ADVISORY PANEL MINUTES
North Pacific Fishery Management Council
October 1-6, 2007 Hilton Hotel, Anchorage, Alaska

The following members were present for all or part of the meeting:

Lisa Butzner          John Henderscheidt          Mike Martin
Joe Childers          Jan Jacobs                Matt Moir
Craig Cross           Bob Jacobson              John Moller
Julianne Curry        Simon Kinneen            Ed Poulsen
Tom Enlow             Kent Leslie              Michelle Ridgway
Bob Gunderson         Tina McNamee              Lori Swanson

C-1 (a) 3A GHL Measures

The AP recommends the Council:
1. Table action on 3A GHL measures and request that ADF&G report on final 2007 charter halibut harvests in October 2008 and schedule final action for October or December, 2008.
2. Request the Council ask the State of Alaska to keep their current restrictions on skipper and crew and line limits in place during the 2008 season in area 3A.

The above recommendations will preserve the ability of the Council to recommend management restrictions for the 2009 season if needed, based on a updated analysis. With this delay, the Council will also be able to see the benefit of the crew and skipper restrictions put into place during the 2007 season by the State of Alaska. Motion passed 16/1.

C-1 (c) ALLOCATION - Action 1

The AP recommends the following changes to Action 1 - Allocation (bold and underlined = new language added to existing list of alternatives)

Action 1. Establish an allocation to the halibut charter sector that includes sector accountability.

Element 1. Allocation

Option 1: Fixed percentage of combined charter harvest and commercial catch limit for reference period. The initial allocation would be defined as the percentage that will be a portion of the fishery CEY rounded to two decimal places.

a. 125% of the 1995-1999 avg charter harvest (current GHL formula) 13% 14%
   b. 125% OF THE 2000-2004 AVG CHARTER HARVEST
      (GHL FORMULA UPDATED THRU 2004) 16% 15%
   c. 125% of the 2001-2005 avg charter harvest (GHL formula updated thru 2005) 17% 15%
   d. Current GHL as percent of 2004 12% 13%
   e. 2004 CHARTER HARVEST 14% 13%
   f. 2005 charter harvest 15% 13%

Option 2: FIXED POUNDS, WITH STAIR STEP UP AND STEP DOWN LINKED TO TOTAL HALIBUT BIOMASS fishery CEY, CEY determined BY IPHC

a. 125% of the 1995-1999 avg charter harvest (current GHL) 1.4 Mlb 3.7 Mlb
   b. 125% of the 2000-2004 avg charter harvest (GHL updated thru 2004) 1.7 Mlb 4.0 Mlb
   c. 125% of the 2001-2005 avg charter harvest (GHL updated thru 2005) 1.9 Mlb 4.1 Mlb
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Element 2. Management of “hard” allocation
Option 1: In-season management by NMFS (close season when cap is reached)
Option 2. Catch Sharing Plan (WA & OR example) with IPHC publishing management measures annually
Option 3. State Delegation (inseason management or preseason management as in King Salmon Management Plan)
Suboption: Divide the charter allocation into 3 or 4 separate sub-seasons

Motion passed 16/1.

Action 2 - Compensated Reallocation between Commercial and Charter Sectors in Areas 2C and 3A (bold and underlined = new language)

Problem Statement
The absence of a hard allocation between the longline and the charter halibut sectors has resulted in conflicts between sectors and tensions in coastal communities dependent on the halibut resource. Unless a mechanism for transfer between sectors is established, the existing environment of instability and conflict will continue. The Council seeks to address this instability while balancing the needs of all who depend on the halibut resource for food, sport, or livelihood.

Action 2. Compensated Reallocation between Commercial and Charter Sectors in Areas 2C and 3A
Alternative 1. Common Pool Management
Alternative 1 implements measures to allow compensated reallocation between the commercial sector and the charter sector using a common pool management regime.

Element 1: Holder of Quota Share, Method of Funding and Revenue Stream
Element 1.1: METHOD OF FUNDING
A. FEDERAL COMMON POOL
   option 1. LOAN
   option 2. BUYOUT PROGRAM

B. State of Alaska Common Pool
   option 1. LOAN
   option 2 bonding (funding source) Charter sportfishing license surcharge (revenue source)

Option 3. business license fee/surcharge or limited entry permit holder
   suboption 1. fee is based on number of clients
   suboption 2. fee is based on number of fish

C. Regional Non-Profit Association Common Pool
   option 1. loan (funding source)
   Self assessment fee (revenue source)

Element 1.2: Revenue Stream
A. Federal Common Pool
   option 1. halibut-charter stamp
   option 2. moratorium-permit fee
   option 3. self-assessment fee
   suboption 1. fee is based on number of clients
   suboption 2. fee is based on number of fish

B. State of Alaska Common Pool
   option 1. charter stamp
   option 2. sportfishing license surcharge
option 3. business license fee/surcharge or limited entry permit holder

suboption 1. fee is based on number of clients
suboption 2. fee is based on number of fish

C. Regional Non-Profit Association Common Pool

option 1. self-assessment

Suboption 1. fee is based on number of clients
Suboption 2. fee is based on number of fish

Revenue streams will be for a defined period and end after the loan or bond is paid off, i.e. continuous open-ended revenue streams are to be avoided.

Element 2: Restrictions on transferability of commercial quota share by charter sector, with grandfather clause to exempt current participants in excess of proposed limits

Element 2.1: Limits on transferability
The percentages are based on the combined commercial and charter catch limit. A percentage of the combined commercial and charter catch limit will be available for transfer between sectors.

