

Research Priorities subgroup suggested changes:

1. JPT need to discuss examples for definitions and decide what constitutes “Urgent” in order to categorize all research priorities with these definitions.
2. Mike and Alan have Econ folks evaluating priorities and may have new proposed ones to include
3. Consider all Bycatch reduction and Halibut allocation as ‘urgent’
4. Specific edits (considered and noted in database and/or to be discussed by JPT)
 - a. Harvest specifications: minor wording changes, no changes to priorities
 - b. 173: check that this should be flagged for GFPT
 - c. 176; 367: consider merging (discuss)
 - d. 154: needs editing
 - e. 214: change priority (important not urgent depending upon JPT definition of ‘urgent’)
 - f. 215: no change to priority
 - g. 364: change to ‘medium’ priority
 - h. 217: downgrade to medium
 - i. 227: unclear intent, revise/clarify
 - j. 145: change to ‘urgent’
 - k. 157: change to ‘high’ (good possible example for definitions)
 - l. 232: change priority? (move to Econ Impacts)
 - m. 198: move to Econ Impacts
 - n. 228: Change to Fishery Dep communities
 - o. 244: decrease priority to low (discuss)
 - p. 245: decrease priority to low (discuss)
 - q. 382: low priority of delete (discuss)
 - r. 381: delete
 - s. 384: highest priority (good example for definitions)
 - t. 210: tag for Econ Impacts and Harvest specs
 - u. 209: revised to reflect current research efforts
 - v. 211: change to high (also possible example)
 - w. 386: why is this here?
 - x. 248: needs further clarification and note other large scale studies underway that address this. Decrease priority from high to medium.