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## Southeast Alaska Outside Waters



## Data updated through 2015

No changes from September

## Model issues

1. Overfits to survey density data
2. Overestimates $M$
3. Underestimates uncertainty
4. Requires additional constraints in penalties and mechanisms in density likelihood

Suggested mechanisms

1. Fix $M$
2. Iterative reweighting of survey density data (SDNR)
3. RMSE addition to density likelihood
4. Addition of extra variance term in density likelihood

## Changes to model data \& structure

## Structural changes

1. Error in density likelihood corrected
a) Resolves model over-fitting to density survey data
b) Resolves underestimation of model uncertainty
c) Resolves model estimate of $M$ (previously too high)
d) Eliminates need for RMSE or other mechanisms in density likelihood

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{var}(\log (\hat{D}))=\log \left(1+\frac{\operatorname{var}(\hat{D})}{\hat{D}^{2}}\right) \\
& \operatorname{var}(\log (\hat{D}))=\log \left(1+\frac{\operatorname{sd}(\hat{D})}{\hat{D}^{2}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Standard deviation of normalized residuals

$$
\max (s d n r)<\left[\chi_{0.95}^{2} /(m-1)\right]^{0.5}=1.231(\text { Francis 2011) }
$$


— Data

- First pass
- Second pass

Third pass

## Models presented

1. Uncorrected Global model
2. Corrected Global model
3. Fixed M

## Primary parameters



Corrected and Fixed M: 10,000,000 MCMC draws Every $500^{\text {th }}$ retained 25\% burn-in

Uncorrected: 2,500,000 MCMC draws Every $100^{\text {th }}$ retained 25\% burn-in
$M=0.032$
Tier $4=0.026$


## Result: Total spawning biomass



## Total recruitment



## Results: recruitment indications

Observed catch composition CSEO


## Results: recruitment indications

Observed catch composition SSEO


## Results: recruitment indications

Observed catch composition EYKT






## Results: Commercial fisheries CPUE



## Results: IPHC survey CPUE



## Model Results: Likelihoods

| Likelihood | Corrected model | Fixed M | Uncorrected model |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Commercial catch | -2.06 | -2.06 | 7.26 |
| Sport catch | -1.38 | -1.38 | -0.48 |
| Age composition | 835.77 | 835.94 | 2660.93 |
| Survey density | 6.40 | 7.66 | 2072.84 |
| CPUE | -43.70 | -43.97 | -42.07 |
| IPHC CPUE | 10.51 | 10.53 | 25.29 |

## Model Results: Comparisons

Deviance Information Criterion
DIC values for models from $10,000,000$ MCMC iterations, saving every $500^{\text {th }}$

|  | Corrected -Chain 1 | Corrected - Chain 2 | Uncorrected* |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Expectation of log-likelihood | 1825 | 1824 | 9743 |
| Expectation of theta | 1832 | 1927 | 10274 |
| Effective number of parameters | -7 | -103 | -632 |
| DIC | 1818 | 1722 | 9111 |

*The Uncorrected model was from the previous MCMC run, using 2,000,000 iterations and preserving every $100^{\text {th }}$


## Model Results: Mean recruitment




## Global model evaluation

Retrospective analysis: density


## Fixed M model evaluation

Retrospective analysis: density


## Global model evaluation

Retrospective analysis: spawning biomass


## Fixed M model evaluation

Retrospective analysis: spawning biomass


Retrospective analysis: age 8 recruitment


## Fixed M model evaluation

Retrospective analysis: age 8 recruitment


## Spawning biomass projections



## Model Recommendation

| Flevel | Biomass (metric tons) | ABC (metric tons) |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| $F_{65}(0.022)$ | $\mathrm{L} \mathrm{90} \mathrm{\%} \mathrm{CI}(8392)$ | 150 |
| $F_{60}(0.026)$ | $\mathrm{L} 90 \% \mathrm{CI}(8392)$ | 181 |
| $F_{55}(0.031)$ | $\mathrm{L} 90 \% \mathrm{CI}(8392)$ | 217 |
| CURRENT ABC $(F=0.02$, assumes no selectivity) | $\mathbf{2 1 1}$ |  |

Current ABC (211 tons) under global model $=F=0.0305$ 2015 OFL (361 tons) under global model $=F=0.0419$

If the corrected global model were accepted for purposes of management advice, the author recommends setting harvest levels to $F_{65}$ and using the lower $90 \%$ confidence interval of the modelestimated biomass to set catch levels, which produces an $A B C$ level for 2016 of $\mathbf{1 5 0}$ metric tons and is directed towards recovery from observed declining abundance.

## Priorities

1. Re-analyze ADF\&G survey data for global model;
2. Explore alternative methods for ROV survey -adaptive-cluster sampling for relative density zones across habitat
