE (lower) by subarea of Area 2C, with predicted values and 2024 forecasts of HPUE only. No time series forecasts were made for effort. Shaded bands indicate $95 \%$ confidence intervals for the 2023 HPUE forecasts. (Source: ADF\&G charter logbook).


Figure 3 Time series of charter effort (upper) and HPUE (lower) by subarea of Area 3A, with predicted values and 2024 forecasts of HPUE only. No time series forecasts were made for effort. Shaded bands indicate $95 \%$ confidence intervals for the 2023 HPUE forecasts. (Source: ADF\&G charter logbook).
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Figure 4 Time series of the proportion of second fish retained by anglers in each subarea of Area 3A, 2010-2024, with predicted values and forecasts for 2024. Shaded bands indicate $95 \%$ confidence intervals for the 2024 forecasts. (Source: ADF\&G charter logbook).


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Catch Sharing Plan regulations are at: https://www.federalregister.gov/documents/2013/12/12/2013-29598/pacific-halibut-fisheries-catch-sharing-plan-for-guided-sport-and-commercial-fisheries-in-alaska

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ SAS/ETS ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ software, Version 9.4, SAS System for Windows, Copyright © (2002-2012), SAS Institute, Inc.
    ${ }^{3}$ The ADF\&G annual reports to the IPHC are available for download at https://www.npfmc.org/fisheries-issues/fisheries/halibut-fisheries/halibut-recreation/

[^2]:    *Effort is defined as an angler-day on open days with recorded bottomfish hours or harvest of at least one halibut.