Option 1: 10 percent
Option 2: 15 percent
Option 3: 20 percent
Option 4: 25 percent

Element 2.2: Limits on QS purchase

A. entities purchasing for a common pool:
Option 1. limited annually to a percentage (30-50%) of the average amount of QS transferred during the previous five years.
Option 2. Restrictions on vessel class sizes/blocked and unblocked/ blocks above and below sweep-up levels to leave entry size blocks available for the commercial market and to leave some larger blocks available for an individual trying to increase their poundage.

Option 3. No limits
(These options are not intended to be mutually exclusive.)

Element 2.3: Limits on IFQ leasing

A. The common pool may only lease 0-15% of holdings back to the commercial sector.

Alternative 2. Individual Ownership Management
Alternative 2 implements measures to allow compensated reallocation between the commercial sector and the charter sector using an individual ownership management regime. A moratorium permit would be required unless the moratorium is not in place, in which case a Guided Sportfish Business License would be required instead.

Element 1: Holder of Quota Share, Method of Funding and Revenue Stream

Element 1.1: Method of Funding
option 1. loan programs
option 2. private funding

Element 1.2: Revenue Stream
Revenue streams will come from private sources.
Element 2: Restrictions on transferability of commercial quota share by charter sector, with grandfather clause to exempt current participants in excess of proposed limits

Element 2.1: Limits on transferability
The percentages are based on the combined commercial and charter catch limit. A percentage of the combined commercial and charter catch limit will be available for transfer between sectors.
  Option 1: 10 percent
  Option 2: 15 percent
  Option 3: 20 percent
  Option 4: 25 percent

Element 2.2: Limits on QS purchase
  A. Individuals are subject to the current ownership cap and block restrictions associated with commercial quota share

Element 2.3: Limits on IFQ leasing
  A. Individual charter operators:
     Option 1. an individual may not hold or control more than the amount equal to the current setline ownership cap converted to the number of fish in each area (currently 1% of the setline catch limit in 2C or 1/8% in 3A)
     Option 2. an individual may not hold or control more than 2,000, 5,000, or 7,500 to 10,000 fish.
        (Note: examine this as a percentage of the catch limit once allocations are established.)
     Option 3. charter operators may lease up to 10% of their QS back to commercial sector
  B. Individual commercial fishermen:
     i. Commercial fishermen who do not hold a sport fishing guide business license and/or moratorium permit may lease up to 10% of their annual IFQs for use as GAF on an individual basis, or to a common pool.
     ii. Commercial fishermen who hold QS and a sport fishing guide business license and a halibut moratorium license may convert all or a portion of their commercial QS to GAF on a yearly basis if they own and fish it themselves on their own vessel. Commercial and charter fishing may not be conducted from the same vessel during the same day.

Implementation Issues
AP agrees that the implementation issues should be in the analytical portion of the analysis. It is also helpful to have this list of implementation issues as part of the motion elements to let the public who just looks at the motion to understand some of the basis and assumptions that form the backbone of the programs.

1. These qualifying entities may purchase commercial QS and request NMFS to issue annual IFQs generated by these shares as Guided Angler Fish (GAF*). Affects the Private Pool Only and common pool.

2. Qualified entities harvesting GAF while participating in the guided sport halibut fishery are exempt from landing and use restrictions associated with commercial IFQ fishery, but subject to the landing and use provisions detailed below. Affects both the Private Pool and Common Pool.

3. GAF would be issued in numbers of fish. The conversion between annual IFQ and GAF would be based on average weight of halibut landed in each region’s charter halibut fishery (2C or 3A) during the previous

---

1 * GAF = Guided Angler Fish (This is used only as a charter unit of measurement for commercial quota share converted to charter use and is not indicative) of a particular long term solution.

** indicates changes made by the AP to the Halibut Stakeholder recommendations
year as determined by ADF&G. The long-term plan may require further conversion to some other form (e.g., angler days). *Affects both the Private Pool and Common Pool.*

4. Subleasing of GAF would be prohibited. *Affects the Private Pool Only.*

5. GAF holders may request NMFS convert unused GAF into IFQ pounds for harvest in compliance with commercial fishing regulations provided the GAF holder qualifies under the commercial IFQ regulations. *Affects the Private Pool Only*

6. Unused GAF may revert back to pounds of IFQ at the end of the year and be subject to the underage provisions applicable to their underlying commercial QS. *Affects both the Private Pool and Common Pool.*

7. All compensated reallocation would be voluntary based using willing seller and willing buyer. *Option: A pro-rata reduction with compensation. A pro-rata reduction would not decrease the number of QS held by an individual; rather, it would decrease the size of the total commercial pool from which IFQs are annually calculated. The effect would be similar to how a decrease in abundance affects annual calculation of IFQs, except that quota share holders would be compensated for the resultant poundage reduction of their IFQs. Option: exempt category-D QS from voluntary and involuntary pro-rata reduction with compensation.*

*Affects both the Private Pool and Common Pool.*

8. Guided angler fish derived from commercial QS may not be sold into commerce, i.e., all sport regulations remain in effect. *Affects both the Private Pool and Common Pool.*

9. Guided angler fish derived from commercial QS may not be used to harvest fish in excess of the non-guided sport bag limit on any given day. *Affects both the Private Pool and Common Pool.*

10. There needs to be a link between the charter business operators and the cost of increasing the charter pool. If the charter business operators do not experience the cost of increasing the charter pool, there will not be a feedback loop to balance the market system. *Affects both Common Pool Only.*

*Motion passed 15/2*

The AP recommends keeping actions 1 and 2 of agenda item C1 linked. Without a mechanism for charter operators to obtain additional QS or IFQ through a compensated reallocation mechanism, significant adverse impacts would occur in the charter segment of the industry. These actions should be taken concurrently. *Motion passed 17/0.*

Additionally, the AP makes the following recommendations/clarifications in response to staff questions on Compensated Reallocation Analysis:

