## GOA Rex Sole (update) <br> Carey M cGilliard

| antity | As estimated or <br> specified last year for: |  | As estimated or <br> recommended this year |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | ner: |  |  |  |

## Area Apportionment

|  |  | West <br> tity |  |  | Western |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Central | Yakutat | Southeast | Total |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| tionment | $13.74 \%$ | $63.57 \%$ | $8.44 \%$ | $14.25 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| ABC (t) | 1,258 | 5,816 | 772 | 1,304 | 9,150 |
| ABC (t) | 1,234 | 5,707 | 758 | 1,280 | 8,979 |

## Summary Information

| Year | Biomass $^{1}$ | $\mathrm{OFL}^{2}$ | $\mathrm{ABC}^{2}$ | TAC $^{2}$ | Catch $^{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2013 | 86,684 | 12,492 | 9,560 | 9,560 | 3,707 |
| 2014 | 84,702 | 12,207 | 9,341 | 9,341 | 3,474 |
| 2015 | 82,972 | 11,957 | 9,150 |  |  |
| 2016 | 81,414 | 11,733 | 8,979 |  |  |

## M ore Summary Information

| Area | 2014 |  |  |  | 2015 |  | 2016 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | OFL $^{1}$ | $\mathrm{ABC}^{1}$ | $\mathrm{TAC}^{1}$ | Catch $^{3}$ | OFL $^{2}$ | $\mathrm{ABC}^{2}$ | OFL $^{2}$ | $\mathrm{ABC}^{2}$ |
| W | -- | 1,270 | 1,270 | 110 | - | 1,258 | -- | 1,234 |
| C | -- | 6,231 | 6,231 | 3,363 | -- | 5,816 | -- | 5,707 |
| NYAK | -- | 813 | 813 | 1 | -- | 772 | -- | 758 |
| SE | - | 1,027 | 1,027 | 0 | -- | 1,304 | -- | 1,280 |
| Total | 12,207 | 9,341 | 9,341 | 3,474 | 11,957 | 9,150 | 11,733 | 8,979 |

## Data Gaps and Research Priorities

M ove assessment to Stock Synthesis for further exploration Explore survey and fishery selectivity patterns
Estimate growth internally and based on more recent data, if possible Consider using ADF\&G small mesh survey data
Explore stock-recruit curves
Account for ageing error
Explore data weighting
Explore ways to better account for uncertainty (e.g. uncertainty in natural mortality and catchability)

# GOA Deepwater Flatfish Complex (update) <br> Carey M cGilliard 

\left.|  |  | As estimated or |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| specified last year for: |  |  |  |$\right]$| As estimated or |
| :---: |
| recommended this year fo |


|  |  | As estimated or <br> specified last year for: <br> cies |  | As estimated or <br> recommended this year for |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Quantity | 2014 | 2015 |  |

## Area Apportionment

| Quantity | Species | West |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Western | Central | Yakutat | Southeast | Total |
| Area <br> Apportionment | Dover sole | 1.18\% | 28.02\% | 41.54\% | 29.26\% | 100.00\% |
|  | Greenland turbot | 81.17\% | 0.00\% | 6.40\% | 12.43\% | 100.00\% |
|  | Deepsea sole | 0.00\% | 100.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 100.00\% |
| 2015 ABC (t) | Dover sole | 156 | 3,684 | 5,463 | 3,848 | 13,151 |
|  | Greenland turbot | 145 | 0 | 11 | 22 | 179 |
|  | Deepsea sole | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
|  | Deepwater Flatfish | 301 | 3,688 | 5,474 | 3,870 | 13,334 |
| 2016 ABC (t) | Dover sole | 154 | 3,640 | 5,398 | 3,802 | 12,994 |
|  | Greenland turbot | 145 | 0 | 11 | 22 | 179 |
|  | Deepsea sole | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
|  | Deepwater Flatfish | 299 | 3,644 | 5,409 | 3,824 ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ | 13,177 |

## Summary Information

| Year | Biomass $^{1}$ | OFL $^{2}$ | ABC $^{2}$ | TAC $^{2}$ | Catch $^{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2013 | 173,853 | 6,834 | 5,126 | 5,126 | 242 |
| 2014 | 182,727 | 16,159 | 13,472 | 13,472 | 338 |
| 2015 | 182,160 | 15,993 | 13,334 |  |  |
| 2016 | 181,691 | 15,803 | 13,177 |  |  |

