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**REGULATORY HISTORY**

- **Am39 2000** – LLP license limitations in effect
  Beginning to address harvest capacity prior to crab and gfish rationalization

- **Am64 2000** – First cod allocations by gear type; seasonal apportionment of cod TAC
  Response to increased cod participation by historical crab vessels

- **Am67 2002** – LLP endorsements for non-trawl vessels >60’ based on 1995-98 catch
  Reduced pool of latent non-trawl effort from 67 vessels (only 10 active since ‘95)

- **Am77 2004** – Split pot sector into CPs and CVs
  Results in 8 Pacific cod pot gear CP LLP license endorsements

- **Am85 2008** – Sector allocations for non-CDQ cod TAC; Pot CPs get 1.5% of BSAI total
  NMFS can make inseason reallocations between sectors
ALTERNATIVES

Alternative 1: No action

Alternative 2: Remove the Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands Pacific cod endorsements on CP pot LLP licenses unless the license is credited with a minimum directed landing of 1,000 metric tons in the management area based on the following threshold criteria:

Option 1: 2005 – 2019
Option 2: 2012 – 2019

Suboptions:
A – In any of 3 years
B – In any of 4 years

Figure ES-1, p.6

Figure 3-5, p.33
Amendment 85 assigned a portion of the Bering Sea/Aleutian Islands Pacific cod TAC to the pot CP sector with the primary goals of aligning Pacific cod allocations with actual dependency and use and providing stability to all sectors. Three major changes have occurred since the implementation of Amendment 85, which has resulted in less stability for the dependent vessels on which the Amendment 85 allocation was based:

1. Low crab TACs and consolidation within the crab fisheries has provided increased flexibility for pot CPs;
2. The TAC for Pacific cod in the BSAI has decreased over the last several years; and
3. The availability of rollovers to the pot CP sector has declined.

The Council is considering action to eliminate latent capacity in the fishery in order to increase stability for cod dependent pot CPs, to maintain consistently low rates of halibut and crab bycatch, and to ensure that condensed fishing seasons do not result in safety-at-sea concerns.

- Economic and operational stability *relative to No Action*
- Does not create additional harvest opportunity
- Other benefits may be contingent on voluntary actions by participants
FISHERY DESCRIPTION
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FISHERY DESCRIPTION

Figure 3-7, p.37

Figure 3-10, p.43
4 to 5 active vessels – the 5th entering in 2018
2 to 3 vessels also fish CDQ
Limited participation in GHL fisheries due to vessel size
Community ties:
- Operation: Primarily Dutch Harbor/Unalaska (Table 3-12)
- LLP ownership
  - Active: Seattle and Anchorage (Tables 3-9 to 3-11)
  - Inactive: Seattle, Kodiak, Wasilla
IMPACTS: ALTERNATIVE 1

- “No Action” is not necessarily the same as “Status Quo”
- Policy choice: Future opportunity vs. “stability”
- Maintains transfer value of all Pot CP endorsed LLP licenses
- Inducement of additional vessels is less linked to TAC than to what is happening in other fisheries
- Operational inefficiencies: travel cost, labor productivity, crew retention, safe operation, flexibility to respond to bycatch or cod quality
IMPACTS: ALTERNATIVE 2

- Right-sizing the fishery:
  - Is the answer different now than it was when Am 67 was passed?
  - Is the Council focused solely on the current context of the fishery or open to medium- to long-term developments that are not known?
- Other opportunities for LLPs that lose the Pot Cod CP endorsement
- Operational, bycatch, and safety benefits may be contingent on intra-sector cooperation
  - Whether that can be achieved with four, five, or eight endorsed licenses is a policy decision
NMFS is suggesting the following monitoring elements:

- Level 2 observers
- Pre-cruise meeting notification
- Certified observer sampling station & motion compensated platform scale
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