**Overarching Issues**

1. Recommend restructuring alternatives as follows (see Kathy Hansen's document)
2. Recommend using existing date ranges and note that 2006 data fall within the percentages derived from the existing date ranges.
3. Recommend continuing to use GHL as baseline for analysis of Action 2 alternatives. Additional options will complicate the analysis.
4. Recommend eliminating options as listed above in restructured alternatives.
5. Recommend assembling a task force of agency personnel to identify details of key record keeping, implementation and enforcement issues.
6. Recommend making management approach to allocation an explicit decision point as included in restructured alternatives above.
Allocation Issues:

1. Recommend initial allocations be presented as a range of percentages with the formulas used to provide reference and context for specific points within that range.
2. Recommend using percentages with 2 decimal places
3. see above
4. See 1 above. Formulas should not be hind-cast based on different IPHC models. The decisions that have lead to this point were based on the numbers in effect in each year. Different decisions could have been made if different numbers were in effect. It is inequitable to use hind-cast numbers to govern present allocation decisions. The stairstep up and down provisions would use CEY at time of action.
5. Clarify that step function on a fix poundage option do make it more like a floating percentage.
6. Recommend using 2007 CEYs for analysis. Staff recommendations were not accepted.
7. Recommend that NO uncompensated roll over provision between sectors be developed. This is consistent with how the King Salmon, DSR, and ling cod allocation are managed.
8. Recommend the agency task force should make recommendations on the responsible agency for each of the method of managing the allocation identified above.

Reallocation Issues:

1. Clarify that the under all options in Action 2, the initial allocation for the common pool would NOT be further subdivided to individual limited entry permit holders. The issue of the race for fish and the disposition of the common pool are addressed under the alternatives considered in the long-term solution package.
2. Recommend agency task force determine necessary record keeping and reporting requirements
3. Recommend clarifying that in all options under Action QS remains the same whether it is held in a common pool or by individual charter businesses. The IFQ resulting from that QS can be converted into GAF or commercial Lbs, and would be governed by the use rules appropriate for each form.
4. Recommend Issue 7, pro-rata reallocation be deleted or the State of Alaska needs to provide the necessary details in a time frame that does not delay further action on this program.

Motion passed 17/0.

Given comments received in public comment, the AP strongly supports the Stakeholder Committee’s development of individual quotas as an option for a permanent solution. Motion passed 13/4.

The minority preferred to remain silent on recommending an individual quota as an option for permanent solution. We believe this is already being addressed in the stakeholder committee and is unnecessary to make a statement at this time. Signed: Tina McNamee, John Henderschedt, Michelle Ridgway, and Lisa Butzner.

C-2 Halibut Subsistence

The AP recommends the alternatives identified in the action memo be adopted for analysis with initial review in 2008. Motion passed 17/0.

C-3 (b) BSAI Crab B Shares

The AP recommends the Council endorse and support the Crab Advisory Committee's recommendation on future action, and wishes to emphasize that communities are an important part of the crab discussion and should be included on the advisory committee. Motion passed 16/2.

The AP recommends the Council move forward for analysis the regulatory recommendations including the purpose and needs statements as drafted in the Crab Advisory Committee report. Those regulations address
• Market reports and non-binding formulas for fisheries unlikely to open
• Timeline for the golden king crab market report and formula does not allow for data from most recent fishery to be used
• Staleness of the market reports
• Immunity for arbitration organizations, arbitrators, market analysts, and the third party data provider.

Motion passed 18/0.

Additionally, the AP recommends the Council direct the Crab Advisory Committee to address the perceived problems with the A/B share split and the potential effects of changing the A/B share formula. Motion passed 15/3.

The minority supports Council staff generating a quantitative assessment of the effects of these A/B share ratios: 80/20, 70/30, 50/50. We feel that an objective evaluation of the effects of these ratios will augment existing qualitative information and provide the public, affected parties and the Council’s Crab Committee the opportunity to compare and contrast alternate A/B share scenarios. Signed: Michelle Ridgway, John Moller, and Tina McNamee.

C-3 (c) C share 90/10 exemptions

The AP recommends the Council release the EA/RIR/IRFA for public review and final action in December 2007. Motion passed 15/0.

The AP continues to support a federal loan program for purchasing crew shares. The AP recommends the Council take steps necessary to advance development of this loan program as soon as possible. Motion passed 16/0.

C-3 (d) Processing Use Cap Exemptions

The AP recommends releasing the EA/RIR/IRFA on processing share use cap exemptions for public review and final action with the following changes:
• Remove or revise the “value at time of landing” tables
• Revise the problem statement so that the last sentence reads “exempting shares in the community of origin from…”
• Add “home rule cities” to option 2 under locations qualified for the exemption
• For Western Aleutians, create 2 suboptions:
  o Exempt western shares only
  o Exempt western shares and undesignated shares harvested in the west

Motion passed 18/0

The AP believes that the Council should consider sideboards in the cod fishery on both the PQS holders and the floating processing vessels that previously processed northern region opilio if they consolidate their IPQ use through custom processing or otherwise. The AP considers the issue important and advises the Council to take any necessary action in time to implement sideboards at the time of implementation or as close to the time of implementation of custom processing share use caps exemption as possible. Motion passed 18/0.

Additionally, the AP requests the Council task staff to prepare a discussion paper to examine the issuance of B shares for any IPQ that a PQS holder does not apply for. Motion passed 17/1.
C-3 (d) BSAI Crab post delivery transfers

The AP recommends releasing the EA/RIR/IRFA for public review with the following changes:
1. Include “No person shall be permitted to begin a fishing trip, unless the person holds unused IFQ” in both Alternative 2 and Alternative 3.
2. Change language in Alternative 3 from “species” to “allocations.”

Further, the AP recommends the Council adopt Alternative 2 as a preliminary preferred alternative, including the suboption that all post-delivery transfers must be completed by the end of the crab fishing year, and all harvesters would be eligible for post-delivery transfers.

