Mike Litzow<sup>1</sup> Erin Fedewa<sup>1</sup> Brendan Connors<sup>2</sup> Lisa Eisner<sup>1</sup> David Kimmel<sup>1</sup> Trond Kristiansen<sup>3</sup> Mike Malick<sup>4</sup> Jens Nielsen<sup>1,5</sup>

<sup>1</sup>NOAA AFSC <sup>2</sup>DFO <sup>3</sup>Farallon Institute <sup>4</sup>NOAA NWFSC <sup>5</sup>U. Washington

# Climate change and snow crab











Carapace length (mm)



Carapace length (mm)



Carapace length (mm)

# "What happened?" requires a simple answer

# Immature female snow crab



- Few observations
- Collinear covariates (predation, disease, etc.)
- Complex answers require an elephant's worth of model on a mouse's amount of data
- Impossible to rule out alternate explanations
- Focusing on *proximate* mechanisms may be fruitless

# Collapse coincides with rapid borealization





# Approach

1.Create an index to measure the progress of borealization (*recognize*)

- 2.Evaluate the relationship between borealization and snow crab abundance (*recognize*)
- 3.Evaluate the evidence for human contributions to borealization (*attribute*)

4.Use climate models to project borealization & snow crab abundance, make inference about time-varying M (*anticipate*)

# Ecosystem properties associated with borealization



# Time series for borealization index



1. Measure borealization

# Borealization index: Dynamic Factor Analysis trend

Estimates with 95% confidence intervals



★ Hypothesized proximate mechanisms

### Response variable: immature survey abundance in core range



### Immature abundance with estimated 2020 value and uncertainty



Multiple imputation using:

- Model mature male snow crab abundance
- Model mature female snow crab abundance
- Model age3+ pollock biomass
- Model age2+ yellowfin biomass
- Model female Alaska plaice biomass

# Abundance declines with high borealization values

Bayesian autoregressive regression model:

 $abundance_{t+1} \sim abundance_t + s(borealization\_trend_t) + \varepsilon$ 



3. Human contribution to borealization

# Attribution of extreme temperatures since 2014

#### 8. THE HIGH LATITUDE MARINE HEAT WAVE OF 2016 AND ITS IMPACTS ON ALASKA JOHN E. WALSH, RICHARD L. THOMAN, UMA S. BHATT, PETER A. BIENIEK, BRIAN BRETTSCHNEIDER, Michael Brubaker, Seth Danielson, Rick Lader, Florence Fetterer, Kris Holderied, Katrin Iken, ANDY MAHONEY, MOLLY MCCAMMON, AND JAMES PARTAIN The 2016 Alaska marine heat wave was unprecedented in terms of sea surface temperatures and ocean heat content, and CMIP5 data suggest human-induced climate change has greatly increased the risk of such anomalies. THE RECORD LOW BERING SEA ICE EXTENT IN 2018: CONTEXT, IMPACTS, AND AN ASSESSMENT OF THE ROLE OF ANTHROPOGENIC CLIMATE CHANGE RICHARD L. THOMAN JR., UMA S. BHATT, PETER A. BIENIEK, BRIAN R. BRETTSCHNEIDER, MICHAEL BRUBAKER, SETH L. DANIELSON, ZACHARY LABE, RICK LADER, WALTER N. MEIER, GAY SHEFFIELD, AND JOHN E. WALSH Record low Bering Sea sea ice in 2018 had profound regional impacts. According to cl Progress in Oceanography 186 (2020) 102393 RESS IN Contents lists available at ScienceDirect Progress in Oceanography RESEARCH journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/pocean

Evaluating ecosystem change as Gulf of Alaska temperature exceeds the limits of preindustrial variability

Michael A. Litzow<sup>a,\*</sup>, Mary E. Hunsicker<sup>b</sup>, Eric J. Ward<sup>c</sup>, Sean C. Anderson<sup>d</sup>, Jin Gao<sup>c,e</sup>, Stephani G. Zador<sup>f</sup>, Sonia Batten<sup>8</sup>, Sherri C. Dressel<sup>h</sup>, Janet Duffy-Anderson<sup>f</sup>, Emily Fergusson<sup>1</sup>, Russell R. Hopcroft<sup>J</sup>, Benjamin J. Laurel<sup>k</sup>, Robert O'Malley<sup>1</sup>

