Abundance based management alternatives for BSAI Pacific halibut PSC

C-7
Timeline / Council actions (in current discussion paper)

April 2016
- purpose and need statement
- explore weightings on IPHC stock assessment and EBS trawl survey
- public review workshop of paper prior to Council meeting in October

October 2016
- workshop on discussion paper (September 2016)
- 5 Objectives confirmed for action
- consider broader range of indices and BCRs (SSC 2d and 3d)
- develop draft performance metrics w/ public input

February 2017
- Public workshop to solicit input on draft Overarching goals, measurable objectives and associated performance metrics for analysis

April 2017
- Alternative development “Strawman” alternatives for illustration to aid selection of indices and control rules

Future meeting (2017 anticipated)
- Refinement of alternatives for analysis
Recap from previous discussion papers and outcomes

April 2016 (last year):

- Potential indices to use
- PSC set to weighted combinations
  1. previous year PSC,
  2. EBS trawl survey and
  3. IPHC SSB trends

(BCR1, BCR2 etc in appendix)
IPHC spawning biomass compared to bycatch

From April 2016 Council presentation

[Graph showing the relationship between Halibut spawning biomass and bycatch, with data points for 2008 and 2015.]
EBS Trawl survey biomass compared to bycatch

From April 2016 Council presentation

Bottom trawl survey Pacific halibut biomass estimates (t)

Bycatch (t)
EBS Trawl survey biomass compared to bycatch

From April 2016 Council presentation
From April 2016 Council presentation

- Actual bycatch
- PSC limit if tied to recent EBS bottom trawl survey
PSC limit if tied to recent EBS bottom trawl survey

From April 2016 Council presentation
Actual bycatch

PSC limit if tied to recent IPHC SSB

From April 2016 Council presentation
Previous presentations on fishery characteristics

- Examined observer data
- Demonstrated sizes similar in survey and trawl fishery

From April 2016 discussion paper appendices
April 2016 Council Motion

• Focus analysis on the use of the NMFS eastern Bering Sea shelf trawl survey and the biomass estimate from the IPHC stock assessment as potentially appropriate indices and explore a variety of assumptions on the appropriate weighting of indices, including using each index as a bookend.

• If time available, focus on potential advantages and challenges of incorporating additional surveys (e.g., the Bering Sea shelf, Aleutian Islands, NMFS Sablefish longline survey, and Gulf of Alaska trawl surveys to develop an Alaska-wide index of abundance), and the Integrated Model-based index approach outlined in Section 3.4 of the paper.

• Draft purpose and need
October 2016

- Candidate indices characterized
  - E.g., guiding principles
- Integrated index developed
  - Features of CRs (floors, ceilings, slope, starting point)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abundance index</th>
<th>Addresses older and younger population components</th>
<th>Consideration of CW geographic range</th>
<th>Consideration of CW stock status</th>
<th>Addresses recruitment differences in BSAI and GOA</th>
<th>Timeliness of information</th>
<th>Accessibility</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Individual survey indices</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPHC</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coastwide setline survey</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EBS shelf trawl survey</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Integrated approaches across multiple indices</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPHC assessment</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geostatistical model</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Partial (AK)</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EBS shelf trawl survey with IPHC assessment</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABM 3 survey combined index (EBS shelf trawl, GOA trawl, IPHC setline)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
October 2016

Features

• Addressed older and younger population components
• Considered the coastwide geographic range
• Considered the coastwide stock status
• Addressed recruitment differences in the BSAI and GOA
• Information to derive the index was available in a timely manner for Council harvest specifications
• Information to derive the index easily accessible
October 2016 Council Motion

• Develop performance metrics and quantitative tools to evaluate the tradeoffs between the competing objectives for this action

• Develop abundance indices and associated control rules

• Develop a broader suite of halibut abundance indices and control rules as outlined by the SSC.
  • Specifically, evaluate different indices that can be used to meet the Council’s objectives, which could then be combined in a control rule or decision making framework.

• Evaluate developing control rules that could be combined in a 2-or 3-dimensional framework for setting PSC as outlined by the SSC

• Evaluate developing separate control rules for the hook and line and trawl fisheries that could be used to establish PSC limits
Council objectives from the Purpose and Need
Overarching goals

• Halibut PSC limits should be indexed to halibut abundance
• Halibut spawning stock biomass should be protected especially at lower levels of abundance
• There should be flexibility provided to avoid unnecessarily constraining the groundfish fishery particularly when halibut abundance is high
• Provide for directed halibut fishing operations [in the Bering Sea]
• Provide for some stability in PSC limits on an inter-annual basis
Current discussion paper

ABM = Abundance based management
[for Pacific halibut PSC limits]
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description of section as it relates to the development of ABM examples</th>
<th>Is this a general or detailed (computational) item?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Purpose and Need statement and 5 Objectives (also noted as ‘overarching goals’)</td>
<td>General used to guide formulations of ABM examples</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Background on previous Council discussion papers and ABM considerations</td>
<td>General to provide context to multi-index/multi-control rule ABM examples</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Principles used to develop and evaluate ABM examples</td>
<td>General (for list) General (as applied to individual ABM examples)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Framework for development of ABM examples</td>
<td>General: includes list of indices, description of what is a control rule</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strawman alternatives ABM1, ABM2, ABM3, ABM4</td>
<td>General description of indices within each ABM example</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABM examples</td>
<td>Detailed description of indices used and computational equations for the control rules applied</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comparison across the ABM1-ABM4 examples</td>
<td>Detailed based on Sections 3.1-3.4 computations</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Indices and ABM index provided in October 2016