Motion passed 18/0.

C-4 (a) Gulf of Alaska Pcod split

The AP recommends that the Council advance the Gulf of Alaska Pacific Cod Sector Split Purpose and Need Statement. Motion passed 15/1/1

Additionally, the AP recommends that the Council advance the elements and options with the changes noted in the subsequent motions. Motion passed 16/0

The AP recommends that Component 3, Option 2 (All retained catch excluding meal) be deleted. Motion passed 16/1

Further, the AP recommends Component 5 read as follows:
Options include 1%, 3%, 5% or 7% of the Western and Central GOA Pacific cod TACs for the jig catcher vessel sector, with a staistep provision to increase the TACs by
- 1%
- 2%
- 3%
if 100% of the Federal TAC and 90% of one of the Central Gulf state waters district GHLs or the Western Gulf state waters GHL is harvested. Subsequent to the jig TAC increasing by a staistep up, if the harvest threshold criteria described above are not met, the jig TAC will be stepped down by 1% in the following year.

The jig allocation could be set aside from the A season TAC, the B season TAC, or divided between the A and B season TACs. Motion passed 16/0.

C-4 (b) GOA LLP recency

The AP requests that the Council task staff to begin the analytical process and to include the following components and define issues of concern:

Draft Statement of Purpose and Need
Western Gulf and Central Gulf groundfish fisheries are subject to intense competition, particularly in the A season, when fish are aggregated and of highest value. Competition among fixed gear participants in the Western Gulf and Central Gulf fisheries has increased for a variety of reasons, including increased market value of Pacific cod products and a declining ABC/TAC. The possible future entry of latent effort would have detrimental effects on LLP holders that have exhibited participation in, and dependence on, the fixed gear groundfish fisheries. Many fixed gear vessel owners have made significant investments, have long catch histories, and are dependant on WGOA and CGOA groundfish resources. These long-term participants need protection from those who have little or no recent history and who have the ability to increase their participation in the fisheries. The intent of the proposed amendment is to prevent latent fixed gear groundfish fishing capacity that has not been utilized in recent years, from future entry or re-entry into the fisheries.
This requires prompt action to promote stability in the fixed gear sectors of the GOA groundfish fisheries, and is expected to be implemented concurrently with the division of GOA Pacific cod among sectors that is currently under consideration.

Component 1 - Area
Western Gulf
Central Gulf (current endorsement includes West Yakutat)

Component 2 - Identify and define Sectors
H&L CP
Option: H&L CP =>125
H&L CP <125
H&L CV
Pot CP
Pot CV
Jig

Component 3 – Qualifying years
00-05
00-06
02-05
02-06

Component 4 – Catch thresholds
Thresholds shall be based on legally retained catch in the aggregate during all of the qualifying years in the Federal and Parallel fisheries (excluding IFQ catches).

Option 1 – All groundfish 1,3,5 landings
Option 2 – All directed Pacific Cod 1,3,5 landings (resulting in a Pcod endorsement)
Option 3 – All groundfish 5,10,25,100 mt
Option 4 – All directed Pacific Cod 5,10,25,100 mt (resulting in a Pcod endorsement)

Component 5 – Multiple endorsement provisions
Where there are multiple LLPs registered to a single vessel, also known as ‘stacking’ of LLPs, groundfish harvest history will be fully credited to all stacked licenses, each carrying its own qualifying endorsements and designations.

Option 1 – CV’s operating with a qualifying catch history in both the “trawl” and the “fixed gear” sectors shall elect annually sector participation.

Option 2 – CV’s operating with a qualifying catch history in both the “trawl” and the “fixed gear” sectors shall have a one time election of sector participation.

Option 3 – CV’s operating with a qualifying catch history in both the “trawl” and “fixed gear” sectors shall be able to elect to participate in both sectors in a single season.

Motion passed 16/0.
C-4 (c) GOA sideboards

The AP recommends that the Council initiate an analysis for a regulatory amendment to exempt CP trawl vessels that participate in the CGOA Rockfish pilot program coop or limited access sectors and also belong to a cooperative in the BSAI fisheries under Amendment 80 from the July stand-down period. *Motion passed 17/0*

The AP recommends that the Council initiate an analysis for a regulatory amendment to add an amount of halibut PSC to the Amendment 80 3rd quarter deep-water halibut PSC sideboard proportionate to the halibut available to the rockfish catcher-processor limited access and opt-out fisheries. *Motion passed 17/0*

The AP recommends the Council initiate an analysis for a regulatory amendment to address crab rationalization sideboards with the following revisions to the options provided in the discussion paper:

Option 2 – Replace “allocation” with “catch history”;

Add – Option 3 – Exempt non-AFA crab vessels from GOA Pacific cod sideboards if the vessel’s Bering Sea opilio catch history is less than 500,000 lbs and the vessel landed more than 2,500 mt of GOA Pacific cod from 1996-2000.

*Motion passed 18/0*

The AP wishes to re-affirm that this exemption would apply only to those non-AFA crab vessels/licenses that are eligible to participate in the GOA Pacific cod fishery. *Motion passed 18/0*

The AP recommends the Council task staff with further developing the discussion addressing the Council’s policy that requires vessels to fish their BSAI pollock allocation to maintain their exempted status. *Motion passed 18/0*

C-4 (d) Post delivery transfers in the rockfish pilot program

The AP recommends the Council send out the analysis for public review and final action as modified. *Motion passed 16/0*

Revise alternatives 2 and 3 so that all transfers must be completed by December 31. *Motion passed 16/0. 