<sup>a</sup> College of Fisheries and Ocean Sciences, University of Alaska Fairbanks, Kodiak, AK 99615, USA <sup>b</sup> Northwest Fisheries Science Center, National Marine Fisheries Service, Newport, OR 97365, USA <sup>c</sup> Northwest Fisheries Science Center, National Marine Fisheries Service, Seattle, WA 98112, USA <sup>d</sup> Pacific Riological Station Fisheries and Oceans Canada Nanaimo, BC V9T 6N7, Canada <sup>2</sup> Centre for Fisheries Ecosystems Research, Memorial University of Newfoundland, St. John's, NL A1C 5R3, Canada <sup>4</sup>Alaska Fisheries Science Center, National Marine Fisheries Service, Seattle, WA 98115, USA 8 Marine Biological Association, Nanaimo, BC V9V 1NB, Canada <sup>h</sup> Division of Commercial Fisheries, Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Juneau, AK 99811, USA <sup>1</sup> Alaska Fisheries Science Center, National Marine Fisheries Service, Juneau, AK 99801, USA



### **OCEAN TEMPERATURE**

#### High-impact marine heatwaves attributable to human-induced global warming

Charlotte Laufkötter<sup>1,2</sup>\*. Jakob Zscheischler<sup>1,2</sup>. Thomas L. Frölicher<sup>1,2</sup>

Marine heatwaves (MHWs)-periods of extremely high ocean temperatures in specific regions-have occurred in all of Earth's ocean basins over the past two decades, with severe negative impacts on marine organisms and ecosystems. However, for most individual MHWs, it is unclear to what extent they have been altered by human-induced climate change. We show that the occurrence probabilities of the duration, intensity, and cumulative intensity of most documented, large, and impactful MHWs have increased more than 20-fold as a result of anthropogenic climate change. MHWs that occurred only once every hundreds to thousands of years in the preindustrial climate are projected to become decadal to centennial events under 1.5°C warming conditions and annual to decadal events under 3°C warming conditions. Thus, ambitious climate targets are indispensable to reduce the risks of substantial MHW impacts.

that equals or exceeds the duration, intensity, and cumulative intensity of the observed MHW in preindustrial and present-day model simulations. These probabilities are denoted P<sup>cumulativeintensity</sup> by P<sup>duration</sup><sub>present-day</sub>, P<sup>intensity</sup><sub>present-</sub> Present-day, Present-day  $P_{\text{preindustrial}}^{\text{duration}}$ ,  $P_{\text{preindustrial}}^{\text{intensity}}$ , and  $P_{\text{preindustrial}}^{\text{cumulative intensity}}$ respectively.

Here, we explicitly take changes in the frequency of heatwaves as well as changes in the duration, intensity, or cumulative intensity of heatwaves into account (see materials and methods). Our approach builds on the work of Stott et al. (28) and Oliver et al. (6) but with several modifications. In contrast to most previous attribution studies, we specifically calculate the occurrence probabilities of heatwaves as opposed to the probabilities of ex-

# Fraction of Attributable Risk (FAR)

$$FAR = 1 - \frac{\text{preindustrial probability}}{\text{current probability}}$$

# FAR = 0 equally likely with / without human influence

## FAR = 0.5 **—** twice as likely with human influence

# FAR = 1 — only possible with human influence

# 23 CMIP6 models

- Weighted for each region (bias, autocorrelation, low-frequency prediction)
- Corrected for differences in climate sensitivity and predicted warming rate (model democracy)



### North Pacific sea surface temperature

# Borealization maps onto annual sea surface temperature

3 a 2 Borealization trend Posterior mean with 80 / 90 / 95% credible intervals 0. -1 -2 111 SST anomaly wrt 1854-1949

# Recent Bering Sea SST extremes are human-caused

Posterior mean with 95% credible intervals



### Rapid borealization events occur during human-caused SST extremes

Posterior mean with 80 / 90 / 95% credible intervals



4. Project borealization

Expected return time for SST anomalies ≥ 2016, 2018-2020 values

(high borealization years)

Posterior means with 95% credible intervals



### Observed & projected North Pacific warming rate



Observed (ERSST data)

# Conclusions

- The southeast Bering has rapidly borealized since 2016
- Strong evidence for negative impacts on snow crab
  - *A priori* expectation for ice-associated species
  - Proposed mechanisms map onto borealization
  - Statistical support
- Strong support for human role in borealization
- Probability of borealization *already* increased; *projected* to continue
- Recent M is the most probable value for projections
- M has an anthropogenic component:

"non-fishing mortality" rather than "natural mortality"

• Northern Bering important for longer-term health of the fishery