Schematic

Indices

- EBS Trawl Survey Numbers
- GOA Trawl Survey Numbers
- O32 IPHC Setline Survey CW Biomass

Control Rules

Starting Point

Adjust

PSC
SSC feedback

• Apply rules differently
• “Multi-dimensional”
Control rule illustrated

- Ceiling
- Starting Point
- Floor
- Cliff
- Lower Breakpt
- Upper Breakpt
- 1.0
- Standardized Index
- Slope
From October 2016 Council presentation
From October 2016 Council presentation
Can change slope of control rule to moderate changes in PSC limits

From October 2016 Council presentation
Principles and considerations used in developing strawman alternatives

The ABM index should

1. be independent of management decisions
2. with control rules be parsimonious, easy to understand and implement in a timely manner
3. require few assumptions
4. consider Pacific halibut recruitment (e.g., smaller halibut) to ensure future healthy coastwide halibut spawning biomass
5. consider O32 (or O26) Pacific halibut biomass in the Bering Sea to provide for opportunity to the directed halibut fishery

Specific to data...

6. a proxy for Pacific halibut spawning biomass is the IPHC setline survey
7. in each Regulatory Area, the IPHC setline survey provides an O26 (or O32)
8. for coastwide spawning biomass, the IPHC stock assessment
9. the PSC limit should be responsive to changes in the total halibut at
   groundfish fisheries
ABM 1: the integrated index (proposed in Oct) re-formulated into a multi-dimensional control rule

- **EBS shelf trawl survey**
  - Purpose: indexes halibut number available to the bycatch and directed fisheries in the EBS

- **GOA trawl survey**
  - Purpose: Indexes recruitment in the GOA and downstream success of young fish initially occurring in the EBS

- **Coastwide O32 IPHC setline survey**
  - Purpose: Indexes health of female spawning biomass; the O32 setline survey is dominated by female fish that are mostly mature
Review: Diagram of October 2016 ABM

Indices

- EBS Trawl Survey Numbers
- GOA Trawl Survey Numbers
- O32 IPHC Setline Survey CW Biomass

Control Rules

- Adjust

Starting Point → Adjust → PSC
ABM 2: Explicitly accounting for young halibut

- “U12” GOA/AI/EBS trawl survey
  - Purpose: Indexes recruitment throughout AK

- “O12” EBS shelf trawl survey
  - Purpose: Account for all EBS halibut caught by trawl (accomplished along with U12 index)

- Coastwide O32 IPHC setline survey
  - Purpose: Indexes the health of female spawning biomass; the O32 setline survey is dominated by female fish that are mostly mature
ABM3: Indices for three components

• Three indices used to deviate from starting point
  1. O26 IPHC Setline Survey 4CDE Biomass
    • Related to the goal to provide for directed fishery operations
  2. U26 EBS Trawl Survey Numbers
    • Related to goal to avoid constraining groundfish fisheries especially when halibut abundance is high
  3. IPHC stock status
    • Related to protect spawning biomass, especially at low levels
    • Uses a 30:20 control rule and adjusts the starting point downward only when <30%
    • Not averaged to a range of years, but relative to \( B_0 \)
    • May want to be consistent with current IPHC management policy
ABM3: Historical example
ABM4: Weighting with data

Three indices used to deviate from starting point

1. O26 IPHC Setline Survey 4CDE Biomass
   • Related to the goal to provide for directed fishery operations

2. U26 EBS Trawl Survey Numbers
   • Related to goal to avoid constraining groundfish fisheries especially when halibut abundance is high
   • 1 and 2 are combined into a single multiplier with weighting determined from the proportion of U26 in the survey
     • It puts the weight onto the index of size that is most prevalent, and likely to be encountered by the trawl fishery
     • This could incorporate a control rule as well

3. IPHC stock assessment coastwide spawning biomass
   • Related to protect spawning biomass, especially at low levels
ABM3...