Revise alternative 2 so that a vessel must have CQ for all allocated species before initiating a trip. *Motion passed 16/0.*

C-5 BSAI and GOA Trawl LLP Recency Analysis

The AP recommends that the Council task staff to continue work on the analysis and bring it back for initial review in December with several revisions to be addressed in a subsequent motion. *Motion passed 16/1.*

The AP recommends the Council make the following revisions to the analysis:

1. Make AFA exemption a “statement” and not an “option” in the document and address the Council’s concern that it not take an action that would result in an AFA vessel losing access to its AFA pollock allocation.

2. Make a statement excluding Central Gulf of Alaska area endorsements of the LLPs qualified for the rockfish demonstration program from LLP qualification and address the Council’s concern that it not take an action that would result in a CGOA rockfish pilot program vessel losing access to its CGOA RPP allocation.
3. More fully discuss in Section 3.4.2.10 the range of factors that have resulted in AFA vessels not fully harvesting their GOA sideboards.

4. In Component 1, Option 3, modify to extend the qualification period to 2006 for the BSAI only.

5. More fully contrast the effects of Alternative 2 (area-wide) and Alternative 3 (sub-area) application of the threshold.

6. In Table 3, the Alternatives/element cell that describes Component 1, Option 4 needs to be modified to reference the landing requirements described in Alternatives 2 and 3.

7. The AP concurs with most of the SSC comments regarding this analysis; however the AP recognizes that analyzing net benefits of the amendment to entities that neither previously participated nor qualified may be untenable. For this reason, the AP recommends that no further development of the net benefits section be pursued.

Motion passed 17/0

The AP acknowledges that further delays in implementing the LLP analysis may lead to increased pressure (through latent license participation) on the fully utilized BSAI Pcod stock. Although refinements to the package have been requested for the purpose of developing a solid analysis have been requested, the AP recommends that the Council encourage advancement of this package as soon as possible. Motion passed 17/0.

C-6 AM 80 Post delivery transfers

The AP recommends the council task staff to develop an analysis of post-harvest transfers. This analysis should examine the three alternatives included in the action memo with the following revisions as recommended by staff:

1. Change language from “transfer of species” to “transfer of allocation”;
2. Apply a requirement that a harvester must hold CQ at the start of a trip to both Alternative 2 and Alternative 3.

Motion passed 17/0

Amendment 80 allocates six target species and five PSC categories to vessels fishing in the non-AFA trawl catcher-processor sector. Vessels may choose to form cooperatives and combine their allocations, or they may choose to fish in a ‘limited access’ fishery that continues to operate under a ‘race for fish’ within the combined allocations. Given that each allocation represents a cap, it is likely that the limited access fishery will be closed on one species or PSC while leaving significant amount(s) of the other species unharvested. Amendment 80 does not provide a mechanism for this unharvested fish to roll from the limited access fishery to the Amendment 80 cooperatives for harvest. Without this provision, some amount of allocated species may be stranded in the limited access sector. Creating a mechanism to roll this unharvested amount to the Amendment 80 cooperatives prior to the end of the year will facilitate more complete harvest and utilization of these allocations.

The AP recommends that the Council request staff to develop an analysis of a mechanism to allow allocations of target species and PSC that may be unharvested in the Amendment 80 limited access fishery to roll over to the Amendment 80 cooperatives. This rollover is not intended as a means to cover overages within the Amendment 80 co-op sector.

Motion passed 17/0
C-7 Comprehensive economic data collection

The AP recommends the comprehensive socio-economic data collection effort proceed once clear objectives are articulated. Specific data should be collected that address those objectives. Further, the AP recommends the AFSC workgroup being convened include crewmember, community, and industry representation to inform that process. Motion passed 17/0.

D-1 (a) GOA Arrowtooth MRA

The AP recommends the Council select Alternative 2 that would set the MRAs for incidental catch species relative to arrowtooth flounder as a basis species as per the industry proposal. Motion passed 12/1/1.

D-1 (b) Western GOA Pollock Trip Limit

The AP recommends the Council release the EA/RIR/IRFA for public review with the following changes:

Eliminate “Western” in the title and adopt the clarifying language recommended by the enforcement committee:

A. Limit trawl CV in the GOA pollock fishery to landing no more than 136 MT, through any delivery means, in a calendar day - more 12am -12am [0100-2400]

AND

B. The cumulative amount of pollock harvested from the GOA and landed by a trawl catcher vessel cannot exceed the daily trip limit of 136 mt times the numbers of calendar days the fishery is open for the respective sub-management areas, i.e. 610, 620, and 630.

Motion passed 14/0.

D-1 (c, d) GOA and BSAI Groundfish Specifications

BSAI

The AP recommends the Council adopt the preliminary BSAI 2008/2009 groundfish harvest specifications, which are the OFLs, and ABCs as recommended by the Plan Teams and SSC and with TACs as noted in the attached table. Motion passed 13/0

The AP recommends the Council adopt the preliminary PSC bycatch allowances and seasonal apportionments of halibut and crab for 2008/2009 for the BSAI Trawl limited access sector as noted in the attached table, and herring and red king crab in the RKCSS as noted in Table 7a in the action memo. Motion passed 13/0

The AP recommends the Council adopt the 2008 halibut DMR for the CDQ fisheries as noted in the table in the action memo. Motion passed 13/0.

The AP recommends the percentage for the jig gear allocation of Atka mackerel be set at 0.5%. Motion passed 13/0.

GOA

The AP recommends the Council adopt the proposed specs for 2008-2009 OFLs and ABCs as recommended by the SSC.

The proposed TACs would be set as follows:
Set the 2008 and 2009 GOA proposed specifications where TAC is equal to ABC for stocks with the following exceptions:

1. The Pcod TAC is reduced according to the table in the action memo to account for the apportionment to the State waters fishery in 2008 and 2009.
2. Roll over the 2007 TAC for 2008 and 2009 for:
   a. Shallow water flatfish and flathead sole in the Central and Western GOA
   b. Arrowtooth flounder for all areas
   c. Other slope rockfish in the EYAK/SEO
   d. GOA Atka mackerel
   e. GOA other species.