## M ore Summary Information

| Area | 2014 |  |  |  | 2015 |  | 2016 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | OFL ${ }^{1}$ | $A B C^{1}$ | TAC ${ }^{1}$ | Catch ${ }^{3}$ | OFL ${ }^{2}$ | $\mathrm{ABC}^{2}$ | OFL ${ }^{2}$ | ABC |
| W | -- | 302 | 302 | 67 | -- | 301 |  | 299 |
| C | -- | 3,727 | 3,727 | 262 | -- | 3,688 | -- | 3,64 |
| VYAK | -- | 5,532 | 5,532 | 5 | -- | 5,474 | -- | 5,40 |
| SE | -- | 3,911 | 3,911 | 4 | -- | 3,870 | -- | 3,82 |
| Total | 16,159 | 13,472 | 13,472 | 338 |  | 13,334 |  | 13,17 |

## Responses to SSC and Plan Team Comments

Nov 2013: Explore random effects survey averaging approach for ortionment calculations. Will address this in 2015, including new surve

Nov. 2013/SSC, Dec 2013: Based on suggestions from the author, stigate catchability and natural mortality. Planned for 2015 full assess do a joint likelihood profile over catchability and natural mortality and sider estimation of one or both parameters using priors.

Nov. 2013/ SSC Dec 2013: Do a stock structure template. Will do this ii 5.

Nov. 2013: Pursue items listed for future research by author in 2013 ssment. See "Data Gaps and Research Priorities" on next slide

## Data Gaps and Research Priorities

olore ways to better account for uncertainty (e.g. certainty in natural mortality and catchability)
velop an ageing error matrix for GOA Dover sole
olore adjusting effective sample sizes of survey length mposition data to number of hauls
olore potential causes of patterns in early recruitment viations estimated by some 2013 alternative models.

# GOA Flathead Sole (update) <br> Carey M cGilliard 

| Quantity | As estimated or specified last year for: |  | As estimated or recommended this year for: |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2014 | 2015 | 2015* | 2016* |
| $M$ (natural mortality rate) | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Tier | 3a | 3 a | 3a | 3a |
| Projected total (3+) biomass (t) | 252,361 | 253,418 | 254,602 | 256,029 |
| Female spawning biomass ( t ) Projected |  |  |  |  |
| Upper 95\% confidence interval | 84,076 | 83,287 | 83,900 | 83,606 |
| Point estimate | 84,058 | 83,204 | 83,818 | 83,342 |
| Lower 95\% confidence interval | 84,045 | 83,141 | 83,754 | 83,135 |
| $B_{100 \%}$ | 88,829 | 88,829 | 88,829 | 88,829 |
| $B_{40 \%}$ | 35,532 | 35,532 | 35,532 | 35,532 |
| $B_{35 \%}$ | 31,090 | 31,090 | 31,090 | 31,090 |
| $F_{\text {OFL }}$ | 0.61 | 0.61 | 0.61 | 0.61 |
| $\operatorname{maxF}_{\text {ABC }}$ | 0.47 | 0.47 | 0.47 | 0.47 |
| $F_{A B C}$ | 0.47 | 0.47 | 0.47 | 0.47 |
| OFL (t) | 50,664 | 50,376 | 50,792 | 50,818 |
| $\operatorname{maxABC}(\mathrm{t})$ | 41,231 | 41,007 | 41,349 | 41,378 |
| ABC (t) | 41,231 | 41,007 | 41,349 | 41,378 |
| Status | As determined in 2012 for: |  | As determined in 2013 for: |  |
|  | 2011 | 2012 | 2012 | 2013 |
| Overfishing | no | n/a | no | n/a |
| Overfished | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | no | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | no |
| Approaching overfished | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | no | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | no |

## Area Apportionment

## West

tity
tionment
$\mathrm{ABC}(\mathrm{t})$
$\mathrm{ABC}(\mathrm{t})$

Western
$30.88 \%$
12,767
12,776
$\begin{array}{ll}60.16 \% & 8.55 \% \\ 24,876 & 3,535 \\ 24,893 & 3,538\end{array}$
Central Yakutat Southeast
$T$

| $30.88 \%$ | $60.16 \%$ | $8.55 \%$ | $0.41 \%$ | 100 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12,767 | 24,876 | 3,535 | 171 | 41 |
| 12,776 | 24,893 | 3,538 | 171 | 41 |

## Summary Information

| ar | Biomass $^{1}$ | OFL $^{2}$ | ABC $^{2}$ | TAC $^{2}$ | Ca |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 13 | 236,745 | 61,036 | 48,738 | 30,496 | 2, |
| 14 | 252,361 | 50,664 | 41,231 | 27,746 | 2, |
| 15 | 254,602 | 50,792 | 41,349 |  |  |
| 16 | 256,029 | 50,818 | 41,378 |  |  |