Indices
- O26 IPHC Setline Survey 4CDE Biomass
- U26 EBS Trawl Survey Numbers
- IPHC Stock Status

Control Rules
- Starting Point
- Adjust
- Adjust
- Adjust
- Range of Acceptable PSC Limits
- PSC
ABM4

Indices
- U26 EBS Trawl Survey Numbers
- O26 IPHC Setline Survey 4CDE Biomass

Control Rules
- \[ \rho = \frac{x_{U26}}{x_{U26} + x_{O26}} \]
- \[ \rho' \]

Adjust

Range of Acceptable PSC Limits

Starting Point

PSC
ABM4: Historical example
### Summary of indices / features over strawman alts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Size Area Type</th>
<th>Strawman Alternatives</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total numbers in GOA trawl index</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total numbers in EBS shelf trawl survey index</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O32 coastwide WPUE from the IPHC setline survey (space-time model)</td>
<td>X X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U12 recruitment index from GOA/Al/BS trawl VAST or simple sum</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O12 EBS shelf trawl survey</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPHC stock status (function of IPHC SSB)</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O26 EBS setline survey index</td>
<td>X X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U26 EBS shelf trawl survey index</td>
<td>X X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSB coastwide IPHC Stock assessment</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U26 (EBS trawl survey) and O26 (EBS setline) dynamic weighting*</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix B. Simulation comparisons

• A very simple simulation of an age-structured population
• A “Bering Sea” area and an “outside” area (i.e., GOA)
  • GOA was not specifically modelled, but had a recruitment effect
  • Recruitment in BS didn’t affect GOA, but did affect coastwide spawning biomass
• To show the effects of recruitment events
• Indices are correlated approximately the way they should be and fishing affects each one
• A start to how a preliminary analysis could be done to better understand the indices and control rules
  • Needs more complexity, but not all of the complexity needed for a final analysis
No Fishing Mortality

Index  BS  GOA  SB

High recruitment in BS Year 20
Low recruitment in BS Year 50
Very low recruitment outside of BS Years 65-80
Simulations

ABM1 | ABM2 | ABM3 | ABM4

Standardized Index

Multiplier

PSC
An example
abundance based
management
calculation for ABM1
A raw index

• Obtain an index of abundance that relates to the halibut PSC limit such as the total EBS Shelf Trawl Numbers index shown below.
Standardizing an index

- 1998-2016 mean equals 1.0
Variety of indices to standardize...
Range limits on multiplier

- Floor and ceiling also constrains multiplier (adjustment)

An example abundance based management calculation for ABM1
Add other indices

ABM1 uses
- EBS Trawl Survey
- GOA Trawl Survey
- O32 IPHC Setline Survey

Three indices together form “Combined”
• ABM1 example tuned to 2016 yields the following PSC limits
• ABM1 example tuned to 2016 yields the following PSC limits
• With Pacific halibut PSC mortality
Strawman PSC cap comparisons...

PSC limits for ABM1

PSC limits for ABM3

PSC limits for ABM2

PSC limits for ABM4
Dialing in “$b_k$”

If $b_k=1.0$ then a 10% change in index $b$ x 10% change in adjustment...

An example abundance based management calculation for ABM1
Dialing in \(b_k\)

If \(b_k = 0.5\)…a 10% change in index would result in a 5% change in adjustment

\(b_k\) basically controls responsiveness to index…
Gear considerations

• Bycatch length composition varies
  • By gear type and fishery
  • Over time
  • Affects relative impact on halibut ("footprint" or SPR rate)

• Gear-specific indices/control rules would create variable PSC allocations

Pacific halibut length frequencies by target fishery and gear type

2008-2016

2016 only
Gear considerations

• Coming up w/ gear-specific indices / control rules possible
  • But time and increased complexity prohibited development

Pacific halibut length frequencies by target fishery and gear type
Expansion to GOA

Generally
- Could be modified for the GOA by changing the “starting point” or scale of the PSC control rule
- Would require index modifications

Other considerations
- Observer coverage
- Management constraints
- Differences in fleets
- Analysis results from EBS may not apply to GOA
Feedback from February 2017 workshop

Workshop goals:

• Review the need for goals, measurable objectives and related performance metrics
• Ask questions to assist in the development of measurable objectives and related performance metrics
• Solicit feedback on modifications or additions to these objectives and performance metrics
Performance metrics

Define detailed management objectives that are measurable

• E.g., maintain halibut catch above certain level over a specified period w/ some probability of not falling below (risk)

A performance metric can then be defined to evaluate whether or not a measurable objective has been achieved

• E.g., the probability that the spawning stock abundance is above a certain level over a specific number of years
Performance metrics

Measurable objectives identified and will be used for

- Developing alternatives
- Developing performance metrics for alternatives analysis

Examples included

- That indices should consider size composition of PSC and directed catch
- To consider encounter rates of halibut in groundfish fishery as a metric
- Developing social and community metrics for
  - Groundfish crew jobs and
  - Halibut processor needs to viably operate (i.e., catch from directed halibut fishery)

The work group will continue to develop performance metrics throughout this process to make sure that results can be adequately evaluated against all important objectives
Incentives

Workshop participants noted the need to consider appropriate incentives when designing alternatives for analysis.

Suggestions:

• Council should consider the impacts of a floating PSC limit on the incentives for groundfish vessels to avoid halibut.

• ABM alternatives should include specific measures to ensure that vessels minimize PSC to the extent practicable at all levels of halibut abundance.
Incentives

• The action currently under consideration would index BSAI halibut PSC limits to abundance

• The framing of alternatives should consider the impacts of this management change on incentives to avoid bycatch at a sector and vessel level

• Some stakeholders suggested the Council could consider developing specific incentive components as part of the ABM action (e.g., require halibut avoidance plan)

• The discussion paper highlights general considerations for developing incentive components in response to this suggestion
Next steps