Motion passed 13/0

Additionally, the AP recommends the Council adopt the GOA halibut PSC apportionments, annually and seasonally, for 2006 as indicated in D-1(c, d) should be rolled over for 2008-2009 and that the trawl halibut PSC apportionment be further subdivided between the deep and shallow complex halibut both annually and seasonally as noted in the attached table. Motion passed 13/0.

D-1 (e) Seabird Avoidance Measures

The AP recommends the Council direct staff to prepare an analysis based on the discussion paper’s draft alternatives including the addition of maps, which clarify the geographic boundaries of the suboptions. Motion passed 13/0

D-1 (f) Other Species

The AP requests the Council to bifurcate the other species breakout initiative into two separate proposed actions. The first proposed action would amend the GOA groundfish FMP to allow the Council to recommend and overfishing level and allowable biological catch for the GOA and other species assemblage. Motion passed 13/0

Further, the AP recommends the Council task staff to proceed with the staff-proposed methodology and the “next steps” as recommended by the SSC. Motion passed 13/0.

D-2 Bering Sea Salmon bycatch

The AP recommends the Council forward the alternatives for analysis incorporating the recommendations of the Salmon Bycatch Workgroup in its August 29, 2007 minutes. This includes recommendations to:

- Modify descriptions of cap formation alternatives
- Explicitly add the alternatives for new closures that would allow for an exemption for the fleet to these new closures.
- Consider additional rate-based breaks in formulating criteria for identifying closures such that a more defined and consistent range of rate breaks are considered. (e.g. 0.1, 0.2, 0.3, etc.)

Motion passed 12/0

Additionally, the AP recommends striking the last sentence of the draft problem statement and adding the following:

In order to address the possibility that a coop could opt out of the VRHS program or that the Council could determine that the VRHS program does not adequately reduce salmon bycatch, alternatives to the VRHS system and/or the regulatory salmon bycatch program should be analyzed to assess whether they would be more effective in reducing salmon bycatch. Motion passed 12/0.
The AP recommends the Council encourage the Salmon bycatch workgroup to continue their efforts as analysis progresses. *Motion passed 12/0.*

**D-3 BSAI Crab Management**

(a) BSAI Crab SAFE
The AP recommends the Council approve the BSAI Crab SAFE. *Motion passed 16/0.*

(b) Crab Overfishing analysis
The AP recommends the Council release the Crab Overfishing analysis for public review and further recommends that the Council request that NMFS and ADF&G staff work together on implementation issues. *Motion passed 17/0.*

**D-4 (a, b) Arctic FMP**

The AP recommends the Council support development of a comprehensive fishery management plan and environmental assessment for the Arctic Management region defined in the staff discussion paper (North of Bering Strait at Point Hope). This FMP should accommodate existing fisheries in that region. The AP also supports the proposed outreach plan and recommends that staff consider specific outreach during AFN and other seasonal gatherings of northern region community members. *Motion passed 15/0.*

**D-5 Staff Tasking**

The AP recommends that the Council request staff to extract and update the tanner crab section of the GOA groundfish rationalization bycatch discussion paper from October 2005. *Motion passed 11/0.*

Throughout coastal Alaska, people have wide-ranging perceptions regarding halibut abundance, particularly in waters adjacent to communities. Whereas we continue to hear about concerns such as “localized depletion”, “excessive harvest intensity”, and other abundance-related issues, the Council has not addressed these relatively fine-scaled, or “local” issues. This is due to the fact that the IPHC and therefore the NPFMC manage halibut using very large IPHC areas.

In order to inform future discussions on halibut issues, the AP recommends that the Council request from ADF&G and IPHC any information they may have regarding localized depletion in IPHC areas 2C and 3A. *Motion passed 11/0.*

