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Model fits to size compositions, by year

Fits to the size composition data available to the model(s) are presented in this section as line plots by year. Not all of the fits presented are necessarily included in the parameter optimization for each model; some fits to datasets for a particular model may be included for comparison purposes with other models which include those data in their optimization. The reader should consult the main assessment document to determine which fits are included in the optimization for any particular model.
Survey size compositions
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Figure 31: Comparison of observed and predicted female, mature, all shell survey size comps for NMFS F. Page 1 of 5.
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Fishery retained catch size compositions

Figure 42: Comparison of observed and predicted male, all maturity, all shell retained catch size comps for TCF. Page 1 of 4.
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Fishery total catch size compositions
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GTF: female, all maturity, all shell
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