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## BSAI Atka Mackerel

## Model 16.0b

## Changes in the Input Data



- Fishery catch data updated (2018, 2019=TAC)
- 2018 fishery and survey age composition data added
- 2011 year class $\downarrow 2 \%$ relative to last year's assessment
- 2012 year class $\uparrow 10 \%$ (above ave.)
- 2013 year class ${ }^{12} \%$
" The est. average selectivity for 2014-2018 used for projections
- Assume 85\% of the BSAI-wide ABC to be taken under revised SSL RPAs; \% applied to 2020 (and 2021) maxABC for projections


## BSAI Atka Mackerel

## Key Results

$\square$ Tier 3b


- $B_{100 \%}, B_{40 \%}$, and $B_{35 \%}$ are $3 \%$ higher
- 2020 spawning biomass (109,900 t) 3\% higher, below $B_{40 \%}\left(B_{38 \%}\right)$,Tier 3b
- 2020 age $1+$ biomass $3.5 \%$ higher than last year's projection for 2019, and $\approx$ to last year's projection for 2020
- 2020 projections:

Yield at $F_{40 \% a d j}=0.41=$ 2020 ABC = 70,100 t 2020 OFL = 81,200 t (2\% higher than 2019 ABC)

## BSAI Atka Mackerel

Model 16.0b (last year's accepted model)


Preliminary investigation of a combined indices RE model approach

- Examined available NMFS observer data from the fishery
- Tow duration, observed catch, mean nominal CPUE, 2008-2019
- Hulson et al. 2019 combines available survey data and a secondary index in RE model
- Applied survey data and nominal fishery CPUE
- Evaluated varying the relative weights on the indices
(Sept, 2019 document in Appendix 17C)
"... The SSC recommends that the combined indices be brought forward for consideration in December."

Presented in this assessment, not recommended for apportionment
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2018 Atka mackerel fishery and survey length frequency

2018 Aleutian Islands Survey



A total of 1,052 otoliths were aged from 2018 survey; mean age is 6 years
A total of 1,581 otoliths were aged from 2018 fishery; mean age is 5.8 years



Fishery selectivity pattern from the BSAI Atka mackerel assessment Model 16.0b


Estimated fishery selectivity patterns in the current assessment with a) last year's average for projections (2013-2017), b) the 2019 assessment average selectivity used for projections (20142018), c) last year's assessment terminal year (2017), and d) the 2019 assessment terminal year (2018) compared with the maturity-at-age estimates for BSAI Atka mackerel.


Time series of the current assessment (Model 16.0b) estimated AI Atka mackerel spawning biomass ( t ) with approximate $95 \%$ confidence bounds, compared to last year's Model 16.0b estimates (2018 assessment). Changes include 2018 fishery and survey age composition data in the current assessment.


Age 1 recruitment from the current assessment (2019) with the dashed line indicating average recruitment ( 599 million) from the 1977-2017 year classes, and age 1 recruitment as estimated from the 2018 assessment


Observed and predicted survey proportions-at-age for BSAI Atka mackerel. Lines with "•" symbol are the model predictions and columns are the observed proportions at age


Observed and predicted Atka mackerel fishery proportions-at-age for BSAI Atka mackerel. Lines with "•" symbol are the model predictions and columns are the observed proportions at age (with colors corresponding to cohorts)


Retrospective plots showing the spawning biomass over time (top) and the relative difference (bottom) over 10 different "peels"


Projected Atka mackerel catch (assuming TAC taken in 2019 and reduced 2020 and 2021 catches; top) and spawning biomass (bottom) in thousands of metric tons under maximum permissible harvest control rule specifications after 2021


BSAI Atka mackerel spawning biomass relative to $\mathrm{B}_{35 \%}$ and fishing mortality relative to $\mathrm{F}_{\text {OFL }}$ (1977-2021)

## BSAI Atka Mackerel <br> Overfishing Level and Maximum Permissible ABC

Catch assumptions:

- Total 2019 year end catch set = to TAC (57,951 t) for ABC/OFL specification purposes
- For 2020 \& 2021 assume that $85 \%$ of the BSAI-wide ABC would be taken
- Due to revised SSL RPAs
- Affects ABC and OFL values

Selectivity assumption for projections:


- Estimated ave. selectivity for 2014-2018


## BSAI Atka Mackerel

|  | As estimated or <br> specified last year for: |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Quantity | 2019 | 2020 | As estimated or <br> recommended this year <br> for: |  |
| Tier | 3 b | 3 b | $\mathbf{3 0 2 0}$ | $2021^{*}$ |
| Projected total (age 1+) | 498,320 | 514,400 | $\mathbf{5 1 5 , 8 9 0}$ | 534,220 |
| biomass (t) |  |  |  |  |
| Projected Female spawning | 106,800 | 102,700 | $\mathbf{1 0 9 , 9 0 0}$ | 104,700 |
| biomass | 113,510 | 113,510 | $\mathbf{1 1 6 , 6 0 0}$ | 116,600 |
| $\quad B_{40 \%}$ | 99,320 | 99,320 | $\mathbf{1 0 2 , 0 2 0}$ | 99,320 |
| $B_{35 \%}$ | 0.53 | 0.53 | $\mathbf{0 . 4 8}$ | 0.46 |
| $F_{\text {OFL }}$ | 0.44 | 0.44 | $\mathbf{0 . 4 1}$ | 0.39 |
| $\operatorname{maxF}_{A B C}$ | 0.44 | 0.44 | $\mathbf{0 . 4 1}$ | 0.39 |
| $F_{A B C}$ | 79,200 | 73,400 | $\mathbf{8 1 , 2 0 0}$ | 74,800 |
| OFL (t) | 68,500 | 63,400 | $\mathbf{7 0 , 1 0 0}$ | 64,400 |
| $\operatorname{maxABC}(\mathrm{t})$ | 68,500 | 63,400 | $\mathbf{7 0 , 1 0 0}$ | 64,400 |

*Projections are based on estimated total catch of $59,300 \mathrm{t}$ and $57,500 \mathrm{t}$ in place of maximum permissible ABC for 2020 and 2021, respectively.

## BSAI Atka Mackerel Apportionment

|  | 2018 <br> Random <br> Effects Model |
| :---: | :---: |
| $541^{1}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 \%}$ |
| 542 | $\mathbf{1 0 \%}$ |
| 543 | $\mathbf{4 0 \%}$ |

${ }^{1}$ Includes eastern Aleutian Islands and southern Bering Sea areas.
Apportionment percentages by Aleutian Islands management areas with different weightings of fishery CPUE data:

| CPUE <br> weight | Eastern | Central | Western |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.0 | $49.6 \%$ | $9.3 \%$ | $41.1 \%$ |
| 0.5 | $43.8 \%$ | $17.0 \%$ | $39.2 \%$ |
| 1.0 | $40.8 \%$ | $20.4 \%$ | $38.7 \%$ |
| 2.0 | $38.0 \%$ | $22.8 \%$ | $39.2 \%$ |
| 100 | $32.7 \%$ | $26.2 \%$ | $41.1 \%$ |



## BSAI Atka Mackerel Apportionment

|  | Survey Year |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2012 | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 | 2020\&2021 | 2020 | 2021 |
|  | Apportionment | ABC | ABC |  |  |  |  |
| $541+$ SBS | $12 \%$ | $42 \%$ | $35 \%$ | $38 \%$ | 0.33 | 23,133 | 21,252 |
| 542 | $39 \%$ | $28 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $7 \%$ | 0.25 | 17,525 | 16,100 |
| 543 | $48 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $35 \%$ | $55 \%$ | 0.42 | 29,442 | 27,048 |
| Weights | 8 | 12 | 18 | 27 | 1.00 | 70,100 | 64,400 |
| Total ABC |  |  |  |  |  | 70,100 | 64,400 |

4-Survey Weighted Average
(Recommended)

|  | Survey Year |  |  |  | 2020 \& 2021 | $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2012 | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 | Apportionment | ABC | ABC |
| $541+$ SBS | $12 \%$ | $42 \%$ | $35 \%$ | $38 \%$ | 0.35 | $\mathbf{2 4 , 5 3 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 , 5 4 0}$ |
| 542 | $39 \%$ | $28 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $7 \%$ | 0.21 | $\mathbf{1 4 , 7 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 , 5 2 4}$ |
| 543 | $48 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $35 \%$ | $55 \%$ | 0.44 | $\mathbf{3 0 , 8 4 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 8 , 3 3 6}$ |
| Weights | 8 | 12 | 18 | 27 | 1.00 | $\mathbf{7 0 , 1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{6 4 , 4 0 0}$ |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathbf{7 0 , 1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{6 4 , 4 0 0}$ |
| ABC |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Should the ABC be reduced below the maximum permissible ABC?

| Assessmentrelated considerations | Population <br> dynamics considerations | Environmental/ <br> ecosystem considerations | Fishery <br> Performance considerations | Overall score (highest of the individual scores) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Level 1: Typical to moderately increased concerns | Level 1: Stock trends are typical for the stock; recent recruitment is within normal range. | Level 1: No apparent environmental/ ecosystem concerns | Level 1: No apparent fishery/resource -use performance and/or behavior concerns | Level 1: <br> Normal |

The overall score of level 1 suggests that setting the ABC below the maximum permissible is not warranted