**Approval of Minutes**

The AP unanimously approved its June 2007 minutes.
### September GOA Advisory Panel OFL and ABC Recommendations for 2008-09 (Page 1)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pollock</td>
<td>W(61)</td>
<td>25,012</td>
<td>30,308</td>
<td>30,308</td>
<td>30,308</td>
<td>30,308</td>
<td>30,308</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C(62)</td>
<td>20,890</td>
<td>25,313</td>
<td>25,313</td>
<td>25,313</td>
<td>25,313</td>
<td>25,313</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C(63)</td>
<td>14,850</td>
<td>17,995</td>
<td>17,995</td>
<td>17,995</td>
<td>17,995</td>
<td>17,995</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>WYAK</td>
<td>1,396</td>
<td>1,694</td>
<td>1,694</td>
<td>1,694</td>
<td>1,694</td>
<td>1,694</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subtotal</td>
<td></td>
<td>62,150</td>
<td>105,490</td>
<td>75,310</td>
<td>75,310</td>
<td>105,490</td>
<td>75,310</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>EYAK/SEO</td>
<td>6,157</td>
<td>8,209</td>
<td>6,157</td>
<td>6,157</td>
<td>8,209</td>
<td>6,157</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>68,307</td>
<td>113,699</td>
<td>81,467</td>
<td>81,467</td>
<td>113,699</td>
<td>81,467</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pacific cod</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>20,141</td>
<td>27,846</td>
<td>20,885</td>
<td>27,846</td>
<td>20,885</td>
<td>20,885</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C</td>
<td>28,405</td>
<td>39,270</td>
<td>29,453</td>
<td>39,270</td>
<td>29,453</td>
<td>29,453</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>EYAK/SEO</td>
<td>3,718</td>
<td>4,284</td>
<td>3,856</td>
<td>4,284</td>
<td>3,856</td>
<td>3,856</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>52,264</td>
<td>86,000</td>
<td>71,400</td>
<td>54,194</td>
<td>86,000</td>
<td>54,194</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sabalfish</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>2,470</td>
<td>2,458</td>
<td>2,458</td>
<td>2,458</td>
<td>2,458</td>
<td>2,458</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C</td>
<td>6,190</td>
<td>6,159</td>
<td>6,159</td>
<td>6,159</td>
<td>6,159</td>
<td>6,159</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>WYAK</td>
<td>2,280</td>
<td>2,269</td>
<td>2,269</td>
<td>2,269</td>
<td>2,269</td>
<td>2,269</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SEO</td>
<td>3,370</td>
<td>3,353</td>
<td>3,353</td>
<td>3,353</td>
<td>3,353</td>
<td>3,353</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>14,310</td>
<td>15,803</td>
<td>14,239</td>
<td>14,239</td>
<td>15,803</td>
<td>14,239</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deep water flatfish</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>420</td>
<td>430</td>
<td>430</td>
<td>430</td>
<td>430</td>
<td>430</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C</td>
<td>4,163</td>
<td>4,296</td>
<td>4,296</td>
<td>4,296</td>
<td>4,296</td>
<td>4,296</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>WYAK</td>
<td>2,677</td>
<td>2,763</td>
<td>2,763</td>
<td>2,763</td>
<td>2,763</td>
<td>2,763</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>EYAK/SEO</td>
<td>1,447</td>
<td>1,494</td>
<td>1,494</td>
<td>1,494</td>
<td>1,494</td>
<td>1,494</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>8,707</td>
<td>11,412</td>
<td>8,983</td>
<td>8,983</td>
<td>11,412</td>
<td>8,983</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rock sole</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>1,147</td>
<td>1,122</td>
<td>1,122</td>
<td>1,122</td>
<td>1,122</td>
<td>1,122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C</td>
<td>5,446</td>
<td>5,327</td>
<td>5,327</td>
<td>5,327</td>
<td>5,327</td>
<td>5,327</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>WYAK</td>
<td>1,037</td>
<td>1,014</td>
<td>1,014</td>
<td>1,014</td>
<td>1,014</td>
<td>1,014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>EYAK/SEO</td>
<td>1,470</td>
<td>1,437</td>
<td>1,437</td>
<td>1,437</td>
<td>1,437</td>
<td>1,437</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>9,100</td>
<td>11,600</td>
<td>8,900</td>
<td>8,900</td>
<td>11,600</td>
<td>8,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shallow water flatfish</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>4,500</td>
<td>24,720</td>
<td>4,500</td>
<td>24,720</td>
<td>4,500</td>
<td>24,720</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C</td>
<td>13,000</td>
<td>24,258</td>
<td>13,000</td>
<td>24,258</td>
<td>13,000</td>
<td>24,258</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>WYAK</td>
<td>628</td>
<td>628</td>
<td>628</td>
<td>628</td>
<td>628</td>
<td>628</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>EYAK/SEO</td>
<td>1,844</td>
<td>1,844</td>
<td>1,844</td>
<td>1,844</td>
<td>1,844</td>
<td>1,844</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>19,972</td>
<td>62,418</td>
<td>51,450</td>
<td>19,972</td>
<td>62,418</td>
<td>51,450</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flathead sole</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>2,000</td>
<td>11,464</td>
<td>2,000</td>
<td>11,464</td>
<td>2,000</td>
<td>11,464</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C</td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td>27,382</td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td>27,382</td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td>27,382</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>WYAK</td>
<td>2,091</td>
<td>2,198</td>
<td>2,198</td>
<td>2,198</td>
<td>2,198</td>
<td>2,198</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>EYAK/SEO</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>9,148</td>
<td>51,146</td>
<td>41,104</td>
<td>9,258</td>
<td>51,146</td>
<td>41,104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arrowtooth flounder</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>8,000</td>
<td>21,164</td>
<td>8,000</td>
<td>21,164</td>
<td>8,000</td>
<td>21,164</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C</td>
<td>30,000</td>
<td>141,673</td>
<td>30,000</td>
<td>141,673</td>
<td>30,000</td>
<td>141,673</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>WYAK</td>
<td>2,500</td>
<td>16,754</td>
<td>2,500</td>
<td>16,754</td>
<td>2,500</td>
<td>16,754</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>EYAK/SEO</td>
<td>2,500</td>
<td>7,172</td>
<td>2,500</td>
<td>7,172</td>
<td>2,500</td>
<td>7,172</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>43,000</td>
<td>218,020</td>
<td>186,763</td>
<td>43,000</td>
<td>218,020</td>
<td>186,763</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sources: 2007 and 2008 OFLs, ABCs, and TACs from the specifications adopted by the Council in 12-07; 2009 OFLs and ABCs equal to 2008; 2007 catches through 9-8-07 from AKR Catch Accounting.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Other slope rockfish</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>577</td>
<td>577</td>
<td>577</td>
<td>577</td>
<td>577</td>
<td>577</td>
<td>577</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C</td>