## M ore Summary Information

| 2014 |  |  |  |  | 2015 |  | 2016 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | OFL $^{1}$ | ABC $^{1}$ | TAC $^{1}$ | Catch $^{3}$ | OFL $^{2}$ | ABC $^{2}$ | OFL $^{2}$ | A |
| -- | 12,730 | 8,650 | 202 | -- | 12,767 | - | 12 |  |
|  | -- | 24,805 | 15,400 | 2,114 | - | 24,876 | -- | 22 |
| -- | 3,525 | 3,525 | 1 | -- | 3,535 | -- | 3 |  |
|  | -- | 171 | 171 | 0 | -- | 171 | -- |  |
| 1 | 50,664 | 41,231 | 27,746 | 2,317 | 50,792 | 41,349 | 50,818 | 41 |

## Responses to SSC and Plan Team Comments

Nov 2013: Explore natural mortality and catchability and effects on ctivity. Potentially use a prior on natural mortality based on max obser A joint likelihood profile over natural mortality and catchability is pla exploration of using a prior on natural mortality based on max observ will be considered for the 2015 assessment
, Nov 2013; SSC, Dec 2013: Develop a stock-specific ageing error matri ore extreme patterns in early recruitment deviations that occurred in s 3 models. Will do in 2015.

## Data Gaps and Research Priorities

relop a stock-specific ageing error matrix
ust effective sample sizes of survey length frequencies to num rauls
lore natural mortality and catchability and methods for ounting for uncertainty in these parameters into the assessm lore potential causes of extreme early recruitment deviations t occurred in some models in 2013.
quest ageing of otoliths from fishery

End

## Exploration of the early rec dev pattern (already done for Dover sole)

- not having as many early recruits and not having any early recruits and having even more early recruits
- including early recruits in the main rec dev vector
- length based asymptotic selectivity for survey 1
- dome-shaped selectivity for survey 1
- length-based asymptotic selectivity for the fishery
-Leaving out various years of age-comp data
- leaving out the influence of the length comps
- leaving out the influence of the age comps (eliminates the problem)
- leaving out the survey biomass years corresponding to a downward trend in biomass
- adding in the 1984 and 1987 comp data
- limiting the maximum value of rec devs (makes a much bigger red line loop/mismatch between observed and expected) for the survey 1 female age comps

GOA Bottom Trawl Survey

## Longitude by Date



Also, 30 mi tows in 1 and 1987, more rec years: 15 tows

## Francis (2011) Data Weighting Method

## je:

ial: to investigate whether effective sample sizes of fishery length comps were reasonable relative to ef nple sizes of survey composition data
assign weights to composition data sources that account for the influence of intra-year correlations in If comps that are not explicitly modeled, to avoid preventing the model from fitting the biomass index w les of correlations not in the model: time-varying selectivity, time- and age-varying natura ity
ound:
igth and age comp data are often overdispersed relative to the variance assumed by the multinomial lik he model
Allister and lanelli (1997), Appendix 2: calculates weights to account for overdispersed data relative to he multinomial, ignores correlations
anington and Volstad (2004): Intra-haul correlation lowers effective sample size
E.g. fish of similar ages or lengths are often caught together in a haul

The precision of the mean lengths or ages based on a sample of fish from marine surveys is much lower relative to the $p$ the mean length or age based on a random sample of the population
Precision for some marine surveys is close to the number of hauls, not number of fish ncis (2011):
Same concept as for Pennington and Volstad, (measuring precision of means), except applied to intra-year correlations, r intra-haul correlations
Same idea as McAllister and Ianelli, but accounts for correlations by comparing variation in mean lengths or ages relative expected means by year (where means are assumed to be normally distributed)
ial alternative: explicitly model time-varying effects that influence proportions at length a residuals are not as correlated

## Potential Future Work (Dover sole)

Estimate an ageing error matrix specific to AFSC samples of GOA Dove sole
Further exploration of potential causes of patterns in early recruitmer deviations
Continued consideration of removing 1984, 1987 survey biomass data Explore catchability and natural mortality within the model Number of hauls, other approaches to relative weighting within each source of composition data
Explore whether time-varying and spatially-varying growth is occurrin and potential influence on assessment model
Alternative approaches to accounting for ontogenetic movement
Collection and analysis of additional maturity data for GOA Dover sole

## Rex Sole Calculations

OFL =Fofl*Adult_biomass
ABC $=$ Fabc*Adult_biomass
Fofl $=\mathrm{M}$
Fabc $=0.75 * \mathrm{M}$

Adult biomass(2011) is summed total_biomass-at-age * maturity_at_age from the 2011 assessment (the most rēcent one)

Adult biomass $(t+1)=(1-\exp (-Z)) / Z *$ Adult_biomass $(t)$ (used in update years)