<td>386</td>
<td>386</td>
<td>386</td>
<td>386</td>
<td>386</td>
<td>386</td>
<td>386</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>WYAK</td>
<td>319</td>
<td>319</td>
<td>319</td>
<td>319</td>
<td>319</td>
<td>319</td>
<td>319</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>EYAK/SEO</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>2,872</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>2,872</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>2,872</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1,482</td>
<td>5,394</td>
<td>4,154</td>
<td>1,482</td>
<td>5,394</td>
<td>4,154</td>
<td>1,482</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern rockfish</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>1,439</td>
<td>1,383</td>
<td>1,383</td>
<td>1,383</td>
<td>1,383</td>
<td>1,383</td>
<td>1,383</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>E</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>4,938</td>
<td>5,660</td>
<td>4,748</td>
<td>4,748</td>
<td>5,660</td>
<td>4,748</td>
<td>4,748</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pacific ocean perch</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>4,244</td>
<td>5,030</td>
<td>4,291</td>
<td>4,291</td>
<td>5,030</td>
<td>4,291</td>
<td>4,291</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C</td>
<td>7,612</td>
<td>9,019</td>
<td>7,694</td>
<td>7,694</td>
<td>9,019</td>
<td>7,694</td>
<td>7,694</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>WYAK</td>
<td>1,140</td>
<td>1,153</td>
<td>1,153</td>
<td>1,153</td>
<td>1,153</td>
<td>1,153</td>
<td>1,153</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>EYAK/SEO</td>
<td>1,640</td>
<td>1,659</td>
<td>1,659</td>
<td>1,659</td>
<td>1,659</td>
<td>1,659</td>
<td>1,659</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>E (subtotal)</td>
<td>2,780</td>
<td>3,296</td>
<td>2,812</td>
<td>2,812</td>
<td>3,296</td>
<td>2,812</td>
<td>2,812</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>14,636</td>
<td>17,345</td>
<td>14,797</td>
<td>14,797</td>
<td>17,345</td>
<td>14,797</td>
<td>14,797</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shortraker</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>153</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C</td>
<td>353</td>
<td>353</td>
<td>353</td>
<td>353</td>
<td>353</td>
<td>353</td>
<td>353</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>E</td>
<td>337</td>
<td>337</td>
<td>337</td>
<td>337</td>
<td>337</td>
<td>337</td>
<td>337</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>843</td>
<td>1,124</td>
<td>843</td>
<td>843</td>
<td>1,124</td>
<td>843</td>
<td>843</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rougheye</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>137</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C</td>
<td>611</td>
<td>614</td>
<td>614</td>
<td>614</td>
<td>614</td>
<td>614</td>
<td>614</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>E</td>
<td>241</td>
<td>242</td>
<td>242</td>
<td>242</td>
<td>242</td>
<td>242</td>
<td>242</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>988</td>
<td>1,197</td>
<td>993</td>
<td>993</td>
<td>1,197</td>
<td>993</td>
<td>993</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pelagic shelf rockfish</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>1,466</td>
<td>1,752</td>
<td>1,752</td>
<td>1,752</td>
<td>1,752</td>
<td>1,752</td>
<td>1,752</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C</td>
<td>3,325</td>
<td>3,973</td>
<td>3,973</td>
<td>3,973</td>
<td>3,973</td>
<td>3,973</td>
<td>3,973</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>WYAK</td>
<td>307</td>
<td>366</td>
<td>366</td>
<td>366</td>
<td>366</td>
<td>366</td>
<td>366</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>EYAK/SEO</td>
<td>444</td>
<td>531</td>
<td>531</td>
<td>531</td>
<td>531</td>
<td>531</td>
<td>531</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>5,542</td>
<td>8,186</td>
<td>6,622</td>
<td>6,622</td>
<td>8,186</td>
<td>6,622</td>
<td>6,622</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Demersal shelf rockfish</td>
<td>SEO</td>
<td>410</td>
<td>650</td>
<td>410</td>
<td>410</td>
<td>650</td>
<td>410</td>
<td>410</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thornyhead rockfish</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>513</td>
<td>513</td>
<td>513</td>
<td>513</td>
<td>513</td>
<td>513</td>
<td>513</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C</td>
<td>986</td>
<td>989</td>
<td>989</td>
<td>989</td>
<td>989</td>
<td>989</td>
<td>989</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>E</td>
<td>707</td>
<td>707</td>
<td>707</td>
<td>707</td>
<td>707</td>
<td>707</td>
<td>707</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>2,205</td>
<td>2,945</td>
<td>2,209</td>
<td>2,209</td>
<td>2,945</td>
<td>2,209</td>
<td>2,209</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atka mackerel</td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1,500</td>
<td>6,200</td>
<td>4,700</td>
<td>1,500</td>
<td>6,200</td>
<td>4,700</td>
<td>1,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Big skate</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>695</td>
<td>695</td>
<td>695</td>
<td>695</td>
<td>695</td>
<td>695</td>
<td>695</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C</td>
<td>2,250</td>
<td>2,250</td>
<td>2,250</td>
<td>2,250</td>
<td>2,250</td>
<td>2,250</td>
<td>2,250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>E</td>
<td>599</td>
<td>599</td>
<td>599</td>
<td>599</td>
<td>599</td>
<td>599</td>
<td>599</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>3,544</td>
<td>4,726</td>
<td>3,544</td>
<td>3,544</td>
<td>4,726</td>
<td>3,544</td>
<td>3,544</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Longnose skate</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C</td>
<td>1,969</td>
<td>1,969</td>
<td>1,969</td>
<td>1,969</td>
<td>1,969</td>
<td>1,969</td>
<td>1,969</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>E</td>
<td>861</td>
<td>861</td>
<td>861</td>
<td>861</td>
<td>861</td>
<td>861</td>
<td>861</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>2,895</td>
<td>3,860</td>
<td>2,895</td>
<td>2,895</td>
<td>3,860</td>
<td>2,895</td>
<td>2,895</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other skates</td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1,617</td>
<td>2,156</td>
<td>1,617</td>
<td>1,617</td>
<td>2,156</td>
<td>1,617</td>
<td>1,617</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other species</td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>4,500</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>4,500</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>4,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>GOA</td>
<td>269,912</td>
<td>629,541</td>
<td>511,838</td>
<td>286,173</td>
<td>629,541</td>
<td>511,838</td>
<td>286,173</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sources: 2007 and 2008 OFLs, ABCs, and TACs from this specifications adopted by the Council in 12-07. 2009 OFLs and ABCs equal to 2008. 2007 catches through 9-8-07 from AKR Catch Accounting.